Supporting Information for

Electrocatalytic reduction of nitrogen to ammonia under ambient conditions using nanorod-structured MoN catalyst

Guoqiang Liu^{a†*}, Cuijiao Zhao^{b†}, Shimin Ding^c

^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei,

Anhui, 230009, PR China.

^b CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China.

^c Green Intelligence Environment School, Yangtze Normal University, Chongqing 408100, PR China.

⁺ *These authors contributed equally to this work.*

* Corresponding author: gqliu@issp.ac.cn

Catalyst	Conditions	FE (%)	NH3 Yield Rate	Ref.
Mo nanofilm	0.01 M H ₂ SO ₄	0.72	3.09×10 ⁻¹¹ mol s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	1
Mo ₂ C/C	0.5 Li ₂ SO ₄	7.8	$11.3 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g^{-1}{}_{Mo2C}$	2
MoS_2/C_3N_4	0.1 M LiClO ₄	17.8	$18.5 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$	3
MoO ₂ /graphene	0.1 M Na ₂ SO4	6.6	$37.4 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}$	4
defect-rich MoS ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	8.34	$29.28 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g^{-1}{}_{cat.}$	5
MoS_2	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	1.17	8.08×10^{-11} mol s ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹	6
MoS ₂ /RGO	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	27.93	$16.41 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g_{cat.}^{-1}$	7
MoN	0.1 M HCl	1.15	$3.01 \times 10^{-10} \text{mo1}$ s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	8
Mo_2N	0.1 M HCl	4.5	78.4 μ g h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} ⁻¹	9
Bi ₂ MoO ₆	0.1 M HCl	8.17	$20.46 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ m g^{-1}{}_{cat.}$	10
MoO ₃	0.1 M HCl	1.9	29.43 mg h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} ⁻¹	11
MoO _{3-x}	0.1 M KOH	12.01	35.83 ug h ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ _{cat}	12
MoN	0.1 M KOH	12.84	6.31 μmoL h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	This Work
			107.3 μg h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	

 Table S1. A summary of the recently reported Mo-based NRR electrocatalysts.



Fig. S1 Schematic illustration for preparing of MoN/CFC electrocatalyst



Fig. S2 (a) Low- and (b) high-magnification SEM images of CFC.



Fig. S3 Schematic diagram for electrocatalytic NRR.

A representative digital photo of NRR device is provided in Fig. S3, which includes gastight two-compartment cell and gas purification system. The gas-tight two-compartment is separated by Nafion 117 and integrated with a three-electrode system. In addition, the experiment is implemented under 0.1 M KOH electrolyte after depurating feedstock of Ar or N_2 to eliminate the potentially mixed NH₃ and NO_x through 0.05 M H₂SO₄ and deionized water successively.



Fig. S4 (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra of the indophenol blue indicator under different concentrations of NH_4^+ ions after incubating for 1 h at room temperature in 0.02 M of KOH, (b) Calibration curve derived from the absorbance at wavelength of 700 nm as a function of the various concentrations of NH_4^+ .



Fig. S5 (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of electrochemical NRR under OCP for 8 h, (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of electrocatalysis toward NRR for 60 h, (c) NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra of electrocatalytic NRR with MoN/CFC as working electrode.

For indophenol blue indicator to detect the product from NRR, 0.1 ml of electrolyte was firstly diluted to 10 ml by deionized water and then successively mixed with 0.5 ml of 0.55 M NaOH solution (containing 5.0 wt% of $C_7H_6O_3$ and 5.0 wt% of sodium citrate), 100 µL of $C_5FeN_6Na_2O$ (10 g L⁻¹), and 100 µL of 0.05 M NaClO. After aging for 1 h at room temperature, absorbance of the above mixture was reported at wavelength of 700 nm. Based on calibration curve in Fig. S4, the yield of the NH₃ was calculated to be 216.2 µmoL, suggesting the accumulation of the generation NH₃.

For NMR to evaluate the product of NRR, the original electrolyte (30 ml of 0.1 M KOH) was acidified by adding of 0.2 M of H_2SO_4 (30 ml) and next concentrated to 2 ml *via* evaporation of water in an oven. Then 0.6 ml of the electrolyte and 0.2 ml of D₂O were mixed and shaken to obtain homogeneous solution. The acidified electrolyte with abundant hydrogen ions results in the splitting of NMR spectra.



Fig. S6 SEM image of MoN/CFC electrode after long-term electrolysis toward NRR.

Reference

[1] Dashuai Yang, Ting Chen, Zhijiang Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 18967–18971.

[2] Hui Cheng, Liang-Xin Ding, Gao-Feng Chen, Lili Zhang, Jian Xue, Haihui Wang, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1803694.

[3] Ke Chu, Ya-ping Liu, Yu-biao Li, Ya-li Guo, Ye Tian, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020,
12, 7081–7090.

[4] Jing Wang, Ya-ping Liu, Hu Zhang, Da-jian Huang, Ke Chu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, 9, 4248–4254.

[5] Xianghong Li, Tingshuai Li, Yongjun Ma, Qin Wei, Weibin Qiu, Haoran Guo, Xifeng Shi,
Peng Zhang, Abdullah M. Asiri, Liang Chen, Bo Tang, Xuping Sun, *Adv. Energy Mater.*,
2018, 8, 1801357.

[6] Ling Zhang, Xuqiang Ji, Xiang Ren, Yongjun Ma, Xifeng Shi, Ziqi Tian, Abdullah M. Asiri,

Liang Chen, Bo Tang, Xuping Sun, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1800191.

[7] Yanyan Liu, Weikang Wang, Shengbo Zhang, Wenyi Li, Guozhong Wang, Yunxia Zhang,Miaomiao Han, Haimin Zhang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 2320–2326.

[8] Ling Zhang, Xuqiang Ji, Xiang Ren, Yonglan Luo, Xifeng Shi, Abdullah M. Asiri,Baozhan Zheng, Xuping Sun, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 9550–9554.

[9] Xiang Ren, Guanwei Cui, Liang Chen, Fengyu Xie, Qin Wei, Ziqi Tian, Xuping Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 8474—8477. [10] Zhe Xing, Wenhan Kong, Tongwei Wu, Hongtao Xie, Ting Wang, Yonglan Luo, Xifeng
Shi, Abdullah M. Asiri, Yanning Zhang, Xuping Sun, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7,
12692–12696.

[11] Jingrui Han, Xuqiang Ji, Xiang Ren, Guanwei Cui, Lei Li, Fengyu Xie, Hui Wang,Baihai Li, Xuping Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 12974–12977.

[12] Wei Liu, Chong Li, Qun Xu, Pengfei Yan, Chunyao Niu, Yonglong Shen, Pengfei Yuan,Yu Jia, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, 11, 1–6.