Comparison of [±]σ-hole and [±]R[•]-hole interactions formed by tetrel-containing complexes: A computational study

Mahmoud A. A. Ibrahim^{1,*}, and Ebtisam M. Z. Telb¹

¹Computational Chemistry Laboratory, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science,

Minia University, Minia 61519, Egypt

* Corresponding author: Mahmoud A. A. Ibrahim; m.ibrahim@compchem.net



Figure S1: Generated molecular stabilization energy curves for the 'TF₃ and W–T–F₃ systems (where T = C, Si, and Ge, and W = H and F) in the presence of -0.10, -0.25, -0.50, and -1.00 au PoCs at T…PoC distances ranging from 2.5 Å to 5.0 Å.



Figure S2: Generated molecular stabilization energy curves for the 'TF₃ and W–T–F₃ systems (where T = C, Si, and Ge, and W = H and F) in the presence of 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, and 1.00 au PoCs at T…PoC distances ranging from 2.5 Å to 5.0 Å.



Figure S3: The generated molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) maps of the (i) [•]CH₃ and (ii) [•]CF₃ molecules at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. The MEP maps were plotted at 0.002 au electron density contours, and the electrostatic potentials vary from -0.01 au (red) to 0.01 au (blue).



Figure S4: NCI isosurfaces for the studied 'TF₃... and W–T–F₃... B/R'/A complexes (where T = C, Si, and Ge, W = H and F, B = Lewis bases, R' = free radicals, and A = Lewis acids).



Figure S5: Plots of the electron density and its reduced gradient for the studied ' TF_3 ... and W–T–F₃... B/ R·/A complexes (where T = C, Si, and Ge, W = H and F, B = Lewis bases, R· = free radicals, and A = Lewis acids).