One-pot sustainable preparation of sunlight active ZnS@Graphene nano-composite using Zn containing surface active ionic liquid

Komal Arora,^a Gurbir Singh,^b Sekar Karthikeyan,^c Tejwant Singh Kang,*,^a

^a Department of Chemistry, UGC-centre for Advance Studies – II, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, 143005, India.

^b Department of Earth Resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University,744 Motooka, Nishiku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

Supporting Information

**To whom correspondence should be addressed: E-mail:* <u>tejwantsinghkang@gmail.com;</u> <u>tejwant.chem@gndu.ac.in</u>Tel: +91-183-2258802-Ext-3192

Annexure S1

HPLC calibration

CIP was analysed using a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (JASCO UV plus 2075, Japan) coupled with UV/Vis detector selected at l=254 nm and a Shodex C18M 4E analytical column (4.6 I.D × 250 mm), separation factor (α 1=2.42 and α 2=1.47) with a constant temperature of 25°C and pressure maximum 20 MPa and minimum 0.2 MPa. The eluent consists of 60:10:30 (v/v) acetonitrile: water: formic acid (25mM) (TCI, Japan) with a flow rate of 0.6mL/min. The formulas used to determine apparent quantum efficiency are provided in Annexure S1, Electronic Supporting Information, ESI.

Apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) determination

$$Apparent \quad quantum \quad efficiency \quad (\%) = \frac{Mols \ of \ reacted \ electrons \ per \ unit \ time}{Mols \ of \ incident \ photons \ per \ unit \ time} \times 100$$
(S1)

Mols incident photons per unit time (N_{Einstein}) = $\frac{Number incident photons per unit time}{N_A}$

Number of incident photons N_p per unit time can be calculated by: hc Intensity (E) Photon energy (Ep) λ photon and energy (Ep)= (S2)

 $E = Irradiance \times reactor area illuminated$

$$E_p = \frac{(6.625 \times 10^{-34} J.s)(3 \times 10^{17} nm.s^{-1})}{\lambda(nm)} = \frac{19.88 \times 10^{-17}}{\lambda(nm)} = 4.73 \times 10^{-19} J$$

(S3)



 C_{SAIL} / mmol ml⁻¹ Figure S1: Concentration of exfoliated graphene (C_G) as a function of concentration of [C₁₆mim][ZnCl₃].

o



Figure S2: (A) Zeta (ζ) potential value of graphene dispersion in aqueous solution of SAIL at concentration of 5 mmol L⁻¹; (B) Variation of ζ -potential of graphene dispersion in aqueous solution of 5 mmol L⁻¹ concentration of SAIL as a function of days



Figure S3: ¹H NMR spectra of MIL in 10% D₂O with and without graphene.



Figure S4: (A) 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY spectra of aqueous solution of $[C_{16}mim][ZnCl_3]$ in absence of graphene; (B) 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY spectra of aqueous solution of $[C_{16}mim][ZnCl_3]$ in the presence of graphene. Red circles in B shows the presence of correlation peaks between the alkyl chain of $[C_{16}mim][ZnCl_3]$.



Figure S5: Statistical data of (A) length and; (B) width obtained from analysis of AFM images of graphene sheets.



Figure S6: TEM image of prepared ZnS@G NCs showing ZnS QDs embedded between the graphene sheets and present above the graphene sheet.



Figure S7: Catalytic performance of ZnS@G NCs, ZnS QDs and Graphene towards the degradation of RhB dye under sun light.



Figure S8: Variation in absorbance spectra of RhB dye using ZnS@G NCs and its counterparts after stirring for 30 min in dark.





Figure S10: HPLC spectra of CPI at different time intervals in the presence of ZnS@G NC under visible light.



Figure S11: (A) Transient photocurrent; (B) EIS (Nyquist) plot at 0 V vs. RHE under illumination of ZnS@Graphene, ZnS, and Graphene.