# Schiff Base Ligand for Photoinduced Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Xiaoling Xu,<sup>†‡</sup> Mei Hong,<sup>†‡</sup> Chunyang Bao,<sup>†‡</sup> Yan Wang,<sup>†‡</sup> Jing Chen,<sup>†‡</sup> Die Li,<sup>†‡</sup> Tianheng

# Wang,<sup>†‡</sup> Qiang Zhang<sup>†‡\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Key Laboratory of New Membrane Materials, Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, School of Environmental and Biological Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, P. R. China.

<sup>‡</sup> Institute of Polymer Ecomaterials, School of Environmental and Biological Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, P. R. China. Email: zhangqiang@njust.edu.cn

# **Experimental Section**

## Materials

Tris[*N*-(2-pyridylmethyl)-2-iminoethyl]amine (Py<sub>3</sub>Tren) and *N*-ethyl-2-pyridylmethanimine (PyEthyl) were synthesized according to literature procedures and stored at 2-8 °C.<sup>1, 2</sup> Copper(II) trifluoromethanesulfonate (Cu<sup>II</sup>(OTf)<sub>2</sub>, 98%, Energy Chemical), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99%, Aladdin), ethyl  $\alpha$ -bromoisobutyrate (EBiB, 98%, TCI), methyl methacrylate (MMA, >99.5%, Aladdin) were used as received. All other reagents and solvents were obtained from Aladdin (China) and used without further purification unless otherwise stated.

#### **Instruments and analysis**

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C with a Bruker AV 500 M spectrometer using deuterated solvents obtained from Aladdin. The number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) and the molecular weight distribution ( $M_w/M_n$ ) were determined by Waters 1515 size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in N,N-dimethylbenzamide (DMF) at 40 °C with a flow rate of 1.00 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The SEC was equipped with refractive index (RI) and UV detectors, a 20 µm guard column (4.6 mm × 30 mm, 100–10 K) followed by three Waters Styragel columns (HR1, HR3 & HR4) and an autosampler. Narrow linear polystyrene standards in the range of 540 to 7.4 × 10<sup>5</sup> g mol<sup>-1</sup> were used to calibrate the system. All samples were passed through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter before analysis. A 120W super strong ultraviolet low pressure mercury lamp (CEL-LPH120-254, Beijing China Education Au-light co., Ltd.) and a 300 W Xe lamp (CEL-HXF 300, Beijing China Education Au-light co., Ltd.) with a 400 nm cutoff filter was

used as light source. The optical transmittance of the aqueous solution of thermoresponsive polymers at a wavelength of 500 nm was acquired on a SHIMADZU UV-2600 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) measurements were performed in positive mode through using a Bruker Esquire 3000 Plus instrument.

## General procedures for the photoinduced polymerization of MMA

MMA (2.3 mL, 22 mmol, 50 eq), EBiB (65  $\mu$ L, 0.44 mmol, 1 eq), CuBr<sub>2</sub> (7.1 mg, 31.7  $\mu$ mol, 0.072 eq), Py<sub>3</sub>Tren (65.5 mg, 158.4  $\mu$ mol, 0.36 eq) and DMSO (2.3 mL) were added to a vial with a rubber seal and magnetic stir bar and degassed by purging with nitrogen for 30 mins. The reaction mixture was placed in a photoreactor, irradiating at different radiation sources (UV lamp or visible light), and monitored by taking samples periodically, analysing the polymer by both SEC and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

## General procedures for *in-situ* chain extension reactions

MMA (2.3 mL, 22 mmol, 50 eq), EBiB (65  $\mu$ L, 0.44 mmol, 1 eq), CuBr<sub>2</sub> (7.1 mg, 31.7  $\mu$ mol, 0.072 eq), Py<sub>3</sub>Tren (65.5 mg, 158.4  $\mu$ mol, 0.36 eq) and DMSO (2.3 mL) were added to a vial with a rubber seal and magnetic stir bar and degassed by purging with nitrogen for 30 mins. The reaction mixture was placed in a photoreactor, irradiating at visible light. After 10 h a 1: 1 mixture of degassed MMA (50 eq) and DMSO was added to the reaction mixture via degassed syringe. Samples were taken periodically and conversions were measured using <sup>1</sup>H NMR and SEC analysis.



Figure S1. SEC elution curve of poly(MMA).



**Figure S2**. Temporal control of the Photo-ATRP of MMA under visible light irradiation. Molecular weight and dispersity as a function of monomer conversion. Reaction conditions:  $[MMA]_0:[EBiB]_0:[CuBr_2]_0:[Py_3Tren]_0 = 50:1:0.072:0.36$  in 50 vol% DMSO with visible light radiation.



**Figure S3**. Temporal control of the Photo-ATRP of MMA under visible light irradiation. Molecular weight and dispersity as a function of monomer conversion. Reaction conditions:  $[MMA]_0:[EBiB]_0:[CuBr_2]_0:[Py_3Tren]_0 = 50:1:0.072:0.288$  in 50 vol% DMSO with visible light radiation.



**Figure S4.** (A) Kinetics of polymerization (B) evolution of the  $M_n$  and  $M_w/M_n$  with conversion. Conditions:  $[MMA]_0:[EBiB]_0:[Cu^{II}]_0:[Py_3Tren]_0 = 50:1:0.072:0.36$ , in DMSO, irradiated by visible light. Two different Cu<sup>II</sup> salts were used, CuBr<sub>2</sub> and Cu<sup>II</sup>(OTf)<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S5.** Evolution of UV–Vis spectra as a function of time. Conditions: (A) [EBiB] = 22 mM, (B) [L] = 4.4 mM, (C)  $[CuBr_2] = 2.2 \text{ mM}$ , [L] = 2.2 mM, where L= Py<sub>3</sub>Tren in DMSO. In all cases the samples were irradiated with 254 nm radiation, at 25 °C.



Figure S6. Evolution of UV–Vis spectra as a function of time. Conditions: [L] = 4.4 mM,

 $[CuBr_2/L] = 2.2 \text{ mM}$ , where L= Py<sub>3</sub>Tren in DMSO at 25 °C.



**Figure S7.** A partial enlarged view of the evolution of UV/Vis spectra as a function of time. Conditions:  $[CuBr_2] = 2.2 \text{ mM}$ , [L] = 11 mM, where  $L = Py_3Tren \text{ in MMA/DMSO} = 1/1 \text{ (v/v)}$ . In all cases the samples were irradiated with 254 nm radiation, at 25 °C.

Entry	$[M]_0:[I]_0:[CuBr_2]_0:[L]_0^a$	Time / h	$M_{ m n, th}$	$M_{ m n,  SEC}$ b	$M_{ m w}$ / $M_{ m n}$ <sup>b</sup>	Conv. <sup>c</sup>
1	50:1:0.072:0.36	10	5100	13800	1.33	97
2	100 : 1 : 0.072 : 0.36	16	9600	22000	1.26	94
3	150 : 1 : 0.072 : 0.36	23	13700	27200	1.29	90

**Table S1.** Photo-ATRP of MMA to range of  $DP_n$ .

<sup>a</sup> M = MMA, I = EBiB, L = Py<sub>3</sub>Tren, DMSO (50%, v/v), irradiated by visible light; <sup>b</sup> by SEC; <sup>c</sup> by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

Table S2. Comparison of theoretical and experimentally determined molecular mass for the

Structure	DP (n)	$(m/z)_{\text{theo}}^{a}$	$(m/z)_{\exp}^{b}$
$[MMAH + Na]^+$	2	325.16	325.00
$[MMAH + H]^+$	4	503.28	503.03
$[MMAH + Na]^+$	4	525.27	525.32
$[Py_3TrenH + Na]^+$	1	536.27	536.15
$[Py_3TrenH + H]^+$	2	614.34	614.29
$[Py_3TrenH + Na]^+$	2	636.33	636.26
$[Py_3TrenH + Na]^+$	3	736.38	736.34
$[Py_3TrenH + H]^+$	4	814.44	814.38
$[EH + Na]^+$	4	539.28	539.31
$[EH + Na]^+$	5	639.34	639.27
$[EH + H]^+$	6	717.40	717.35
$[EBr + H]^+$	4	595.20	595.13
$[EE + Na]^+$	4	653.35	653.43
$[EE + Na]^+$	7	953.51	953.44
$[BrH + H]^+$	5	581.19	581.21
$[BrH + H]^+$	8	881.35	881.11

significant structures found for various reagent compositions.

<sup>a</sup>Calculated exact mass. <sup>b</sup>Measured by ESI-MS.

\_

# REFERENCES

- 1. D. M. Haddleton, M. C. Crossman, B. H. Dana, D. J. Duncalf, A. M. Heming, D. Kukulj and A. J. Shooter, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 2110-2119.
- 2. X. Xu, C. Bao, M. Hong, D. Li and Q. Zhang, *Polym. Chem.*, 2020, **11**, 6356-6364.