Supplementary Information

A local proton source from carboxylic acid functionalized metal porphyrins for enhanced electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction

Yiwei Zhou,^a Yunheng Xiao^a and Jian Zhao^{*a}

^a Tianjin Key Laboratory of Organic Solar Cells and Photochemical Conversion, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China.

Corresponding Author E-mail: zjtjbd@hotmail.com; zjtjbd@email.tjut.edu.cn

Materials.

4,4',4'',4'''-(Porphine-5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis(benzoic acid) (Dye content, 75%, Sigma-Aldrich LLC), Hematoporphyrin (Carbosynth, Ltd), NaOH (97%, Aladdin), HCl (36%-38%, Yongfei Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.), ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω ·cm). CuCl₂•H₂O (98%), FeCl₂ (99.5%), CoCl₂ (98%), and NiCl₂ (98%) were purchased from Sun Chemical Technology (shanghai)Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

Praparation details.



CuTPP_COOH (Cu^{II}AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.3103 g CuCl₂•H₂O was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.2609g 4,4',4'',4'''- (Porphine-5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis(benzoic acid) was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts CuTPP_COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.278g). UV-vis (DMF): λ max = 417 nm (Soret), 540 nm (Q₁).

FeTPP_COOH (Fe^{II}AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2307 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.2609g 4,4',4'',4'''-(Porphine-5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis(benzoic acid) was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts FeTPP_COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.32g). UV-vis (DMF): $\lambda max = 420$ nm (Soret), 508 nm (Q₁), 574 nm (Q₂).

CoTPP_COOH (Co^{II}AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2363 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.2609g 4,4',4'',4'''-(Porphine-5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis(benzoic acid) was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts CoTPP_COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.33g). UV-vis (DMF): $\lambda max = 435$ nm (Soret), 550 nm (Q₁), 590 nm (Q₂).

NiTPP_COOH (Ni^{II}AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2359 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.2609g 4,4',4'',4'''-(Porphine-

5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis(benzoic acid) was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts NiTPP_COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.35g). UV-vis (DMF): $\lambda max = 415 \text{ nm}$ (Soret), 526 nm (Q₁).



CuTPP~COOH (Cu^{II}~AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.3103 g CuCl₂•H₂O was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.1976g hematoporphyrin was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts CuTPP~COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.265g). UV-vis (DMF): λ max = 406 nm (Soret), 535 nm (Q₁), 573 nm (Q₂).

FeTPP~COOH (Fe^{II}~AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2307 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.1976g hematoporphyrin was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts FeTPP~COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.3g). UV-vis (DMF): λ max = 382 nm (Soret), 508 nm (Q₁), 542 nm (Q₂).

CoTPP~COOH (Co^{II}~AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2363 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.1976g hematoporphyrin was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts CoTPP~COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.25g). UV-vis (DMF): λ max = 421 nm (Soret), 537 nm (Q₁), 572 nm (Q₂).

NiTPP~COOH (Ni^{II}~AH): 70 ml anhydrous DMF was bubbled with argon for 0.5 h. 0.2359 g FeCl₂ was added and bubbled with argon for another 0.5 h. 0.1976g hematoporphyrin was added and bubbled for another 1 h. The mixture solution was refluxed at 160-170 °C overnight. After cooling and DMF removal by distillation, the obtained electrocatalysts NiTPP~COOH were precipitated by adding water. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution and re-precipitated by adding 1 M HCl solution. Finally, the metal porphyrin was dissolved in ethanol and recrystallized by solvent evaporation (0.28g). UV-vis (DMF): λ max = 396 nm (Soret), 522 nm (Q₁), 559 nm (Q₂).



Figure S1. CV curves of Fc^+/Fc in anhydrous DMF (0.1 M TBATFB) at 100 mV/s with different reference electrodes.

According to Figure S1, +1.0 V to -2.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl for the cyclic voltammetry (CV) test is calculated to be +1.48 V to -1.52 V vs. Fc^+/Fc .

According to Figure S1, -1.8 V to -2.3 V vs. Ag/Ag^+ for the electrocatalytic CO₂ test is calculated to be -1.2 V to -1.7 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc.



Figure S2. CV curves of 1 mM Fe^{II}AH, Co^{II}AH and Ni^{II}AH under argon (black) and CO₂ (red) in anhydrous DMF (0.1 M TBATFB) at 100 mV/s.



Figure S3. Cyclic voltammetry of 1 mM Cu^{II}AH under argon (dash) and CO₂ (solid) in anhydrous DMF (0.1 M TBATFB) at 100 mV/s, variations with concentration of added PhCOOH and MeCOOH



Figure S4. XPS spectra of FeTPP_COOH (Fe^{II}AH).



Figure S5. UV-Vis spectra of FeTPP_COOH, CuTPP_COOH and pure TPP_COOH ligand under argon and CO₂ in 0.1 M KPi pH=7.



Figure S6. UV-Vis spectra of FeTPP_COOH, CuTPP_COOH, CoTPP_COOH, NiTPP_COOH and pure TPP_COOH ligand in DMF.



Figure S7. ATR-FTIR spectra of CoTPP_COOH, NiTPP_COOH and pure TPP_COOH ligand.



Figure S8. Cyclic voltammetry of 1 mM CoTPP_COOH and NiTPP_COOH under argon (dash) and CO₂ (solid) in anhydrous DMF (0.1 M TBATFB) at 100 mV/s, variations with concentration of added H_2O .



Figure S9. UV-Vis spectra of FeTPP~COOH, CuTPP~COOH, CoTPP~COOH, NiTPP~COOH and pure TPP~COOH ligand in DMF.



Figure S10. ATR-FTIR spectra of a) FeTPP~COOH, b) CuTPP~COOH, c) CoTPP~COOH, d) NiTPP~COOH and e) pure TPP~COOH ligand.



Figure S11. The prolonged electrolysis at -1.5 V vs. Fc^+/Fc with $Fe^{II} \sim AH$.



Figure S12. The proposed possible mechanism for promoting CO_2 reduction to CO with aliphatic carboxylic acid as local proton source (compound 5).

Electrocatalysts	Onset potential (V)	jCO ₂ /jH ₂	FE of CO	Electrolyte
FeTPP~СООН	-1.02 vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	6.0 at -1.52 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	53% CO at -1.5 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	DMF, this work
Compound 1	-1.35 V vs. NHE	4.7 at -1. 46 V vs. NHE	100% CO at -1.46 V vs. NHE with 1 M PhOH	DMF+10mM PhOH ^[1]
Compound 2	-1.2 V vs. NHE	26.5 at -1. 33 V vs. NHE	94% CO at -1.16 V vs. NHE	$DMF{+}2M \; H_2 O^{[2]}$
	-1. 35 V vs. NHE	5.57 at -1. 6 V vs. NHE	100% CO at -1.14 V vs. NHE	DMF+1M PhOH ^[3]
Compound 3	-1.4 V vs. SCE	4.8 at -2.0 V vs. SCE	70% CO at -1.8 V vs. SCE	Acetonitrile ^[4]
[Mn(bpy-R)(CO) ₃ X] (bpy-R=2,2'-bipyridine; X=Cl, Br)	-2.8 V vs. Ag/Ag ⁺	3.0 at -3.0 V vs. Ag/Ag^+	N.A.	Acetonitrile ^[5]
$[Ni(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ tpy=terpyridine	-1.6 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	N.A.	100% CO at -1.72 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	$\text{DMF+}H_2O(90{:}10\ v{:}v)^{[6]}$
Fe-amide-functionalized tetraphenylporphyrin	-2.0~-2.1 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	30~85 at -2.2 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	74~92% CO at -2.15 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc with 1 M PhOH	DMF+100mM PhOH ^[7]
$\text{ReCl}(\alpha\text{-diimine})(\text{CO})_3$	-1.75 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	12 at -2.11 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	70% CO at -2.11 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	Acetonitrile+0.3M H ₂ O ^[8]
[Co ^{II} (L)](ClO ₄) ₂ L=pentadentate N5 ligand	-1.7 V vs. SCE	3.1 at -2.0 V vs. SCE	75%~80% CO at -1.25 V vs. SCE	DMF ^[9]
Re[bpyMe(ImMe)]-	-1.77 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	3.9 at -1.9 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	N.A.	Acetonitrile ^[10]
$(CO)_3CI\}FF_6$	-1.55 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	12 at -1.9 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	60% CO at -1.77 V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc	Acetonitrile+2.8M H ₂ O ^[10]
Co-TPP-NH ₂	-2.04 V vs. Ag/AgCl	N.A.	0.4% CO, 0.03% MeOH at -2.04 V vs. Ag/AgCl	$\text{DMF}{+}5\%H_2O^{[11]}$
Fe porphyrin-pyridine	-0.8 V vs. RHE	N.A.	56% CO, 20% CH ₄ at -1.4 V vs. RHE	DMF ^[12]
Fe-TPP-Dimer	-0.8 V vs. RHE	10.6 at -1.3 V vs. RHE	48% CO at -1.3 V vs. RHE	DMF ^[13]
cobalt meso-tetraphenylporphyrin	-1.85 V vs. SCE	5.2 at -2.05 V vs. SCE	50% CO at -2.05 V vs. SCE	DMF ^[14]

Table S1. Comparison of various metal complexes for electrochemical CO₂ reduction.

[1] C. Costentin, S. Drouet, G. Passard, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9023-9031.

[2] C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J. M. Saveant, Science, 2012, 338, 90-94.

[3] C. Costentin, G. Passard, M. Robert and J.-M. Sav éant, PNAS, 2014, 111, 14990-14994.

[4] F. Franco, C. Cometto, F. F. Vallana, F. Sordello, E. Priola, C. Minero, C. Nervi and R. Gobetto, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14670-14673.

[5] M. Bourrez, F. Molton, S. C. Noblat and A. Deronzier, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 123, 10077-10080.

[6] N. Elgrishi, M. B. Chambers, V. Artero and M. Fontecave, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 13635-13644.

[7] E. M. Nichols, J. S. Derrick, S. K. Nistanaki, P. T. Smith and C. J. Chang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 2952-2960.

[8] Y. Matsubara, S. E. Hightower, J. Chen, D. C. Grills, D. E. Polyansky, J. T. Muckerman, K. Tanaka and E. Fujita, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 728-730.

[9] L. J. Chen, Z. G. Guo, X. G. Wei, C. Gallenkamp, J. Bonin, E. Anxolabehere-Mallart, K. C. Lau, T. C. Lau and M. Robert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 10918-10921.

[10] S. Sung, D. Kumar, M. Gil-Sepulcre and M. Nippe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 13993-13996.

[11] M. Abdinejad, A. Seifitokaldani, C. Dao, E. H. Sargent, X. A. Zhang and H. B. Kraatz, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 1330-1335.

[12] M. Abdinejad, C. Dao, B. Deng, F. Dinic, O. Voznyy, X. Zhang and H. B. Kraatz, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 9549-9557.

[13] M. Abdinejad, C. Dao, B. Deng, M. E. Sweeney, F. Dielmann, X. Zhang and H. B. Kraatz, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 979-984.

[14] X. M. Hu, M. H. Rønne, S. U. Pedersen, T. Skrydstrup and K. Daasbjerg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 6468-6472.