Supporting Information

Highly Active and Durable WO₃/Al₂O₃ Catalysts for Gas-phase Dehydration of Polyols

Takeshi Aihara^a, Katsuya Asazuma^a, Hiroki Miura^{a,b,d}, and Tetsuya Shishido^{a,b,c,d*}

 ^a Department of Applied Chemistry for Environment, Graduate School of Urban Environmental Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
 ^b Research Center for Hydrogen Energy-based Society, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

^c Research Center for Gold Chemistry, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

^d Elements Strategy Initiative for Catalysts & Batteries, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8520, Japan

* Corresponding author: Tel: +81-42-677-2850 Fax: +81-42-677-2850 (T. Shishido)
E-mail address: shishido-tetsuya@tmu.ac.jp (T. Shishido)

Analytical data

Contents:

- 1. IR spectra of pyridine adsorbed on acid sites of WO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts.
- 2. Conversion, Yield and Selectivty.
- 3. Selectivity of acrolein produced from glycerol over various solid acid catalysts.
- 4. TG analysis of WO_3 loaded catalysts and zeolites after catalitic run under N_2 .
- 5. Dehydration of glycerol over H-ZSM-5 under N_2 and O_2 .
- 6. TG analysis of WO₃/Al₂O₃ and H-ZSM-5 after catalytic cycle under O₂.
- 7. NH_3 -TPD profiles of WO_3/Al_2O_3 and H-ZSM-5 before and after the reaction under N_2 and O_2 .
- 8. Previous studies on gas-phase dehydration of polyols.

References

1. Difference spectra of pyridine adsorbed on acid sites of WO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts

The acidity of WO_3/Al_2O_3 catalysts with various WO_3 loadings was investigated by adsorbed pyridine on catalysts surface. The bands at 1540 and 1450 cm⁻¹ were attributed to pyridinium ion on Brønsted acid site and pyridine coordinated to Lewis acid site, respectively. The amount of Brønsted and Lewis acidity were estimated from the area of bands at 1540 and 1450 cm⁻¹ and their integrated molar extinction coefficients; 1.67 and 2.22 cm μ mol⁻¹, respectively.¹



Figure S1. Difference spectra of pyridine adsorbed on WO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts with various WO₃ loadings. a) 0, b) 2, c) 5, d)7, e) 10, f) 15, g) 20, h) 25, i) 30, j) 40 and k) 50 wt% WO₃ loading.

2. Conversion, Yield and Selectivity

 $Conversion (\%) = \frac{sum of moles of all products}{sum of moles of reactant and all products} \times 100$

 $Yield (\%) = \frac{moles \ of \ specific \ product}{sum \ of \ moles \ of \ reactant \ and \ all \ products} \times 100$

 $Selectivity~(\%) = \frac{moles~of~carbon~in~specific~product}{sum~of~moles~of~carbon~in~reactant~and~all~products} \times 100$

3. Selectivity of acrolein produced from glycerol over various solid acid catalysts.



Figure S2. Acrolein selectivity of glycerol dehydration over various solid acid catalysts. •: WO₃/Al₂O₃ with 20 wt% WO₃ loading, \blacktriangle : WO₃/ZrO₂ with 10 wt % WO₃ loading, **•**: WO₃/TiO₂ with 2.5 WO₃ wt% loading, **•**: Nb₂O₅, •: H-ZSM-5(90), \triangle : H- β (25), \Box : H-Y(5.5), \diamondsuit : H-MOR(20). Conditions: Catalyst (100 mg), WHSV by glycerol (4.7 h⁻¹), *T*= 588 K.



4. TG analysis of WO₃ loaded catalysts and zeolites.

Figure S3. TG analysis of various catalysts after using reaction for 5 h. (A) WO_3/Al_2O_3 with 20 wt% WO_3 loading, (B) WO_3/ZrO_2 with 10 wt% WO_3 loading, (C) WO_3/TiO_2 with 2.5 wt% WO_3 loading, (D) H-ZSM-5(90), (E) H- $\beta(25)$, (F) H-Y(5.5) and (G) H-MOR(20).

5. Dehydration of glycerol over H-ZSM-5 under $N_{\rm 2}$ and $O_{\rm 2}.$



Figure S4. Dehydration of glycerol to acrolein over Dehydration of glycerol to acrolein over H-ZSM-5(90) under flowing N₂ (circle) and O₂ (square). Conditions: Catalyst (100 mg), WHSV by glycerol (4.7 h⁻¹), T= 588 K.

6. TG analysis of WO_3/Al_2O_3 and H-ZSM-5 after catalytic cycle under O_2 .



Figure S5. TG analysis of (A) WO_3/Al_2O_3 with 20 wt% WO_3 loading and (B) H-ZSM-5(90) catalysts after reaction for 5 h under flowing O_2 .





Figure S6. NH_3 -TPD profiles of (A) 20 wt% WO_3/Al_2O_3 and (B) H-ZSM-5(90). —: flesh, —: after the reaction under N_2 flow and —: after reaction under O_2 flow.

8. Previous studies on gas-phase dehydration of polyols

Table S1. Comparison of reaction results on gas-phase dehydration of polyols
--

Catalyst	Catalyst weight / mg	Substrate	Fed rate / mL h ⁻¹	Carrier	Temp. / K	Main product	Yield (%) at		Yield ratio	Deference
							1 h	5 h	(5 h / 1 h)	Reference
20 wt% WO ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃	100	Glycerol	0.37	N ₂	588	Acrolein	85	72	0.84	This Work
20 wt% WO ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃	100	Glycerol	0.37	O ₂	588	Acrolein	93	90	0.97	This Work
H-ZSM-5 (SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ =90)	100	Glycerol	0.37	N_2	588	Acrolein	90	45	0.50	This Work
Nb ₂ O ₅ (Cal. 673 K)	570	Glycerol	50.4	N ₂	588	Acrolein	37	47	1.27	S2
Nb ₂ O ₅ (Cal. 973 K)	840	Glycerol	50.4	N ₂	588	Acrolein	10	11	1.10	S2
H-ZSM-5 (SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ =90)	380	Glycerol	50.4	N ₂	588	Acrolein	32 (1–2 h)	14 (9–10 h)	0.44	S3
15 wt% WO ₃ /Al ₂ O ₃	310	Glycerol	0.37	N ₂	588	Acrolein	46	68	1.48	S4
15 wt% WO ₃ /ZrO ₃	630	Glycerol	0.37	N ₂	588	Acrolein	53	63	1.19	S4
23 wt% WO ₃ /SiO ₂	330	Glycerol	0.37	N ₂	588	Acrolein	47	30	0.64	S4
MFI zeolite (SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ =14)	150	Glycerol	1.33	N ₂	578	Acrolein	20	6	0.30	S5
MFI zeolite (SiO ₂ /Ga ₂ O ₃ =23)	150	Glycerol	1.33	N_2	578	Acrolein	13	6	0.46	S5
BPO ₄	220	1,2-Propanediol	0.53	H ₂	493	Propanal	90	75	0.83	S6
30 wt% H ₄ SiW ₁₂ O ₄₀ /SiO ₂	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N_2	473	Propanal	67 (Average)		-	S7
SiO ₂ -SI ₂ O ₃	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N ₂	523	Propanal	29 (Average)		-	S7
ZrO ₂	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N ₂	673	Propanal	21 (Average)		-	S7
TiO ₂	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N ₂	623	Acetone	22 (Average)		-	S7
Amberlyst-15	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N ₂	473	Dioxolane	49 (Average)		-	S7
Al ₂ O ₃	300	1,2-Propanediol	1.70	N ₂	573	Dioxolane	28 (Average)		-	S7
CeO ₂	150	Glycerol	2.01	N_2	698	1-hydroxy- 2-propanone	28 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	1,2-Butanediol	2.01	N ₂	698	Butanal	8 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	1,3-Butanediol	2.01	N ₂	698	3-buten-2-ol	46 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	1,4-Butanediol	2.01	N ₂	698	3-buten-1-ol	40 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	2.3-Butanediol	2.01	N ₂	698	Butanone	33 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	1,2-Propanediol	2.01	N ₂	698	1-Propanol	9 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	1,3-Propanediol	2.01	N_2	698	2-Propen-1-ol	42 (Average)		-	S8
CeO ₂	150	2,4-Pentanediol	2.01	N_2	698	<i>trans-</i> 3-penten-2-ol	59 (Average)		-	S8
In ₂ O ₃	500	1,3-propanediol	2.67	N_2	648	2-propen-1-ol	72	22	0.31	S9
In ₂ O ₃	500	1,4-butanediol	2.67	N ₂	648	3-buten-1-ol	56	56	1.00	S9

References

- S1. C. A. Emeis, J. Catal., 1993, 141, 347-354.
- S2. S. Chai, H. Wang, Y. Liang and B. Xu, J. Catal., 2007, 250, 342-349.
- S3. S.-H. Chai, H.-P. Wang, Y. Liang and B.-Q. Xu, Green Chem., 2007, 9, 1130–1136.
- S4. S.-H. Chai, B. Yan, L.-Z. Tao, Y. Liang and B.-Q. Xu, Catal. Today, 2014, 234, 215–222.
- S5. L. H. Vieira, K. T. G. Carvalho, E. A. Urquieta-González, S. H. Pulcinelli, C. V. Santilli and L. Martins, J. Mol. Catal. Chem., 2016, 422, 148–157.
- S6. R. Otomo, C. Yamaguchi, D. Iwaisako, S. Oyamada and Y. Kamiya, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 3027–3033.
- S7. K. Mori, Y. Yamada and S. Sato, Appl. Catal. Gen., 2009, 366, 304-308.
- S8. S. Sato, R. Takahashi, T. Sodesawa and N. Honda, J. Mol. Catal. Chem., 2004, 221, 177-183.
- S9. M. Segawa, S. Sato, M. Kobune, T. Sodesawa, T. Kojima, S. Nishiyama and N. Ishizawa, J. Mol. Catal. Chem., 2009, 310, 166–173.