Supporting Information

Three-Dimensional, Symmetrically Assembled Microfluidic Device for Lipid

Nanoparticle Production

Niko Kimura,^{a#} Masatoshi Maeki,^{b,c#*} Yusuke Sato,^d Kosuke Sasaki,^d Akihiko Ishida,^b Hirofumi

Tani,^b Hideyoshi Harashima,^d and Manabu Tokeshi^{b,e,f*}

^aGraduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13 Nishi 8,

Kita-ku, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan

^bDivision of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13 Nishi 8,

Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

^cJST PRESTO, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, 332-0012, Japan

dFaculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Kita 12 Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-

0812, Japan

^dInnovative Research Center for Preventive Medical Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho,

Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

^eInstitute of Nano-Life Systems, Institutes of Innovation for Future Society, Nagoya University,

Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

1. Fabrication of the 3D-iLiNP device

We employed amino silane coupling with minor modification.¹ We modified a concentration of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES, Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan) solution form 1% v/v to 3% v/v. Replicated PDMS pieces were treated with oxygen plasma (CUTE-1MP/R, Femto Science, Gwangju, Korea) and soaked in 3%v/v APTES aqueous solution for 20 min at room temperature. Then, the silanized replicas were washed with DI water and aligned with each replica using an optical microscope. After bonding the replicas, the amino groups remaining on the surface of the microchannel were acetylated by introducing 20%v/v acetic anhydride (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan) containing dimethylformamide solution.



Figure S1. Schematic of the 3D-iLiNP device fabrication process

2. Evaluation of the LNP size controllability of the 3D-iLiNP devices



Figure S2. Relationship between the flow condition and the average LNP size. The LNP size was measured by (A) a Zetasizer Nano ZEN3600 and (B) a nanoparticle tracking analyzer. The LNPs measured by the nanoparticle tracking analyzer were prepared using the 2D-iLiNP (gray) and the 3D-iLiNP devices (red) at total flow rate of 50 μ L/min and an FRR of 3.

3. Control of LNP size with 10 nm intervals through the flow conditions



Figure S3. Control of the POPC LNP size with 10 nm intervals using the 3D-iLiNP device. The total flow rate was 50–500 μ L/min and the FRR was 3–9. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, Student's t-test, n = 3-4.

4. Scale-up performance of the 3D-iLiNP device

The 3D-iLiNP device for the large-scale LNP production was made from cycloolefin polymer plates fabricated by a micromachining process (Zeon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). To prepare the LNPs, 13 mM POPC/ethanol solution and saline were introduced into the device. The total flow rate was 500–5000 μ L/min and the FRR was 3 or 9.



Figure S4. (A) Photograph of the 3D-iLiNP device for the scale-up of the LNP production. (B) Relationship between the POPC LNP sizes and total flow rate. (C) Size distributions of 100 nm-sized POPC LNPs prepared using the 3D- (scale-up: magenta; basic: red) and 2D-iLiNP devices (blue). The total flow rate was 50 μ L/min and the FRR was 3.

5. Application of 3D-iLiNP for siRNA-LNP systems



Figure S5. Size distributions of siRNA-encapsulated CL4H6 LNPs prepared with the (A) 3D- and (B) 2D-iLiNP devices. The total flow rate was 50 or 500 μ L/min and the FRR was 3.



Figure S6. (A) Schematic of the DODAP-based LNP preparation. (B) Z-average sizes and (C) size distributions of the DODAP LNPs. The LNP sizes were classified into five size ranges (< 40, 40–60, 60–100, 100–160, and >160) based on the size distributions of the LNPs produced with the (D) 3D- and (E) 2D-iLiNP devices. The total flow rate was 50 or 500 µL/min and the FRR was 3. ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.05), Student's t-test, n = 3. (F) Gene-silencing activity of the LNPs at a dose of 50 nM siGL4. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.001, N.S.: not significant (P > 0.01), ***P < 0.01

Supplemental Tables

Reference		Year	LNP system	Size and DDS in vivo	
[1]	Mukhamadiyarov, R. A. <i>et al</i> .	2018	EPC/cholesterol	70 nm > 100 nm accumulation at rats' ischemic myocardium	
[2]	Cabral, H. <i>et al</i> .	2011	(PEG- <i>b</i> -P(Glu)) copolymer	30 nm > 50 nm antitumor activity in pancreatic tumors	
[3]	Sato, Y. et al.	2016	YSK05/cholesterol/DMG-PEG 2K	30 nm > 50 nm siRNA delivery to mice's liver tissues	
[4]	Hirsjarvi, S. <i>et al</i> .	2013	Solutol ^{®□} /Lipoid□ [®] □/Labrafac ^{®□}	25 nm > 50 nm rapid distribution to peripherical capillaries in mice's tissues	
[5]	Ren, H. et al.	2019	EPC/cholesterol/DSPE-PEG 2K	100 nm > 70 nm rheumatoid arthritis targeting in mice	
[6]	Chen, S. et al.	2016	DMAP-BLP/DSPC/cholesterol/DMG- PEG 2K	80 nm > 30 nm FVII gene-silencing in mice	
[7]	Yanez Arteta, M. <i>et</i> al.	2018	DLin-MC3- DMA/DSPC/cholesterol/DMPE-PEG 2K	65 nm > 50 nm mRNA delivery to mice's hepatocytes and protein expression	

Table S1. Relationship between the LNP size and DDS in vivo according to different studies

PEG-*b*-P(Glu): poly(ethylene glycol)-*b*-poly(glutamic acid), EPC: ethyl phosphatidylcholine, DMG-PEG 2K: 1,2dimyristoyl-rac-glycero-3-[methoxy(polyethyleneglycol)-2000], DSPE-PEG 2K: distearoyl phosphatidylethanolamine-[methoxy(polyethyleneglycol)-2000], DSPC: 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, DMAP-BLP: 3- (dimethylamino)propyl(12Z,15Z)-3-[(9Z,12Z)-octadeca-9,12-dien-1-yl]henicosa-12,15-dienoate, DMPE-PEG 2K: 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3- phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000]

Refere	nce	Year	Microfluidic device	Average size	FRR	Total flow rate
[12]	Mijajlovic, M. et al.	2013	MHF-device	50-60 nm	20-40	126-246 µL/min
[13]	Maeki, M. et al.	2017	CM device	30-60 nm	3-9	50-500 µL/min
[14]	Kimura, N. et al.	2018	iLiNP device	20-150 nm	3-9	50-500 μL/min

Table S2. Sizes of POPC LNPs prepared by using the several microfluidic devices

MHF: microfluidic hydrodynamic focusing, CM: chaotic micromixer, iLiNP: invasive lipid nanoparticle production

Table S3. Summary of siRNA LNPs characteristics.

pH-sensitive	Dovioo	Total flow rate	Z-average	CW 19/1	DDI		
cationic lipid	Device	[µL/min]	[nm]	C v [70]	I DI	E.E. [%]	
	3D	50	73±5.6	8	0.15±0.012	98±1.0	
		500	37±1.9	5	0.22±0.040	97±1.5	
DODAF	2D	50	81±21.5	27	0.16±0.015	98±1.0	
		500	56±8.2	15	0.30±0.093	98±1.0	
	20	50 (LNP-(a))	99±9.6	10	0.09±0.010	98±1.2	
	JU	500 (LNP-(b))	59±2.0	3	0.07±0.037	98±1.0	
CL4H0	2D	50	102±27.7	27	0.13±0.039	97±2.5	
		500	69±6.8	10	0.16±0.086	98±1.8	

^aE.E.: Encapsulation efficiency

Table S4. Sequences of siRNAs

siRNA		Sequence
siFVII	sense	5'-GGAucAucucAAGucuuAcTsT-3'
	antisense	5'-GuAAGAcuuGAGAuGAuccTsT-3'
Cy5-siGL4	sense	Cy5-CCGUCGUAUUCGUGAGCAATsT-3'
	antisense	5'-UUGCUCACGAAUACGACGGTsT-3'

References

References

1. L. Tang and N. Y. Lee, *Lab Chip*, 2010, **10**, 1274-1280.