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## S1. Materials

## S1.1 General

$\operatorname{Bis}(1,5-c y c l o o c t a d i e n e) \quad \operatorname{nickel}(0) \quad\left(\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right), \quad 2,2$ '-bipyridine $\quad(b p y), \quad(1 R, 2 R)-(-)-1,2-$ cyclohexanediamino- $N, N^{\prime \prime}$-bis(3,5-di- $t$-butylsalicylidene), bis[2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-5(trifluoromethyl)pyridine] iridium(III) hexafluorophosphate (Ir-1), chlorotris(triphenylphosphine) cobalt(I), ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-(-)-1,2-cyclohexanediamino- $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(3,5-di-t-butylsalicylidene) cobalt(II), cyclopentadienylcobalt dicarbonyl, dichloro[1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene] cobalt(II), cobalt(II) dibromo(1,2-dimethoxyethane), anhydrous cobalt(II) chloride and mesotetraphenylporphyrin cobalt(II) were purchased from Strem Chemicals (Newbury port, MA). $O$ -benzyl-L-serine, $O$-benzyl-D-serine, L-glutamic acid- $\gamma$-benzyl ester and D-glutamic acid- $\gamma$-benzyl ester were purchased from Chem-Impex (Wood Dale, IL). L-phenylalanine and D-phenylalanine were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA). Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by alumina columns and stored with $4 \AA$ molecular sieve in the dark bottle in the glove box (MBraun, Labstar Pro, $<1 \mathrm{ppm}$ oxygen and moisture). Anhydrous THF- $d_{8}$, benzyl alcohol, hexane, benzene, toluene, diethyl ether, diisopropyl ether, pyridine and dichloromethane were dried and stored by $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in the glove box. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted. All metal catalysts were synthesized in the glove box, characterized by NMR (except Ni and Co complexes), and stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## S1.2 OCA monomers

L-PheOCA (L-1) and D-PheOCA (D-1), ${ }^{1}$ L-Ser(Bn)OCA (L-2) and D-Ser(Bn)OCA (D-2), ${ }^{2}$ LGlu(Cbz)OCA (L-3) and D-Glu(Cbz)OCA (D-3), ${ }^{3}$ L-LacOCA (L-4) and D-LacOCA (D-4), ${ }^{4}$ and LManOCA (L-5) and D-ManOCA (D-5), ${ }^{5}$ were synthesized and recrystallized according to the literature. $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]-1$ was prepared using phosgene- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene solution ( $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$, SigmaAldrich). $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-1$ was synthesized from L-phenylalanine-1- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (Cambridge Isotope). All OCA monomers were recrystallized three times and stored in $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer in the glove box.

## S1.3 Zn complexes

$\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}(\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1})$ was prepared and distilled according to the literature. ${ }^{6}(\mathbf{N N O} \mathbf{- 1}) \mathrm{ZnN}(\mathrm{TMS})_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 2}$ ) was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{7,8} \beta$-diiminate Zn complexes $(\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 3}, \mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{4}$ and
$\mathbf{Z n}-5)$ were synthesized according to the literature. ${ }^{9}$ All Zn complexes were stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## S1.4 Ni complex

The Ni complex solution was prepared freshly prior to the reaction. In a glove box, $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ $(5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was mixed with bipyridyl ligand (e.g., bpy, $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) or tricyclophosphine $(11.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF solution $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature and stirred for 1-2 hour to ensure all $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ dissolved. The Ni complex solution could be stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ no longer than seven days.

## S1.5 Co(II) complexes

$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ was prepared and distilled according to the literature. ${ }^{10,11}$ The Co (II) complexes were prepared freshly prior to the polymerization. In general, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 7.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was mixed with the ligand (e.g., bpy, $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 7.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$; $[\mathrm{Co}] /[$ ligand $]=1 / 1$ ) in toluene solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and stirred for 2 hours to ensure all $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ dissolved. The Co complex solution could be stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ no longer than seven days. Note that both Co-1 and Co-2 can be recrystallized; ${ }^{10,11}$ and we do not observe significant reactivity between the recrystallized solid and freshly prepared solution.

## S1.5.1 Synthesis of Co-1

In a glove box, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 7.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was mixed with the bpy $(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 7.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in toluene solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and stirred for 2 hours to ensure all $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ dissolved. The Co-1 complex solution could be stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ no longer than seven days.

## S1.5.2 Synthesis of Co-2

In a glove box, $5.0 \mathrm{mg}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ anhydrous pyridine was mixed with toluene to prepare 1 mL solution. $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was mixed with $62.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ above pyridine in toluene solution, and was further diluted to 1 mL by adding $937.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ toluene at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 hours to ensure all $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ dissolved. The Co-2 complex solution could be stored in the glove box freezer $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ no longer than seven days.

## S1.6 Hf and Zr complexes

Zr-1, ${ }^{12} \mathbf{H f} \mathbf{- 1},{ }^{12} \mathbf{H f}-2,{ }^{12} \mathbf{H f}-\mathbf{3}^{13}$ and $\mathbf{H f}-\mathbf{4}^{14}$ complexes were synthesized according to the literature.

## S2. Instrument and Characterization

## S2.1 NMR spectroscopy

All room temperature NMR and homodecoupling ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Agilent U4-DD2 ( 400 MHz ) or Bruker Avance II ( 500 MHz ). Low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on Bruker Avance III ( 600 MHz ) after the inner temperature of the NMR machine reached $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The samples were kept at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a dry ice/ethylene glycol bath before the low-temperature NMR acquisition. All ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are proton decoupled.

## Diffusion Ordered NMR Spectroscopy (DOSY-NMR)

DOSY-NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker 400 MHz Avance III spectrometer using a Micro5 XYZ gradient probe, using a convection-compensated double stimulated echo sequence (Bruker dstegp3s), with a diffusion gradient pulse time ( $\delta$ ) of 4 ms , a diffusion encoding time ( $\Delta$ ) of 50 ms , and a linear gradient list of 16 points from $11.3 \mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $141 \mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The DOSY processing for each spectrum was performed using the Bayesian fit function in Mestrelab MestreNova, with a resolution factor of $0.10,0$ repetitions, and 64 points in the diffusion dimension. The identical diffusion peaks observed for the $\alpha$-carbons of each of the two polymer block species (for all synthesized samples) confirm the successful synthesis of the block copolymers.

## S2.2 FTIR spectroscopy

Fourier-transform infrared spectra were recorded on an Agilent Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with Diamond ATR and transmission sampling accessory.

## Monomer conversion measurement:

A small aliquot of polymer solution $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was removed out of the glove box and quenched with $5 \%$ acetic acid / THF solution $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mixture ( $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was immediately dropped onto the FTIR-ATR diamond sampler and formed a film within 10-20 seconds for the spectra measurement. The peak at $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned as the anhydride bond stretch in OCA; the peak at $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to the formation of the ester bond in the polymer. The monomer conversion was determined by the intensity ratio between $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : conversion $\%$
$=\mathrm{I}_{1760} /\left(\mathrm{I}_{1760}+\mathrm{I}_{1800}\right)$. Note that the method is verified by the use of monomer/polymer mixture with predetermined concentrations (see Figure S40).

## S2.3 Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

GPC experiments were performed on a system equipped with an isocratic pump with degasser (Agilent 1260 series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), Wyatt DAWN HELEOS multiangle laser light scattering (MALS) detector (GaAs 30 mW laser at $\lambda=690 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and an Wyatt Optilab rEX differential refractive index (DRI) detector with a 690 nm light source (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). Separations were performed using serially connected size exclusion columns ( $100 \AA, 500 \AA, 10^{3} \AA$, and $10^{4} \AA$ Phenogel columns, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 300 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using THF as the mobile phase with a flow rate of $0.35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The polymer molecular weight (MW) and molecular weight distribution $(\Theta)$ were determined using Zimm model fit of MALS-DRI data by ASTRA software (Version 6.1, Wyatt Technology). Data collection interval: 0.5 sec .

The refractive index increment $\mathrm{dn} / \mathrm{dc}$ value was determined by the Wyatt Optilab rEX refractive index detector using ASTRA software dn/dc template (Version 6.1, Wyatt Technology). Five polymer / THF solutions with different concentrations were sequentially injected into the refractive index detector and the refractive index values were plotted versus concentration in ASTRA software. The slope of the linear fitting data is the $\mathrm{dn} / \mathrm{dc}$ value.

The dn/dc values: poly(L-1), 0.1670; poly(L-2), 0.1377; poly(L-3), 0.1057; poly(L-4), 0.042; poly(L-5), 0.084 .

## S2.4 DSC

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed on TA Instruments DSC Q2000 instrument equipped with photocalorimeter accessory and RCS90 cooling system. Polymer samples in crimped aluminum pans were analyzed under nitrogen at a heating rate of 10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ from 0 to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glass transition temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ and melting temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ were obtained and reported from the second heating run.

## S2.5 ESI-MS

The ESI-MS analysis of air-sensitive samples followed the literature. ${ }^{15}$ In brief, in a glove box, a $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquote of the sample containing air-sensitive $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ complexes were taken up into the $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Hamilton gastight syringe. The syringe was punched into a 1 mL LC sampler vial capped with rubber septum to avoid the contact between needle and air, and was sealed in a zip bag. The zip bag was removed from the glove box and immediately analyzed by ESI-MS (Agilent 6220 Accurate-Mass Time-of-Flight LC/MS).

## S2.6 Magnetic moment measurement

The magnetic moment $\mu$ of the cobalt complex was determined by Evans method on a Bruker Advance II 500 MHz NMR machine at 298 K in benzene/deuterated benzene or chloroform $/ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} .{ }^{16}$ Concentrations of cobalt complexes in benzene solution ranged from 5 to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

## S2.7 Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetries (CVs) were performed in a three-electrode measurement setup in a glove box with a Gamry Interface 1010 potentiostat/galvanostat at a potential sweep rate of $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ (the setup was previously described). ${ }^{17}$ The measurements were carried out in a 20 mL Gamry Dr. Bob's electrochemical cell with approximately 1 mM concentration of Co complex in 0.1 M tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) in anhydrous THF, fitted with a glassy carbon working electrode ( 3 mm in diameter, Gamry), a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgNO}{ }_{3}$ reference electrode (a silver wire in $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ and 0.1 M TBAH in anhydrous acetonitrile), and a platinum wire (Gamry) counter electrode. Ferrocene ( Fc ) was measured as an internal standard, ${ }^{18}$ and all potentials versus $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ were subsequently referenced to SCE (adding 380 mV ) based on the reported method. ${ }^{19}$

## S2.8 Calculation of redox properties of photocatalysts from electrochemical and photophysics studies

After calibrating the $E_{\text {ox }}$ value (onset potential of oxidation; unit: V) against $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ in CV experiments, the HOMO energy ( $E_{\text {Номо }}$ ) value was evaluated according to the following equations:
$E_{\text {Номо }}(\mathrm{eV})=\left(E_{\text {ox }}\left(\mathrm{vs} \mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}\right)+4.8\right) \quad$ (eq. 1)
The LUMO energy of excited species can be determined using the following equations:
$E_{\text {LUMO }}(\mathrm{eV})=\left(E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}+E_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{opt}}\right)$
where the $E_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\text {opt }}$ indicates the optical energy gap. To calculate $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ opt, the longest absorption wavelength $\lambda_{\text {onset }}(\mathrm{nm})$ is used usually and is converted to eV according to the equation:
$E_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\text {opt }}=1242 / \lambda_{\text {onset }}$
As an approximation, the excited-state potentials of a photocatalyst (PC) are related to its ground state potentials and its zero-zero excitation energy $\left(E_{0,0}\right) \cdot{ }^{20} E_{0,0}$ can be approximated by the maximum emission wavelength ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}, \mathrm{nm}$ ) of the catalyst and is converted to eV according to the equation:
$E_{0,0}=1242 / \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}$
Given the reduction potentials of the $\mathrm{PC}\left(E_{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{PC}^{+1} / \mathrm{PC}\right]\right.$ and $\left.E_{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{PC}^{2} \mathrm{PC}^{-1}\right]\right)$ that can be measured by CVs, the excited-state of the photocatalyst (*PC) reduction potential can be calculated:
$E_{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{PC}^{+1} / * \mathrm{PC}\right]=E_{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{PC}^{+1} / \mathrm{PC}\right] \quad E_{0,0} \quad$ (eq. 5)
$E_{1 / 2}\left[* \mathrm{PC} / \mathrm{PC}^{-1}\right]=E_{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{PC} / \mathrm{PC}^{-1}\right]+E_{0,0} \quad$ (eq. 6)
The equation (eq. 5) is used in the oxidative quenching cycle, equation (eq. 5) the reductive quenching cycle. ${ }^{20,21}$

## S3. Polymerization Procedures

## S3.1 The photoredox polymerization of L-1 mediated by Co-1 / Zn-1 / BnOH

In a glove box, prior to the polymerization, all reagents were cooled in the cold trap equipped with a thermometer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was cooled by the liquid nitrogen and ethanol in a dewar. The monomer 1 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 700$ equiv.) was mixed with Co-1 ( $9.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in toluene, $0.112 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.), Zn-1 ( $9.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.112 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.), and $\mathrm{BnOH}(2.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.112 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv.) in a $7-\mathrm{mL}$ glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The solution was stirred and irradiated with a 34 W blue LED lamp (Kessil KSH150B LED Grow Light 150) at $-15 \pm 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with a cooling fan to keep the reaction temperature) over 1-2 hours. The OCA monomer conversion was monitored by FTIR (see S2.2). The resulted polymer's MW and Đ were directly measured by GPC after the polymerization.

## S3.2 The photoredox block copolymerization of L-1 and L-2 mediated by Co-1/Zn-1 / BnOH

In a glove box, $\mathrm{L}-1(15 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 400$ equiv.) was mixed with Co-1 (21.2 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ toluene solution, $0.195 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.), $\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}(23.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.195 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.), and $\mathrm{BnOH}(13.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 0.195 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1$ equiv.) in a $7-$ mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar at room temperature. The solution was stirred and irradiated with a 34 W blue LED lamp (Kessil KSH150B LED Grow Light 150) around -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with a cooling fan to keep the reaction temperature) for 30 min . Upon the quantitative conversion of 1 was achieved, measured by FTIR, an aliquot of poly (L-1) solution ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was taken out of the box for GPC characterization. The monomer L-2 $(32.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $14.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 100$ equiv.) was added into the remaining mixture and stirred at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with irradiation over another 1-3 hours. The monomer conversion was measured by FTIR. The obtained copolymer's MW and $Đ$ were measured by GPC, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The copolymer's MW from NMR was calculated based on the integration ratio between $\alpha$-proton of two OCAs and the $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ of poly(1) obtained from GPC.

## S3.3 The photoredox polymerization of racemic OCA (rac-1) mediated by Co-1/ Hf-1

In a glove box, prior to the polymerization, all reagents were cooled in the cold trap equipped with a thermometer at -15 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was cooled by the liquid nitrogen and ethanol in a dewar. L-1 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 200$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{1}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 200$ equiv.) were mixed $\mathbf{C o - 1}(51.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in toluene, $0.391 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.) and Hf-1 ( $28.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $12.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $0.391 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 equiv.) in a 7mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred and irradiated with a 34 W blue LED lamp (Kessil KSH150B LED Grow Light 150) around $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (with a cooling fan to keep the reaction temperature) over 1 hour. The OCA monomer conversion was monitored by FTIR. The resulted polymer's MW and $\doteq$ were directly measured by GPC after the polymerization. The $P_{\mathrm{r}}$ or $P_{\mathrm{m}}$ values of poly $(\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1})$ were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## S3.4 Other ring-opening polymerization techniques

The ring-opening polymerizations of OCAs initiated by (bpy)Ni(COD) / $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2} / \mathrm{BnOH} /$ Ir-1 was reported in our previous work. ${ }^{22}$

## S3.5 Kinetic study of the photoredox polymerization of OCA

In a glove box, the monomer $\mathrm{L}-1(10 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $52.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 600$ equiv.) was mixed with $\mathbf{C o - 1}(6.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in toluene, $86.8 \mathrm{nmol}, 1$ equiv.), $\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}$ ( $7.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 4.6 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, 86.8 nmol , 1 equiv.), and $\mathrm{BnOH}(3.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $3.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in THF, $86.8 \mathrm{nmol}, 1$ equiv.) in a $7-\mathrm{mL}$ glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was stirred at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with irradiation in a manner similar to that in S3.1. At the predetermined time point, 20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the polymer solution was taken out and immediately analyzed by FTIR.

All the catalysts' concentrations were varied, and the semi-logarithmic plots were drawn to calculate the kinetic constants and reaction orders according to the kinetic laws:
$-\mathrm{d}[\mathbf{1}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{\text {app }} \cdot[\mathbf{1}] \quad$ (eq. 7)
where $k_{\text {app }}$ is the apparent first-order rate constant. For eq. 7 it equals to
$\ln [1]_{0} / \ln [1]_{\mathrm{t}}=k_{\text {app }} \cdot t+C \quad$ (eq. 8)
thus $\ln [1]_{0} / \ln [1]_{\mathrm{t}}$ versus $t$ was plotted.

## S4. Computation studies

All calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09 D. 01 program. Geometry optimization was performed with hybrid density functional theory (DFT) using Becke's three-parameter hybrid exchange-correlation functional (B3LYP) 6-31G(d) basis set for C, H, N, Si, whereas for Co the pseudo potential LanL2Dz was used. Thermodynamic corrections were also applied to determine the energies of the species at a temperature of 298.15 K . Linear response time dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations were carried out based on B3LYP. All computation results see S 7 .

## S5. Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Optimization of reaction conditions for photoredox ring-opening polymerization of $\mathrm{L}-1 .{ }^{a}$

[L-1]/[Zn-1]/[Co-1]/[BnOH] = 700/1/1/1; 1 h. Expected MW: 103.7 kDa

| Entry | Conditions | Conv. (\%) ${ }^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {theo }}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $\boxplus^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As shown | 100 | 119.3 | 103.7 | 1.04 |
| 2 | $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 100 | 124.8 | 103.7 | 1.08 |
| 3 | r.t., 2 h | 100 | 84.3 | 103.7 | 1.04 |
| 4 | No Co-1 | 33 | 81.7 | 34.2 | 1.09 |
| 5 | No Zn-1 | 19 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 1.41 |
| 6 | Only Co-1 | 11 | 23.6 | 11.4 | 1.35 |
| 7 | Only $\mathbf{Z n - 1}$ | 39 | 84.3 | 40.4 | 1.08 |
| 8 | Co-1+ light | 13 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 3.50 |
| 9 | No BnOH | 67 | 108.7 | 69.5 | 1.05 |
| 10 | No light | 69 | 96.9 | 71.6 | 1.06 |
| 11 | No light, $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12$ h | 80 | 110.1 | 82.9 | 1.11 |
| 12 | No light, $3 \mathrm{~h}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 51 | 49.1 | 68.0 | 2.83 |
| 13 | Sunlight instead of LED | 100 | 100.7 | 103.7 | 1.03 |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: Conv., monomer conversion; Temp., temperature; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {theo, }}$, molecular weights calculated on the basis of monomer conversion; $D$, molecular weight distribution. For all polymerization reactions, $[\mathbf{C o - 1}]=[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the $O$-carboxyanhydride.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.
${ }^{d}[\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}] /[\mathbf{C o}-1] /[\mathrm{BnOH}]=900 / 1 / 1 / 1$.

## Discussion:

Only Zn complex (Table S1, entry 7), or Zn alkoxide (entry 4) could initiate ROP with a conversion of $39 \%$ or $33 \%$, respectively. The ROP also proceeded to some extent without light (entries 10-12), with incomplete monomer conversions over the time (polymerization likely stopped). It seems that $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ complexes could initiate ROP in an uncontrolled manner without any external stimulus. Based on our previous reports, ${ }^{22,}{ }^{23} \mathrm{Zn}$ itself initiates ROP of OCAs via conventional coordination-insertion mechanism of ROP. Co complex may mediate oxidative insertion as Ni or Co complexes for NCA polymerization but in an inefficient way (entry 5). ${ }^{24,25}$ However, Co-mediated decarboxylation is necessary for the chain propagation especially for high-MW polymer synthesis, as shown in Figure 1a. The inefficient decarboxylation, as previously investigated, ${ }^{22,}{ }^{23}$ is the problem for chain propagation in the ROP of OCAs to synthesize high-MW polyesters; and such problem can be overcome using our photoredox ROP approach.

Table S2. Measurement of residue metal concentrations in polymers after purification by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) ${ }^{a}$

|  | $[\mathrm{Co}](\mathrm{ppb})$ | $[\mathrm{Zn}](\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | 0 | 18.6 |
| $\mathbf{C o - 1} / \mathbf{Z n - 1}$ <br> ROP of L-1 | mediated Photoredox | 7.4 |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: ROP, ring-opening polymerizations; ppb: parts per billion, that equals to $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$. For ICP-MS studies, the polymer was dissolved in $10 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a concentration of 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ for three days in order to complete degrade the polymer. The obtained polymer solution was analyzed by ICP-MS.

Table S3. Photoredox ring-opening polymerization of various $O$-carboxyanhydride monomers mediated by Co-1/Zn-1 ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Monomer | FR | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conv. <br> $(\%)^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $\mathrm{D}^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | L-2 | 400 | r.t. | 3 | 100 | 77.4 | 71.3 | 1.09 |
| 2 | L-2 | 300 | -15 | 1 | 100 | 55.7 | 35.7 | 1.01 |
| 3 | L-2 | 400 | -15 | 1.5 | 100 | 79.6 | 53.4 | 1.03 |
| 4 | L-2 | 500 | -15 | 2 | 100 | 92.9 | 71.3 | 1.01 |
| 5 | L-3 | 400 | r.t. | 1 | 100 | 90.4 | 88.1 | 1.04 |
| 6 | L-3 | 300 | -15 | 0.5 | 100 | 72.6 | 66.1 | 1.08 |
| 7 | L-3 | 500 | -15 | 1 | 100 | 122.8 | 110.1 | 1.08 |
| 8 | L-3 | 700 | -15 | 3 | 100 | 151.4 | 154.1 | 1.04 |
| 9 | L-4 | 400 | r.t. | 1 | 100 | 33.2 | 28.9 | 1.02 |
| 10 | L-4 | 200 | -15 | 0.5 | 100 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 1.01 |
| 11 | L-4 | 300 | -15 | 1 | 100 | 19.5 | 21.7 | 1.01 |
| 12 | L-4 | 400 | -15 | 1.5 | 100 | 34.6 | 28.9 | 1.05 |
| 13 | L-5 | 200 | -15 | 1 | 100 | 23.7 | 26.9 | 1.08 |
| 14 | L-5 | 300 | -15 | 1.5 | 100 | 37.7 | 40.3 | 1.02 |
| 15 | L-5 | 400 | -15 | 2.5 | 100 | 55.2 | 53.7 | 1.07 |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: FR, the feeding ratio of [OCA]/[Zn]; Conv., monomer conversion; Temp., temperature; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the amount of catalyst; $D$, molecular weight distribution; r.t., room temperature. For all polymerization reactions, $[\mathbf{C o - 1}]=[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the OCA.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.

Table S4. Photoredox block copolymerization of various $\boldsymbol{O}$-carboxyanhydrides ${ }^{a}$

| $m_{R_{1}}^{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Co}-1, \mathrm{Zn}-1}{\mathrm{BnOH}, h v}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $f_{m}^{H}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Monomers | FR | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | Conv. $(\%)^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{\mathrm{n}}\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b} 1\right) \\ & (\mathrm{kDa})^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal- }} \mathrm{b} 1\right) \\ & (\mathrm{kDa}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${\underset{c}{c}(\Xi-\mathrm{b} 1)}^{( }$ |
| 1 | 1/2 | 400/100 | r.t. | 0.5/3 | 100 | 78.2 (67.0) | 77.1 (59.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 1/3 | 400/100 | r.t. | 0.5/3 | 100 | 75.3 (67.0) | 81.3 (59.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.07 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 1/4 | 400/200 | r.t. | 0.5/3 | 100 | 75.8 (67.0) | 73.7 (59.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.04 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 1/5 | 400/100 | -15 | 0.5/3 | 100 | 82.4 (63.5) | 72.6 (59.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \\ & (1.02) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 2/4 | 400/100 | r.t. | 3/3 | 100 | 78.6 (77.4) | 78.5 (71.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.05 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 3/2 | 400/100 | r.t. | 1/3 | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 130.9 \\ & (90.4) \end{aligned}$ | 105.9 (88.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & (1.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 4/3 | 400/100 | r.t. | 1/3 | 100 | 56.3 (33.2) | 50.9 (28.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.05 \\ & (1.02) \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 1/3/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 400 / 100 / 1 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | r.t. | 0.5/3/3 | 100 | 90.7 (60.5) | 88.5 (59.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: OCA, $O$-carboxyanhydride; FR, the feeding ratio of [OCA]/[Zn]; Conv., monomer conversion; Temp., temperature; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the amount of catalyst; $D$, molecular weight distribution; r.t., room temperature. $M_{\mathrm{n}}$-b1 and $D$-b1 refer to the molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of the first block polymer. For all polymerization reactions, $[\mathbf{C o - 1}]=[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the OCA.
${ }^{c}$ For copolymers, $M_{\mathrm{n}}$-b1, $\triangle$, and $\Theta$-b1 were determined by gel-permeation chromatography; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ of the block copolymer was calculated on the basis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration ratio of the $\alpha$-methine peaks of the different blocks.

Table S5. Effects of the addition of precious-metal photocatalysts and radical scavengers into the photoredox ring-opening polymerization of L-1 ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | Addition (eq.) | Conv. (\%) $)^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {theo }}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $D^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | None | 100 | 141.3 | 118.5 | 1.08 |
| 2 | Ir-1 (0.1) | 67.0 | 90.0 | 79.4 | 1.03 |
| 3 | Ir-2 (0.1) | 100 | 158.4 | 118.5 | 1.03 |
| 4 | Ru-1 (0.1) | 100 | 132.2 | 118.5 | 1.09 |
| 5 | Ru-2 (0.1) | 70.2 | 118.9 | 83.2 | 1.13 |
| 6 | TEMPO (10) | 83.1 | 159.5 | 98.4 | 1.02 |
| 7 | PBN (10) | 100 | 167.0 | 118.5 | 1.04 |
| 8 | DPPH (10) | 26.9 | N.D | 31.9 | N.D. |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: Conv., monomer conversion; Temp., temperature; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {theo }}$, molecular weights calculated on the basis of monomer conversion; $D$, molecular weight distribution. TEMPO, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine- $N$-oxyl; PBN, $N$-tert-butyl- $\alpha$-phenylnitrone; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl. For all polymerization reactions, $[\mathbf{C o - 1}]=[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}] . E_{1 / 2}$ red values for photocatalysts are based on references. ${ }^{26}$
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the $O$-carboxyanhydride.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.

Table S6. Redox and photophysical properties of Co complexes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | $E_{1 / 2}$ [Co ${ }^{\text {III }}$ (V vs | $\begin{aligned} & E_{1 / 2} \\ & {\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{IV}}\right.} \\ & (\mathrm{V} \text { vs } \end{aligned}$ | Absor ( $\lambda$ abs, | Emis ( $\lambda \mathrm{em}$ <br> ${ }^{d}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & E_{1 / 2}\left[{ }^{*}\right. \\ & (\mathrm{V} \mathrm{vs} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{1 / 2}[\mathrm{Cc} \\ & (\mathrm{V} \text { vs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co-1 | 0.746 | N/A | 397 | 501 | 2.48 | N/A | -1.734 |
| $1 / \mathrm{Co}-1$ | 0.688 | 1.308 | 391 | 567 | 2.19 | 2.878 | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C o - 1} / \mathbf{Z n} \\ & \mathbf{1} / \mathrm{BnOH}-1 \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, h v \end{aligned}$ | $50.674$ | N/A | 398 | 500 | 2.48 | N/A | -1.806 |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ | N/A | N/A | 319 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Boc-Pro-OH | 0.951 | N/A | N.D. | N.D. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Z-Phe-OH | 0.832 | N/A | N.D. | N.D. | N/A | N/A | N/A |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: SCE, saturated calomel electrode; $E_{0,0}$, zero-zero excitation energy, which approximates the maximum emission wavelength energy .
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the cyclic voltammetry studies.
${ }^{c}$ Determined from UV/Vis studies, see Fig. 2B.
${ }^{d}$ Determined from fluorescence spectroscopy, see Fig. 2D.
${ }^{e}$ Calculated based on the equations in S2.8.

## Discussion:

The estimated $E_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {red }}\left(* \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{III}} / \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ value of $\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{1}$ reaction mixture is relatively higher than many reported Ir and Ru photocatalysts. We found that photocatalysts with higher excited state reduction potentials, such as $\mathbf{I r} \mathbf{- 1}$ or $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpz})_{3^{2+}}{ }^{2+}$, could inhibit the polymerization, which suggested that the actual $E_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {red }}\left(* \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{III}} / \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ value should be at least over 1 V over SCE (see Table 3 reduction potentials comparison of four photocatalysts).

Table S7. Photoredox polymerization of $\mathrm{L}-1$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of Co and various metal-alkoxide complexes. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: FR, the feeding ratio of [1]/[Zn-1]; Conv., monomer conversion; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $D$, molecular weight distribution; COD, cyclooctadiene; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the catalyst. For all polymerizations, $[\mathrm{Co}]=[\mathrm{metal}$ alkoxide].
${ }^{b} \mathrm{FR}$ is the ratio of the amount of monomer to the amount of metal alkoxide complex.
${ }^{c}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the $O$-carboxyanhydride.
${ }^{d}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.

Table S8. Stereoselective photoredox ring-opening polymerization of racemic monomer 1 mediated by $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ and $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Hf}$ complexes ${ }^{a}$







| Entry | $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{Hf})$ complexes | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{L}-1] /[\mathrm{D}-} \\ & 1] \end{aligned}$ | Time <br> (h) | Conv. $(\%)^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{cal}} \\ & (\mathrm{kDa}) \end{aligned}$ | $\square^{c}$ | $P_{\mathrm{m}} / P_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Co-1/ $\mathrm{Zn}-2$ | 150/150 | 1 | 100 | 67.0 | 44.5 | 1.05 | 0.96 / - |
| 2 | Co-2 / Zn-3 | 100/100 | 1 | 100 | 57.7 | 29.7 | 1.14 | - / 0.88 |
| 3 | Co-1/ $\mathrm{Zn}-1$ | 200/200 | 2 | $<5$ | N.D. | 59.3 | N.D. | N.D. |
| 4 | Co-2 / Zn-1 | 200/200 | 2 | 24 | N.D. | 59.3 | N.D. | N.D. |
| 5 | Co-1/ Zn-3 | 100/100 | 2 | 100 | 45.5 | 29.7 | 1.28 | - / 0.76 |
| $6^{e}$ | Zn-2 | 100/100 | 12 | $<5$ | N.D. | 29.7 | N.D. | N.D. |
| $7{ }^{e}$ | Zn-3 | 100/100 | 12 | 31 | 11.7 | 29.7 | 1.13 | N.D. |
| 8 | Co-2 / Zn-4 | 100/100 | 2 | 100 | 63.2 | 29.7 | 1.37 | - / 0.84 |
| 9 | Co-2 / Zn-5 | 100/100 | 1 | 100 | 85.9 | 29.7 | 1.03 | - / 0.78 |
| 10 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | 200/200 | 1 | 100 | 105.6 | 59.3 | 1.06 | - / 0.89 |
| 11 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | 150/150 | 1 | 100 | 96.6 | 44.5 | 1.06 | - / 0.89 |
| 12 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | 100/200 | 1 | 100 | 102.9 | 44.5 | 1.03 | - / 0.77 |
| 13 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | 200/100 | 1 | 100 | 102.5 | 44.5 | 1.03 | - / 0.77 |
| 14 | Co-1/Hf-1 | 100/100 | 1 | <5 | N.D. | 29.7 | N.D. | N.D. |
| 15 | Co-2 / Hf-2 | 100/100 | 1 | 100 | 49.8 | 29.7 | 1.03 | - / 0.81 |
| $16^{e}$ | Hf-1 | 25/25 | 24 | 16 | N.D. | 7.5 | N.D. | N.D. |
| $17{ }^{e}$ | Hf-3 | 10/10 | 96 | 92 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.01 | - / 0.65 |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: Conv., monomer conversion; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\oplus$, molecular weight distribution; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the catalyst; $P_{\mathrm{m}}$, maximum probability of meso dyad formation; $P_{\mathrm{r}}$, maximum probability of racemic dyad formation. Polymerization conditions: $[\mathrm{Co}]=[\mathrm{Zn}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$ or $[\mathrm{Co}]=[\mathrm{Hf}]$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the $O$-carboxyanhydride.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.
${ }^{d}$ Determined by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{e}$ Reaction at room temperature without light irradiation.

Table S9. Stereoselective photoredox ring-opening polymerization of racemic $O$ carboxyanhydrides mediated by $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ and $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Hf}$ complexes ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Co / Zn (Hf) <br> complexes | OCA | FR | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conv. <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{cal}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $D^{c}$ | $P_{\mathrm{m}} / P_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Co-1/Zn-2 | rac-2 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 1.03 | $0.81 /-$ |
| 2 | Co-2 / Zn-3 | rac-2 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 26.1 | 35.7 | 1.05 | $0.64 /-$ |
| 3 | Co-1 / Hf-1 | rac-2 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 26.4 | 17.9 | 1.05 | $0.63 /-$ |
| 4 | Co-1 / Zn-2 | rac-4 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 16.3 | 14.5 | 1.09 | $0.90 /-$ |
| 5 | Co-2 / Zn-3 | rac-4 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 17.6 | 14.5 | 1.07 | $0.71 /-$ |
| 6 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | rac-4 | 400 | 1 | 100 | 32.8 | 28.9 | 1.04 | $0.74 /-$ |
| $7 e$ | Hf-1 | rac-4 | 200 | 2 | 100 | 50.0 | 14.4 | 1.11 | $-/ 0.82$ |
| 8 | Co-1 / Zn-2 | rac-3 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 46.5 | 44.1 | 1.03 | $0.94 /-$ |
| 9 | Co-2 / Zn-3 | rac-3 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 39.3 | 44.1 | 1.09 | $-/ 0.63$ |
| 10 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | rac-3 | 200 | 1 | 100 | 38.0 | 44.1 | 1.07 | $-/ 0.78$ |
| 11 | Co-1 / Zn-2 | rac-5 | 100 | 2 | 86 | 8.9 | 13.5 | 1.05 | $0.77 /-$ |
| 12 | Co-2 / Zn-3 | rac-5 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 1.08 | $-/ 0.82$ |
| 13 | Co-2 / Hf-1 | rac-5 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 27.7 | 13.5 | 1.19 | $0.97 /-$ |
| 14 | Co-2 / Hf-4 | rac-5 | 100 | 3 | 93 | 14.2 | 13.5 | 1.07 | $-/ 0.91$ |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: OCA, $O$-carboxyanhydride; FR, the feeding ratio of monomer to catalyst; Conv., monomer conversion; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $D$, molecular weight distribution; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the catalyst; $P_{\mathrm{m}}$, maximum probability of meso dyad formation; $P_{\mathrm{r}}$, maximum probability of racemic dyad formation. Polymerization conditions: $[\mathrm{Co}]=[\mathrm{Zn}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$ or $[\mathrm{Co}]=[\mathrm{Hf}] ;[\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{OCA}] /[\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{OCA}]=1 / 1$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the $O$-carboxyanhydride.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.
${ }^{d}$ Determined by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{e}$ Reaction at room temperature without light irradiation.

Table S10. Phase transition temperatures of stereoregular polyesters prepared by Coassisted photoredox ring-opening polymerization of $\boldsymbol{O}$-carboxyanhydrides ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | polymer | $P_{\mathrm{m}} / P_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $D^{c}$ | $T_{\mathrm{g}} / T_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{1})$ | $1 /-$ | 187.6 | 1.07 | $51 /-$ |
| 2 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-1)$ | $0.96 /-$ | 67.0 | 1.05 | $31 / 153$ |
| 3 | $\operatorname{poly}(s d-\mathbf{1})$ | $-/ 0.89$ | 105.6 | 1.06 | $61 /-$ |
| 4 | $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{~L}-2)$ | $1 /-$ | 79.6 | 1.03 | $8 /-$ |
| 5 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-2)$ | $0.81 /-$ | 20.0 | 1.03 | $8 /-$ |
| 6 | $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{~L}-3)$ | $1 /-$ | 122.8 | 1.08 | $8 /-$ |
| 7 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-3)$ | $0.94 /-$ | 46.5 | 1.03 | $4 /-$ |
| 8 | $\operatorname{poly}(s d-3)$ | $-/ 0.78$ | 38.0 | 1.07 | $6 /-$ |
| 9 | $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{~L}-4)$ | $1 /-$ | 33.2 | 1.02 | $-/ 173$ |
| 10 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-4)$ | $0.90 /-$ | 16.3 | 1.09 | $50 / 146$ |
| 11 | $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{~L}-5)$ | $1 /-$ | 55.2 | 1.07 | $91 / 213$ |
| 12 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-5)$ | $0.77 /-$ | 8.9 | 1.05 | $85 /-$ |
| 13 | $\operatorname{poly}(s b-5)$ | $0.97 /-$ | 27.7 | 1.19 | $97 /-$ |
| 14 | $\operatorname{poly}(s d-5)$ | $-/ 0.82$ | 8.4 | 1.08 | $76 /-$ |
| 15 | $\operatorname{poly}(s d-5)$ | $-/ 0.91$ | 14.2 | 1.07 | $74 / 145$ |
| 16 | $\operatorname{poly}(s c-5){ }^{e}$ | $1 /-$ | 55.2 | 1.07 | $86 / 180$ |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\doteq$, molecular weight distribution; $P_{\mathrm{m}}$, maximum probability of meso dyad formation; $P_{\mathrm{r}}$, maximum probability of racemic dyad formation; $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, glass transition temperature; $T_{\mathrm{m}}$, melting temperature; $s b$, stereoblock; $s d$, syndiotactic; $s c$, stereocomplex.
${ }^{b}$ Determined by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.
${ }^{d}$ Determined by differential scanning calorimetry.
${ }^{e}$ Prepared by $1 / 1$ mixing of poly(L-5) and poly(D-5) with similar MWs.

## Table S11. Multiple runs of Co/Zn-mediated polymerization of $\mathrm{L}-1$, measured by gelpermeation chromatography ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | FR | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Conv. <br> $(\%)^{b}$ | $M_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kDa})^{c}$ | $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{cal}}(\mathrm{kDa})$ | $\Xi^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1000 | -15 | 3 | 100 | 188.6 | 148.1 | 1.06 |
| 2 | 1000 | -15 | 3 | 100 | 189.1 | 148.1 | 1.05 |
| 3 | 1000 | -15 | 3 | 100 | 187.5 | 148.1 | 1.08 |

${ }^{a}$ Abbreviations: FR, the feeding ratio of [OCA]/[Zn]; Conv., monomer conversion; Temp., temperature; $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, number-average molecular weight; $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {cal }}$, molecular weight calculated from the ratio of the amount of monomer to the amount of catalyst; $D$, molecular weight distribution. For all polymerization reactions, $[\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{1}]=[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}]$.
${ }^{b}$ Determined from the intensity of the Fourier transform infrared peak at $1805 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the anhydride group of the OCA.
${ }^{c}$ Determined by gel-permeation chromatography.

## S6. Supplementary Figures




$$
\mathrm{FR}=800,3 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{cal}}=118.5 \mathrm{kDa} ; 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { or r.t. }
$$




$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} M_{\mathrm{n}}: 114.0 \mathrm{kDa} ; Ð: 1.03 \quad M_{\mathrm{n}}: 124.8 \mathrm{kDa} ; \boxminus: 1.04 \quad M_{\mathrm{n}}: 133.4 \mathrm{kDa} ;$ ©: 1.02
r.t. $M_{\mathrm{n}}: 87.7 \mathrm{kDa} ; \mathrm{Đ}: 1.03 \quad$ Conversion: $70 \%$ Conversion $53 \%$

Figure S1. Screening of $\mathbf{C o}$ complexes and $\mathbf{C o}(\mathbf{H M D S})_{2}$ ligands for photoredox ringopening polymerization of $\mathrm{L}-1$. The photoredox polymerization reaction was performed at [L$\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}] /[\mathrm{Co}] /[\mathrm{BnOH}]$ ratios of $700 / 1 / 1 / 1$ and $800 / 1 / 1 / 1$ at different temperature (room temperature (r.t.) or $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with light irradiation. The molecular weight ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) and molecular weight distribution $(Ð)$ data in purple indicated the well-controlled polymerization reactions. The data in green indicated the results of less controlled polymerization reactions (incomplete monomer conversions or lower than expected MWs).


(see next page)





(see next page)


Figure S2. (a) GPC overlays of poly(L-1) at different feeding ratios (FR = [L-1]/[Zn-1]) as shown in Fig. 1A (blue line). Note that the y axis is the raw data of dw/dogMW, which is the normalized distribution of molecular weights (MWs) at each time slice, and is used for the MW distribution calculation in GPC. (b) The GPC raw data, including signals in the light scattering (LS) and refractive index (DI) detectors versus time (left panel), and their corresponding dw/dlogMW versus MWs plots (right panel), which are stacked in (a). The data clearly indicate the unimodal narrow MW distribution of the obtained polymers.


Figure S3. Linear correlation between the monomer conversion and polymer molecular weights (MWs). The photoredox ROP was performed at the ratio of $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{C o - 1}] /[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathrm{BnOH}]$ of $1000 / 1 / 1 / 1$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with light irradiation. The MWs of the obtained polymers showed linear correlation with the monomer conversions, with narrow molecular weight distributions ( $\triangle=$ $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ ). In general, the MWs of the obtained polymers are slightly higher than the expected MWs at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with light irradiation, which are observed in the photoredox polymerization at different monomer feeding ratios as shown in Figure 1a.


Figure S4. NMR spectra of poly(L-1) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}=215.2 \mathrm{kDa} ; \mathrm{FR}=1000 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) Homodecoupling ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (c) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.


Calculated mass: $126.09+148.05 n$


Figure S5. Alcohol participated in the initiation and was incorporated at the chain-end. (a) ESI-MS spectrum of oligo(L-1) $)_{2-5}$ initiated by $\mathrm{BnOH}([\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathrm{BnOH}]=1 / 1 / 1 / 1)$ at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with light irradiation.

## Discussion:

High resolution ESI-MS results:
$\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \quad$ calculated: 422.1973 found: 422.1843
$\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{3} 6 \mathrm{NO}_{7} \quad$ calculated: 570.2497 found: 570.2384
$\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{4} 4 \mathrm{NO}_{9} \quad$ calculated: 718.3021 found: 718.2943
$\mathrm{n}=5 \mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \quad$ calculated: 866.3546 found: 866.3506


Figure S6. Kinetic plots of the photoredox polymerization of l-1 mediated by $\mathbf{C o - 1 / Z n -}$ 1/BnOH at $\mathbf{- 1 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}]=150 \mathrm{mM}$ in all studies. (a) plots the kinetic rates data in Fig. 1B. (a, c, e) Plot of $-\ln \left(k_{\text {app }}\right)$ versus $-\ln$ [catalyst] for ROP of L-1, the slope of which suggests the reaction order. (b, d, f) only the noted catalyst's concentration was changed, and the rest is fixed at 0.231 mM in THF.

## Discussion:

The reaction orders with respect to $\mathbf{C o - 1}$, and $\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}$ were $2.33 \pm 0.20$ and $2.64 \pm 0.03$. For Fig. $1 \mathrm{~B}([\mathbf{C o - 1}]=[\mathbf{Z n}-\mathbf{1}]=[\mathrm{BnOH}], \mathrm{Co}$ and Zn complexes at the same concentration), the polymerization reaction order is $5.06 \pm 0.21$. The value is close to the sum of the individual reaction order of $\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{Z n - 1}$, suggesting that there is no complex formed between each (also see Fig. S25). Therefore the reaction kinetics can be described by the following equation:
$-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{L}-1] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{\mathrm{p}}[\mathbf{C o - 1}]^{2.33}[\mathrm{Zn}-1]^{2.64}[\mathrm{~L}-1]^{1}$
where $k_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the rate constant of chain propagation.


Figure S7. Comparison of kinetic plots of the photoredox ROP and eROP of L-1 mediated by $\mathbf{C o - 1 / Z n - 1 / B n O H}$. Based on the figure, eROP had higher polymerization rates at higher feeding ratios. Note that the observed kinetic laws in eROP: ${ }^{17}$
$-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{\mathrm{p}}[\mathbf{C o - 1}]^{0.90}[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}]^{1.10}[\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{1}]^{1}$
which indicated less aggregation of metal complexes in the eROP reaction setup, and possibly different reaction temperatures.

(b)


Figure S8. NMR spectra of poly(L-2) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S3, entry 1; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) Homodecoupling ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (c) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.
(a)

(b)



Figure S9. NMR spectra of poly(L-3) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S3, entry 5; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) Homodecoupling ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (c) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.
(a)


Figure S10. NMR spectra of poly(L-4) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S3, entry 9; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) Homodecoupling ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (c) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure S11. NMR spectra of poly(L-5) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S3, entry 13 ; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure S12. GPC overlays of polymerization of OCA monomers L-2, L-3, L-4, L-5 via Co/Zn photoredox ROP. Note that the y axis is the raw data of dw/dlogMW, which is the normalized distribution of molecular weights (MWs) at each time slice, and is used for the MW distribution calculation in GPC. Detailed polymerization data in Table S3.

(see next page)


Figure S13. GPC overlays of copolymerization of OCA monomers via $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ photoredox ROP, before and after the addition of second blocks. Note that the $y$ axis is the raw data of dw/dlogMW, which is the normalized distribution of molecular weights (MWs) at each time slice, and is used for the MW distribution calculation in GPC. Polymerization data of copolymers in Table S4: (a) entry 1; (b) entries 2 and 8 ; (c) entry 3; (d) entry 4 ; (e) entry 5; (f) entry 6; (g) entry 7.

(see next page)


Figure S14. NMR spectra of poly(L-1-b-L-2) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry $1 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.
(a)

(see next page)


Figure S15. NMR spectra of poly(L-1-b-L-3) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry 2; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.

(see next page)


Figure S16. NMR spectra of poly(L-1-b-L-4) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S 4 , entry $3 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.
(a)

(b)

(see next page)


Figure S17. NMR spectra of poly(L-1-b-L-5) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry $4 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.

(b)

(see next page)


Figure S18. NMR spectra of poly(L-2-b-L-4) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry $5 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.



Figure S19. NMR spectra of poly(L-3-b-L-2) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry $6 ; 500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.
(a)

(b)




| 170 | 150 | 130 | 110 | $\begin{array}{c}90 \\ \delta(\mathrm{ppm})\end{array}$ | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(see next page)


Figure S20. NMR spectra of poly(L-4-b-L-3) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry 7; $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.
(a)
$c, d, e, j, k, l$

(b)

(see next page)
(c)


Figure S21. NMR spectra of poly(L-1-b-L-3-b-L-4) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S4, entry 8; 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum; (c) DOSY-NMR of the copolymer.

Low spin


## High spin



Figure S22. Frontier molecular orbitals and energy diagrams (eV) of Co-1 complex from DFT calculations. Left: low spin Co-1. Right: high spin Co-1. HOMO: highest occupied molecular orbital. LUMO: lowest unoccupied molecular orbital.


Figure S23. Time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) computed absorption spectrum, and population analysis of (a) Co-1, and (b) Co-1/L-1. Detailed computations results in section S7.


Figure S24. Frontier molecular orbitals and energy diagrams (eV) of L-1/Co-1 complex from DFT calculations. Left: low spin complex. Right: high spin complex. SOMO: singly occupied molecular orbital. HOMO: highest occupied molecular orbital. LUMO: lowest unoccupied molecular orbital.
(a) $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{L}-1$

(b) $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{L}-1$




Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled monomers (a) $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}$, and (b) $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-L-1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ atoms are highlighted by color circles in chemical structures ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ).
(a)


(b)


(c)



Figure S26. Effect of reaction temperature on the side reactions in $\mathbf{C o} / \mathbf{Z n}$-mediated photoredox polymerization of $\mathbf{L - 1}$. ESI-MS spectra were obtained at different reaction temperatures. $\quad[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{C o}-1] /[\mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1}] /[\mathrm{BnOH}]=1 / 1 / 1 / 1$. (a) and (b) indicate side reactions in $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}$ photoredox ROP at room temperature; whereas (c) suggests no side reactions at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## a1) $1 / \mathrm{Co}-1$ r.t.


a2) $\mathbf{1 / C o - 1 / Z n - 1 / B n O H ~ r . t . ~}$

(see next page)

## a3) $\mathbf{1 / C o - 1 / Z n - 1 / B n O H} 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, h v$

\% Transmittance<br>v(COO): 1746 (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

## a4) $\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Zn}-1 / \mathrm{BnOH}-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $h v$

v(COO): 1751 (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
(see next page)

## b1) $\mathbf{1 / C o - 1}+\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}$ r.t.

\% Transmittance
$\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{COO}): 1763$ (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

## b2) $\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Zn}-1 / \mathrm{BnOH}+\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}$ r.t.

\% Transmittance
v(COO): 1753 (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
(see next page)

## b3) $1 / \mathrm{Co}-1 / \mathrm{Zn}-1 / \mathrm{BnOH}+\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, h v$

$\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{COO}): 1763$ (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

## b4) $\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Co}-\mathbf{1} / \mathrm{Zn}-1 / \mathrm{BnOH}+\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hv

\% Transmittance

## $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{COO}): 1750$ (ester) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

Figure S27. FTIR spectra of the ring-opening reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ at various reaction conditions. The spectra indicated the absence of a Co-carbonyl intermediate ( $\sim 1973 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) was observed in the spectrum of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C o - 1}$ at a ratio of $1 / 1$ at different conditions, even in the presence of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCl}$ as a CO scavenger. ${ }^{27}$ The results suggested that Co-induced decarbonylation was essentially inefficient in this room temperature reaction, different from similar reactions mediated by a $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ complex. ${ }^{22}$


Figure S28. (a) ESI-MS spectrum of the mixture of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C o - 1}$ (1/1). (b) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the mixture of $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C o - 1}(1 / 1)$, and $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathbf{1}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)$.

## Discussion:

We did not find peaks corresponding to lactones or hydroxyl acids. The peak of $531.4(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})$ in (a) may be due to the oxidation in the ESI-MS operation that cannot completely maintain anhydrous conditions.


Figure S29. (a) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the mixture of $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2} / \mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1} /$ $\mathrm{BnOH}(1 / 1 / 1 / 1)$ at room temperature or at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with light ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ). The highlighted red area indicated the existence of carbonyls possibly assigned as lactones and ketones. (b) ESIMS spectrum of the mixture of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2} / \mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1} / \mathrm{BnOH}(1 / 1 / 1 / 1)$ at room temperature, which presented lactone peaks.

## Discussion:

We also used $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{HMDS})_{2}$ aiming to capture $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})$ complex in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. However, no $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})$ peaks were observed in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR studies. Given the observation of hydroxyl acids as the side products, we probed the possibility that these hydroxyl acids were hydrolyzed from lactones, which could be formed via the Co-mediated decarboxylation. No lactone peaks were found in the ESI-MS and NMR spectra of the mixture of L-1/Co-1 (Fig. S28). However, peaks assigned as six- and nine-membered lactones were found in ESI-MS of the mixture of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) / \mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1} / \mathrm{BnOH}(1 / 1 / 1 / 1)$ at room temperature, but no peaks corresponding to hydroxyl acids were observed. The presence of lactone was also confirmed by the presence of carbonyl carbon peak at 172 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L$\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]-\mathbf{1} /\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) / \mathbf{Z n} \mathbf{- 1} / \mathrm{BnOH}$ at room temperature (Fig. S29), excluding the possibility that hydroxyl acids were generated by hydrolysis of lactones in ESI-MS experimental conditions.


Figure S30. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the methine region of poly (rac-1) presented in Table S8. (a) entry 1 ; (b) entry 2 ; (c) entry 5 ; (d) entry 8 ; (e) entry 9 ; (f) entry 10 ; (g) entry 15.
(a)

(b)



Figure S31. Plots of monomer conversion in polymerization reactions of (a) L-1 ([L-1]/[Zn-3]/[Co-2]/[BnOH] = 400/1/1/1), D-1 ([D-1]/[Zn-3]/[Co-2]/[BnOH] $=400 / 1 / 1 / 1$ ), and rac-1 ([L-1]/[D-1]/[Zn-3]/[Co-2]/[BnOH] = 200/200/1/1/1; [1] = 260.4 mM ); (b) L-1 ([L-1]/[Hf-1]/[Co-2] $=400 / 1 / 1), \quad \mathrm{D}-\mathbf{1}([\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{H f}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{C o - 2}]=400 / 1 / 1)$, and $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1}([\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{1}] /[\mathbf{H f - 1}] /[\mathbf{C o}-2]=$ 200/200/1/1; [1] = 130.2 mM ); (c) L-4 ([L-4]/[Hf-1]/[Co-2] = 400/1/1), D-4 ([D-4]/[Hf-1]/[Co-2] $=400 / 1 / 1)$, and $\mathrm{rac}-4([\mathrm{~L}-4] /[\mathrm{D}-4] /[\mathbf{H f}-1] /[\mathbf{C o}-2]=200 / 200 / 1 / 1 ;[4]=172.4 \mathrm{mM}) . \mathrm{FR}$, the feeding ratio of monomer to catalyst.


Figure S32. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the methine region of poly(rac-2) in Table S9. (a) entry 1 ; (b) entry 2 ; (c) entry 3.


Figure S33. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the methine region of poly(rac-4) in Table S9. (a) entry 4 ; (b) entry 5 ; (c) entry 6 ; (d) entry 7.


Figure S34. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the methine region of poly(rac-3) in Table S9. (a) entry 8 ; (b) entry 9 ; (c) entry 10 .


Figure S35. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra overlay of the methine region of poly(rac-5) in Table S9. (a) entry 11; (b) entry 12 ; (c) entry 13 ; (d) entry 14.


Figure S36. DSC thermograms of poly(rac-1) with various microstructures.


Figure S37. DSC thermograms of poly(rac-2) with various microstructures.

## (a) poly(L-3)



(c)
poly(sd-3) by Co-2/Hf-1


Figure S38. DSC thermograms of $\operatorname{poly}(r a c-3)$ with various microstructures.
(a) $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{L}-4)$

(b) poly(sb-4) by Co-1/Zn-2


|  | $T_{\mathrm{m}}=146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 100 | 150 |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 200 |  |

Figure S39. DSC thermograms of poly(rac-4) with various microstructures.


Figure S40. DSC thermograms of poly $(\mathrm{rac}-5)$ with various microstructures.


Figure S41. The measured monomer molar ratios in monomer/polymer mixtures by Fourier-transform infrared spectra (FTIR) versus known monomer concentration in the mixture. The OCA monomer 1 was mixed with poly(1) with known molecular weight and concentrations at predetermined ratios (numbers in $x$ axis). The mixture was measured by FTIR to determine the monomer concentrations in the mixtures (values in y axis). Note that the monomer anhydride peaks ( $\sim 1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) are separated from the esters peaks ( $\sim 1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and the anhydride peaks intensity gradually decrease through the polymerization process.

## S7. Computation results

## Co-1:

Low spin
Energy: -2387.13484063

| C | 3.34856100 | -2.13298700 | 0.16740700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.79299700 | -4.55347900 | 1.00201700 |
| C | 1.23399600 | -3.31673700 | -1.70778400 |
| C | 1.90501700 | 0.96001000 | 2.55178300 |
| C | 0.67373200 | 0.30828200 | -2.54249500 |
| C | -0.67373200 | -0.30828200 | -2.54249500 |
| C | -1.95093100 | -3.76821800 | 1.35225400 |
| C | -1.90501700 | -0.96001000 | 2.55178300 |
| C | 2.47265000 | 0.99654400 | -1.25359100 |
| C | 1.30135400 | 0.76768100 | -3.70710500 |
| C | -2.47265000 | -0.99654400 | -1.25359100 |
| C | -1.30135400 | -0.76768100 | -3.70710500 |
| C | -1.23399600 | 3.31673700 | -1.70778400 |
| C | -3.34856100 | 2.13298700 | 0.16740700 |
| C | 0.00000000 | 3.03021600 | 3.60050400 |
| C | 2.56098000 | 1.35164400 | -3.61948800 |
| C | 3.16138800 | 1.47039900 | -2.36692900 |
| C | -3.16138800 | -1.47039900 | -2.36692900 |
| C | -2.56098000 | -1.35164400 | -3.61948800 |
| C | 0.00000000 | -3.03021600 | 3.60050400 |
| C | 1.95093100 | 3.76821800 | 1.35225400 |
| C | -1.79299700 | 4.55347900 | 1.00201700 |
| Co | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.27549300 |
| H | 2.70765500 | 1.38285800 | 3.17214900 |
| H | 0.77584200 | 3.15337000 | 4.36798700 |
| H | 3.05875000 | 1.71683700 | -4.51277200 |
| H | 4.13981200 | 1.92289100 | -2.24555600 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 2.89757100 | 1.07329200 | $-0.26017400$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.80257100 | 0.69326100 | -4.66631900 |
| -2.89757100 | $-1.07329200$ | -0.26017400 |
| -4.13981200 | -1.92289100 | -2.24555600 |
| -3.05875000 | -1.71683700 | -4.51277200 |
| -0.80257100 | -0.69326100 | -4.66631900 |
| 0.86321100 | -5.12857500 | 1.07557600 |
| 0.24995200 | -3.79170400 | $-1.80865600$ |
| 1.24779900 | -2.43860300 | -2.36296800 |
| 2.50981100 | -5.16696600 | 0.43995800 |
| 1.98395200 | -4.02181700 | -2.09032000 |
| -0.73558100 | 2.31260800 | 3.98434900 |
| -0.50438600 | 3.99464400 | 3.48422100 |
| 2.68182600 | 4.05076300 | 2.12174900 |
| 1.35935400 | 0.24087800 | 3.17326600 |
| -2.50981100 | 5.16696600 | 0.43995800 |
| 0.50438600 | -3.99464400 | 3.48422100 |
| 3.48990900 | -1.29791400 | $-0.52618800$ |
| 3.59347200 | -1.77927600 | 1.17656900 |
| 1.40171600 | 4.67561900 | 1.07463500 |
| 2.51087200 | 3.44822600 | 0.46440900 |
| 2.38679000 | 0.38100700 | 1.75600000 |
| 2.19382800 | -4.43364500 | 2.01482500 |
| -0.86321100 | 5.12857500 | 1.07557600 |
| -2.19382800 | 4.43364500 | 2.01482500 |
| -4.08598900 | 2.90175900 | -0.09955700 |
| -1.98395200 | 4.02181700 | -2.09032000 |
| 0.73558100 | -2.31260800 | 3.98434900 |
| -0.77584200 | -3.15337000 | 4.36798700 |
| -2.38679000 | -0.38100700 | 1.75600000 |
| -2.68182600 | -4.05076300 | 2.12174900 |
| 4.08598900 | -2.90175900 | -0.09955700 |


| H | -3.59347200 | 1.77927600 | 1.17656900 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -3.48990900 | 1.29791400 | -0.52618800 |
| H | -1.24779900 | 2.43860300 | -2.36296800 |
| H | -0.24995200 | 3.79170400 | -1.80865600 |
| H | -1.35935400 | -0.24087800 | 3.17326600 |
| H | -2.70765500 | -1.38285800 | 3.17214900 |
| H | -1.40171600 | -4.67561900 | 1.07463500 |
| H | -2.51087200 | -3.44822600 | 0.46440900 |
| N | -1.26391900 | -0.42246600 | -1.33253700 |
| N | -0.37706900 | 1.85044000 | 0.80742500 |
| N | 0.37706900 | -1.85044000 | 0.80742500 |
| N | 1.26391900 | 0.42246600 | -1.33253700 |
| Si | -1.59436200 | 2.87675700 | 0.11313800 |
| Si | -0.78108200 | -2.39734300 | 1.98429100 |
| Si | 1.59436200 | -2.87675700 | 0.11313800 |
| Si | 0.78108200 | 2.39734300 | 1.98429100 |

## Co-1:

High spin
Energy: -2387.1725395 Hartree

| C | 0.00000000 | 3.54210800 | -0.42916200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 2.04758300 | 4.00285500 | 1.74001000 |
| C | 2.91700400 | 2.87877400 | -0.97141600 |
| C | -2.08651300 | 1.43586800 | 2.27416900 |
| C | -0.69608600 | 0.26071100 | -2.95263700 |
| C | 0.69608600 | -0.26071100 | -2.95263700 |
| C | 4.15937800 | 0.75550200 | 1.93155400 |
| C | 2.08651300 | -1.43586800 | 2.27416900 |
| C | -2.44327600 | 1.08259900 | -1.66701100 |
| C | -1.46595800 | 0.37153500 | -4.11699700 |
| C | 2.44327600 | -1.08259900 | -1.66701100 |
| C | 1.46595800 | -0.37153500 | -4.11699700 |


| C | -2.91700400 | -2.87877400 | -0.97141600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.00000000 | -3.54210800 | -0.42916200 |
| C | -1.85006600 | -1.10258800 | 3.91691900 |
| C | -2.76274400 | 0.87159900 | -4.02886700 |
| C | -3.26372300 | 1.24006600 | -2.78158200 |
| C | 3.26372300 | -1.24006600 | -2.78158200 |
| C | 2.76274400 | -0.87159900 | -4.02886700 |
| C | 1.85006600 | 1.10258800 | 3.91691900 |
| C | -4.15937800 | -0.75550200 | 1.93155400 |
| C | -2.04758300 | -4.00285500 | 1.74001000 |
| Co | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00078900 |
| H | -2.61904800 | 1.81482000 | 3.15686300 |
| H | -2.44788100 | -0.59624200 | 4.68646100 |
| H | -3.37455900 | 0.96164700 | -4.92161500 |
| H | -4.26957700 | 1.62931200 | -2.66577900 |
| H | -2.78955800 | 1.33388700 | -0.67122600 |
| H | -1.06957500 | 0.05270800 | -5.07405900 |
| H | 2.78955800 | -1.33388700 | -0.67122600 |
| H | 4.26957700 | -1.62931200 | -2.66577900 |
| H | 3.37455900 | -0.96164700 | -4.92161500 |
| H | 1.06957500 | -0.05270800 | -5.07405900 |
| H | 2.97781400 | 3.72656800 | 2.24899300 |
| H | 3.88385900 | 2.53536900 | -0.58435000 |
| H | 2.66853700 | 2.24906200 | -1.83611900 |
| H | 2.19386800 | 5.00472000 | 1.31506900 |
| H | 3.05056000 | 3.90569300 | -1.33704800 |
| H | -0.79317600 | -0.92153500 | 4.14606300 |
| H | -2.02666300 | -2.17943900 | 4.01507100 |
| H | -4.73595300 | -0.25707400 | 2.72214700 |
| H | -1.03461600 | 1.72717000 | 2.36166400 |
| H | -2.19386800 | $-5.00472000$ | 1.31506900 |
| H | 2.02666300 | 2.17943900 | 4.01507100 |


| -0.26261800 | 3.07868800 | $-1.38605400$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.87037000 | 3.47020300 | 0.23347200 |
| -4.42509600 | -1.81887600 | 1.95600600 |
| -4.50588100 | -0.35578600 | 0.96945900 |
| -2.50399700 | 1.96004300 | 1.40433000 |
| 1.26334300 | 4.07875800 | 2.50300200 |
| -2.97781400 | -3.72656800 | 2.24899300 |
| -1.26334300 | -4.07875800 | 2.50300200 |
| -0.18042300 | -4.60794700 | -0.62229000 |
| -3.05056000 | -3.90569300 | -1.33704800 |
| 0.79317600 | 0.92153500 | 4.14606300 |
| 2.44788100 | 0.59624200 | 4.68646100 |
| 2.50399700 | -1.96004300 | 1.40433000 |
| 4.73595300 | 0.25707400 | 2.72214700 |
| 0.18042300 | 4.60794700 | -0.62229000 |
| 0.87037000 | -3.47020300 | 0.23347200 |
| 0.26261800 | -3.07868800 | -1.38605400 |
| -2.66853700 | -2.24906200 | $-1.83611900$ |
| -3.88385900 | -2.53536900 | -0.58435000 |
| 1.03461600 | -1.72717000 | 2.36166400 |
| 2.61904800 | -1.81482000 | 3.15686300 |
| 4.42509600 | 1.81887600 | 1.95600600 |
| 4.50588100 | 0.35578600 | 0.96945900 |
| 1.19214800 | -0.61336600 | $-1.74762200$ |
| -1.30861000 | -1.15457000 | 0.92451800 |
| 1.30861000 | 1.15457000 | 0.92451800 |
| -1.19214800 | 0.61336600 | -1.74762200 |
| -1.55587500 | -2.78033100 | 0.36350200 |
| 2.28924700 | 0.45464800 | 2.18229400 |
| 1.55587500 | 2.78033100 | 0.36350200 |
| -2.28924700 | -0.45464800 | 2.18229400 |

TD-DFT calculated transitions 1-80 for Co-1 (see Figure S23a)

| Excited state | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | f | Excited state | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | f |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1895.49 | 0.0001 | 41 | 313.21 | 0.0001 |
| 2 | 1528.98 | 0.0000 | 42 | 310.28 | 0.0009 |
| 3 | 1408.66 | 0.0002 | 43 | 308.61 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 1171.15 | 0.0026 | 44 | 307.04 | 0.0047 |
| 5 | 704.59 | 0.0029 | 45 | 305.52 | 0.0003 |
| 6 | 671.09 | 0.0012 | 46 | 304.38 | 0.0030 |
| 7 | 667.40 | 0.0131 | 47 | 300.87 | 0.0001 |
| 8 | 659.10 | 0.0004 | 48 | 300.16 | 0.0005 |
| 9 | 656.30 | 0.0004 | 49 | 299.78 | 0.0003 |
| 10 | 626.12 | 0.0001 | 50 | 298.59 | 0.0022 |
| 11 | 487.63 | 0.0009 | 51 | 297.91 | 0.0003 |
| 12 | 468.95 | 0.0188 | 52 | 296.33 | 0.0004 |
| 13 | 462.48 | 0.0032 | 53 | 292.54 | 0.0031 |
| 14 | 462.26 | 0.0001 | 54 | 290.01 | 0.0010 |
| 15 | 441.98 | 0.0031 | 55 | 287.73 | 0.0366 |
| 16 | 439.90 | 0.0001 | 56 | 285.55 | 0.0007 |
| 17 | 434.73 | 0.0012 | 57 | 280.95 | 0.0002 |
| 18 | 424.47 | 0.0000 | 58 | 279.69 | 0.0030 |
| 19 | 412.59 | 0.0068 | 59 | 279.37 | 0.0163 |
| 20 | 407.70 | 0.0002 | 60 | 278.10 | 0.2035 |
| 21 | 403.50 | 0.0037 | 61 | 276.33 | 0.0009 |
| 22 | 395.51 | 0.0094 | 62 | 276.28 | 0.0002 |
| 23 | 390.94 | 0.0001 | 63 | 273.03 | 0.0001 |
| 24 | 389.70 | 0.0000 | 64 | 272.76 | 0.0003 |
| 25 | 382.68 | 0.0145 | 65 | 271.68 | 0.0024 |
| 26 | 377.55 | 0.0000 | 66 | 270.25 | 0.0017 |
| 27 | 358.25 | 0.0020 | 67 | 269.79 | 0.0005 |
| 28 | 357.82 | 0.0113 | 68 | 268.02 | 0.0000 |
| 29 | 349.32 | 0.0022 | 69 | 266.26 | 0.0006 |
| 30 | 347.84 | 0.0005 | 70 | 265.58 | 0.0031 |
| 31 | 338.91 | 0.0008 | 71 | 263.70 | 0.0036 |
| 32 | 337.67 | 0.0005 | 72 | 263.28 | 0.0027 |
| 33 | 330.35 | 0.0019 | 73 | 260.54 | 0.0000 |
| 34 | 324.39 | 0.0019 | 74 | 259.61 | 0.0039 |
| 35 | 324.13 | 0.0015 | 75 | 259.38 | 0.0000 |
| 36 | 323.87 | 0.0000 | 76 | 259.28 | 0.0009 |
| 37 | 321.11 | 0.0039 | 77 | 258.94 | 0.0013 |
| 38 | 316.88 | 0.0055 | 78 | 257.41 | 0.0129 |
| 39 | 0.0003 | 79 | 256.83 | 0.0003 |  |
| 40 | 0.0017 | 80 | 056.57 | 0.0007 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Co-1/L-1:

Low spin
Energy: -3072.4934731 Hartree

| C | 4.09882600 | -0.48795800 | 2.56282700 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.61140700 | $-1.15916200$ | 3.67731100 |
| C | 2.24246100 | -1.34483400 | 3.80822400 |
| C | 1.40934100 | -0.88170200 | 2.79372000 |
| N | 1.87503700 | -0.26106300 | 1.71184400 |
| C | 3.19437700 | $-0.03572100$ | 1.60438800 |
| C | 5.03571400 | 2.49028500 | -0.50775200 |
| C | 4.82670100 | 1.48027400 | 0.42126900 |
| C | 3.57834100 | 0.86343200 | 0.49831900 |
| N | 2.59233700 | 1.20234000 | $-0.35480200$ |
| C | 2.78004200 | 2.20527900 | $-1.21284700$ |
| C | 3.98444100 | 2.88444400 | $-1.32412200$ |
| Co | 0.87882600 | 0.19561000 | -0.22455400 |
| N | 1.68564800 | -1.41006100 | -0.97985200 |
| Si | 2.37717900 | $-1.48344600$ | $-2.57497600$ |
| C | 3.93357000 | -2.57468700 | $-2.59504600$ |
| C | 3.10582900 | 0.10003800 | -3.32391300 |
| C | 1.17472000 | -2.04125000 | -3.92666200 |
| Si | 1.47157800 | -2.98017800 | -0.23101800 |
| C | 0.00218800 | -3.27564200 | 0.92161600 |
| C | 3.01719900 | -3.49688700 | 0.74575900 |
| C | 1.14328800 | -4.37798100 | $-1.48054900$ |
| N | -0.01930600 | 1.81878800 | 0.05649700 |
| Si | -1.11231500 | 2.16310800 | $-1.30189600$ |
| C | -0.96767100 | 3.97971100 | $-1.81325600$ |
| C | -2.91209900 | 1.79027200 | -0.89691600 |
| C | -0.62801300 | 1.16054100 | $-2.81797300$ |
| Si | 0.06675700 | 2.91157300 | 1.44280100 |
| C | -1.63852000 | 3.60229800 | 1.85384400 |
| C | 1.23767000 | 4.33291600 | 0.99930700 |
| C | 0.71104500 | 2.23525800 | 3.07047200 |
| C | -3.20584300 | -2.02537600 | 2.71867900 |
| C | -3.71421500 | -1.22687700 | 1.51821900 |
| O | -2.78622000 | -1.25037200 | 0.46510900 |
| C | -1.59294800 | -0.63323100 | 0.74551200 |
| O | -0.81515400 | -0.69886400 | -0.25896500 |
| C | -5.02062800 | -1.82332700 | 1.00654300 |


| O | -1.41525900 | -0.12400800 | 1.85195100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -5.60426300 | -0.98274700 | -0.09426800 |
| C | -6.41807600 | 0.10904700 | 0.21262400 |
| C | -6.92098900 | 0.92645600 | -0.79384200 |
| C | -6.61359900 | 0.66016500 | $-2.12497300$ |
| C | -5.80172200 | -0.42549600 | -2.44121500 |
| C | -5.30000000 | -1.24008500 | -1.43182900 |
| O | -3.74261500 | -2.10094000 | 3.77445600 |
| H | 5.16704500 | -0.32071800 | 2.44935300 |
| H | 4.29796300 | $-1.52214700$ | 4.43958700 |
| H | 1.81600000 | -1.83960200 | 4.67687000 |
| H | 0.32391700 | -0.96532200 | 2.84818300 |
| H | 6.00341500 | 2.98372300 | $-0.56954200$ |
| H | 5.61781800 | 1.20373000 | 1.11275200 |
| H | 1.92409000 | 2.46796300 | $-1.83212900$ |
| H | 4.08775900 | 3.69303700 | -2.04231800 |
| H | 4.70960100 | -2.08623200 | -1.98469800 |
| H | 4.32028400 | -2.64349400 | -3.62278800 |
| H | 3.81162600 | -3.59492000 | -2.21458400 |
| H | 3.46039800 | -0.20150000 | -4.32165900 |
| H | 3.98001800 | 0.47294100 | $-2.77386300$ |
| H | 2.40032500 | 0.92534900 | $-3.48034600$ |
| H | 0.59846400 | -2.93583800 | -3.66819100 |
| H | 1.72669200 | -2.24913300 | $-4.85569000$ |
| H | 0.45850600 | -1.23599000 | -4.14486400 |
| H | -0.93317500 | -3.29457800 | 0.34804000 |
| H | -0.13854800 | $-2.58197900$ | 1.75526600 |
| H | 0.14808300 | -4.27838700 | 1.35372000 |
| H | 3.04206100 | $-4.59098600$ | 0.85735000 |
| H | 3.02167200 | -3.06989300 | 1.75805400 |
| H | 3.94586000 | -3.18807200 | 0.24694900 |
| H | 1.12053100 | -5.31662400 | -0.90581800 |
| H | 1.86577700 | $-4.50894700$ | -2.29354800 |
| H | 0.14758000 | -4.25326100 | -1.92861600 |
| H | -1.62001200 | 4.14424700 | $-2.68343600$ |
| H | 0.05510400 | 4.24039600 | $-2.12090200$ |
| H | -1.27500200 | 4.68854100 | $-1.03378700$ |
| H | -3.13507700 | 0.72284300 | -1.02839100 |
| H | -3.56058300 | 2.35297500 | $-1.58418800$ |
| H | -3.18879100 | 2.06943700 | 0.12723000 |


| H | -1.38114100 | 1.34019600 | -3.59981100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -0.62404300 | 0.08313800 | -2.60559900 |
| H | 0.34653300 | 1.44133900 | -3.24232600 |
| H | -2.15075500 | 4.09837700 | 1.02037200 |
| H | -1.53676900 | 4.33644700 | 2.66616600 |
| H | -2.28293400 | 2.79070800 | 2.21922800 |
| H | 2.27754500 | 3.97733900 | 0.94855500 |
| H | 1.19057700 | 5.09350300 | 1.79238700 |
| H | 0.99723100 | 4.82325900 | 0.04760900 |
| H | 0.12104400 | 1.37366600 | 3.40215400 |
| H | 0.58614700 | 3.04037300 | 3.81068600 |
| H | 1.77414200 | 1.96392700 | 3.05797200 |
| H | -3.87293200 | -0.19318200 | 1.87222000 |
| H | -5.71104500 | -1.89036400 | 1.85961900 |
| H | -4.82391600 | -2.84720700 | 0.65719400 |
| H | -6.65963100 | 0.31712600 | 1.25677800 |
| H | -7.55853900 | 1.77154400 | -0.53804400 |
| H | -7.00921200 | 1.29633200 | -2.91534500 |
| H | -5.55645500 | -0.63992200 | -3.48038900 |
| H | -4.65180400 | -2.08170500 | -1.67623900 |

## Co-1/L-1:

High spin
Energy: -3073.8640162 Hartree

| C | -2.29835400 | 4.07687900 | -1.17640800 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.25136100 | 4.59300500 | -1.93506500 |
| C | -0.16572300 | 3.77263000 | -2.22636500 |
| C | -0.16550700 | 2.47255800 | -1.72463100 |
| N | -1.16477000 | 1.97305700 | -0.98408400 |
| C | -2.23398900 | 2.75249700 | -0.72459800 |
| C | -5.57407600 | 2.13590600 | 0.95469200 |
| C | -4.59584600 | 2.72960800 | 0.16305700 |
| C | -3.32967800 | 2.13498000 | 0.06585000 |
| N | -3.04478800 | 0.97402100 | 0.69147600 |
| C | -3.99323900 | 0.41300800 | 1.45161600 |
| C | -5.26484400 | 0.95698200 | 1.62695600 |
| Co | -1.04064200 | -0.11569800 | -0.05650600 |
| N | -1.96681000 | -1.41847600 | -1.24785100 |


| Si | -3.07400500 | -2.60515100 | -0.61492700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -4.89965400 | -2.18447500 | -0.98428200 |
| C | -2.92689800 | -2.81954200 | 1.28844300 |
| C | -2.81488200 | -4.38416000 | -1.25501500 |
| Si | -1.81766300 | -1.18333800 | -2.97938700 |
| C | -0.01327500 | -1.01012100 | -3.54299700 |
| C | -2.79976800 | 0.34108300 | -3.57932700 |
| C | -2.50278900 | -2.60076500 | -4.05872700 |
| N | -0.20515800 | -0.59422600 | 1.91102300 |
| Si | 0.85386100 | -2.06536300 | 1.97318500 |
| C | 0.35934500 | -3.02193800 | 3.54172300 |
| C | 2.70073000 | -1.65281200 | 2.07391400 |
| C | 0.63618200 | -3.20423200 | 0.49120800 |
| Si | -0.28290300 | 0.57449000 | 3.28108500 |
| C | 1.31144000 | 0.70048200 | 4.29128500 |
| C | -1.64883200 | -0.09576900 | 4.42860400 |
| C | -0.75359200 | 2.32659800 | 2.76359500 |
| C | 3.44899800 | 3.09791000 | -0.24127400 |
| C | 3.97264800 | 1.64569900 | -0.24962300 |
| O | 2.99629400 | 0.76843200 | -0.78142600 |
| C | 1.81811600 | 0.68397300 | -0.04949700 |
| O | 0.97319400 | -0.08285300 | -0.60908100 |
| C | 5.23598800 | 1.55420500 | -1.12487500 |
| O | 1.71777700 | 1.33747600 | 0.99918000 |
| C | 5.94096800 | 0.21812600 | -1.01223300 |
| C | 7.07571700 | 0.08436600 | -0.20114300 |
| C | 7.72913400 | -1.14347800 | -0.07968300 |
| H | 7.25379500 | -2.25886000 | -0.77093900 |
| H | -5.19713700 | -1.22100500 | -0.55350000 |
| C | 6.12304800 | -2.13720100 | -1.58239100 |
| C | 5.47178700 | -0.90914600 | -1.70260700 |
| H | 3.98090300 | 4.02972600 | 0.26933000 |
| H | -3.14302000 | 4.70677700 | -0.92478900 |
| H | -1.28558200 | 5.62002700 | -2.28667000 |
| H | 0.67527900 | 4.12483000 | -2.81359500 |
| H | 0.65601100 | 1.79709100 | -1.92290600 |
|  | -6.55867300 | 2.58644600 | 1.03904200 |
| H | -4.82274800 | 3.63646300 | -0.38433100 |
| -3.72415200 | -0.51591900 | 1.93651200 |  |
|  | -5.979060 | 0.4548000 | 2.26079000 |
| H |  |  |  |


| H | -5.57145100 | -2.95377000 | -0.57968100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -5.08261400 | -2.12656800 | -2.06429300 |
| H | -2.44850000 | -3.77882500 | 1.51908000 |
| H | -3.92028100 | $-2.84410700$ | 1.75634900 |
| H | -2.33412300 | -2.04525900 | 1.78497600 |
| H | -3.18514300 | -4.54069600 | -2.27164100 |
| H | -3.35408100 | -5.08106100 | -0.59874000 |
| H | -1.75594300 | -4.66934800 | $-1.23848100$ |
| H | 0.46490200 | $-1.99845900$ | -3.54925400 |
| H | 0.58655500 | -0.37755000 | -2.88501000 |
| H | 0.03520300 | -0.61454200 | $-4.56659800$ |
| H | -2.82177200 | 0.37488700 | -4.67672700 |
| H | -2.37688500 | 1.28784900 | -3.22965400 |
| H | -3.83871900 | 0.29027700 | -3.22860800 |
| H | -2.37667700 | $-2.30843600$ | -5.11015400 |
| H | -3.56927200 | -2.80277700 | -3.90654500 |
| H | -1.95702000 | -3.53927800 | -3.91526000 |
| H | 0.90806700 | -3.97273300 | 3.55182100 |
| H | -0.70995000 | -3.25815100 | 3.55612900 |
| H | 0.60601400 | $-2.48821200$ | 4.46570800 |
| H | 3.09209800 | $-1.36375200$ | 1.09393600 |
| H | 3.22561400 | $-2.56899400$ | 2.37656900 |
| H | 2.94904800 | -0.86816600 | 2.79030700 |
| H | 1.40881200 | -3.98351100 | 0.54865400 |
| H | 0.76639700 | $-2.66119800$ | -0.44821000 |
| H | -0.33641000 | -3.70042000 | 0.46844600 |
| H | 1.65175700 | -0.25500500 | 4.70335400 |
| H | 1.13727100 | 1.37945300 | 5.13643400 |
| H | 2.11360500 | 1.12407700 | 3.67948100 |
| H | -2.62823500 | -0.12015800 | 3.94080800 |
| H | -1.72787200 | 0.57644100 | 5.29339200 |
| H | -1.43129900 | $-1.09988000$ | 4.80472900 |
| H | -0.10661400 | 2.66471400 | 1.95059400 |
| H | -0.59724600 | 2.99217300 | 3.62288100 |
| H | -1.79885600 | 2.41606900 | 2.45872600 |
| H | 4.19363700 | 1.38831900 | 0.79305300 |
| H | 5.90909400 | 2.36055300 | -0.81299300 |
| H | 4.94498400 | 1.75279700 | -2.16326100 |
| H | 7.45435900 | 0.95140700 | 0.33633700 |
| H | 8.61061500 | -1.22591600 | 0.55097600 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 7.76180700 & -3.21542300 & -0.68083700\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 5.74686200 & -3.00025500 & -2.12551000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 4.58928200 & -0.82167200 & -2.32913100\end{array}$

TD-DFT calculated transitions 1-80 for Co-1/L-1 (see Figure S23b)

| Excited state | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | f | Excited state | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | f |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2536.16 | 0.0002 | 41 | 347.8 | 0.0001 |
| 2 | 1613.08 | 0.0002 | 42 | 344.09 | 0.0026 |
| 3 | 1273.34 | 0.0004 | 43 | 337.45 | 0.0149 |
| 4 | 1245.71 | 0.0125 | 44 | 336.85 | 0.0014 |
| 5 | 1202.46 | 0.0021 | 45 | 335.25 | 0.0004 |
| 6 | 968.05 | 0.0003 | 46 | 330.69 | 0.0017 |
| 7 | 861.88 | 0.0001 | 47 | 327.04 | 0.0014 |
| 8 | 704.86 | 0.0042 | 48 | 325.07 | 0.0087 |
| 9 | 666.35 | 0.0093 | 49 | 323.3 | 0 |
| 10 | 611.16 | 0.0001 | 50 | 317.97 | 0.0006 |
| 11 | 564.87 | 0.0048 | 51 | 316.04 | 0.0008 |
| 12 | 560.9 | 0.0035 | 52 | 315.97 | 0.0003 |
| 13 | 557.11 | 0.0046 | 53 | 314.96 | 0.0085 |
| 14 | 545.52 | 0.0016 | 54 | 312.58 | 0.0002 |
| 15 | 500.09 | 0.0007 | 55 | 310.37 | 0.0026 |
| 16 | 476.15 | 0.001 | 56 | 308.85 | 0 |
| 17 | 470.84 | 0.0011 | 57 | 308.3 | 0.0016 |
| 18 | 456.05 | 0.001 | 58 | 307.96 | 0.0001 |
| 19 | 446.62 | 0.0229 | 59 | 306.68 | 0.0077 |
| 20 | 441.71 | 0.0142 | 60 | 305.37 | 0.001 |
| 21 | 432.82 | 0.0036 | 61 | 303.38 | 0.0021 |
| 22 | 419.54 | 0.0028 | 62 | 302.48 | 0.0002 |
| 23 | 414.13 | 0.003 | 63 | 300.6 | 0.0045 |
| 24 | 408.86 | 0.0101 | 64 | 298.44 | 0.0004 |
| 25 | 402.4 | 0.0017 | 65 | 297.61 | 0.0015 |
| 26 | 399.57 | 0.0001 | 66 | 296.39 | 0.0025 |
| 27 | 397.4 | 0.0008 | 67 | 293.6 | 0.0004 |
| 28 | 395.4 | 0.0036 | 68 | 293.34 | 0.0013 |
| 29 | 390.08 | 0.0001 | 69 | 292.82 | 0.0002 |
| 30 | 386.28 | 0.0036 | 70 | 291.72 | 0.0025 |
| 31 | 385.66 | 0 | 291.3 | 0.0019 |  |
| 32 | 384.24 | 0.0026 | 71 | 290.56 | 0.0022 |
| 33 | 383.99 | 0.013 | 73 | 0.0014 |  |
| 34 | 378.51 | 0.0022 | 74 | 285.73 | 0.0163 |
| 35 | 368.89 | 0.0008 | 75 | 284.37 | 0.0005 |
| 36 | 366.77 | 0.0002 | 76 | 282.99 | 0.0064 |
| 37 | 364.02 | 0 | 282.72 | 0.0078 |  |
| 38 | 362.7 | 0.0349 | 77 | 281.86 | 0.0306 |
| 39 | 359.02 | 0.005 | 78 | 081.19 | 0.0062 |
| 40 | 0.0024 | 80 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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