## **Supporting Information**

## Engineering of Hierarchical NiCoSe<sub>2</sub>@NiMn-LDH Core-Shell Nanostructures as a High-Performance Positive Electrode Material for Hybrid Supercapacitors

Bahareh Ameri, Akbar Mohammadi Zardkhoshoui\* and Saied Saeed Hosseiny Davarani\*

Department of Chemistry, Shahid Beheshti University, G. C., 1983963113, Evin, Tehran, Iran.

Corresponding authors: \*Tel: +98 21 22431661; Fax: +98 21 22431661; E-mail: ss-hosseiny@sbu.ac.ir

(S.S.H. Davarani); and mohammadi.bahadoran@gmail.com (A. Mohammadi Zardkhoshoui)



Fig. S1 XPS spectrum of the O 1s for NCSe@NMLDH sample.



Fig. S2 BET curves of NCSe and NCSe@NMLDH and their corresponding BJH curve (inset).



Fig. S3 (A, B) FE-SEM image of the Ni-Co-precursors.



Fig .S4 CV curves of the NCSe/NF electrode at various scan rates.



Fig. S5 GCD curves of the NCSe/NF electrode at various current densities.



Fig. S6 (A) Specific capacity vs mass loading of NCSe (B) Specific capacity vs mass loading of NCSe@NMLDH.



**Fig. S7** The cyclability and Coulombic efficiency of the NCSe/NF electrode at 32 A  $g^{-1}$  (the inset shows the first and last eight GCD cycles).



**Fig S8.** (A) Nyquist graphs of the NCSe@NMLDH/NF before and after cycling. (B) Nyquist graphs of NCSe/NF before and after cycling.



**Fig S9.** (A) FE-SEM image of the NCSe/NF after cycling. (B) FE-SEM image of the @NMLDH/NF after cycling



**Fig S10** (A) CV curves of the AC electrode at different sweep rates. (B) GCD profiles of the AC electrode at miscellaneous current densities. (C) Rate capability of the AC electrode.

Composition	Capacity/capacitance 3 and 2 electrodes (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> , F g <sup>-1</sup> )	Cycles, retention 2 and 3 electrode	ED (W h kg <sup>-1</sup> ) 2 Electrode	Reference
W0.4M00.6O3	115.7 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	2000, 82.3% (2 E)	20.2	1
T-Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> @Ni <sub>2</sub> P	105 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup> (3 E)	5000, 90% (2 E)	30.2	2
<i>Co</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>O</i> <sub>4</sub>	209 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	3000, 90 (3 E)	41.1	3
SDBS-Ni <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>1</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	191.6 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	2000, 77% (3 E)	36.5	4
		2000, 76% (2 E)		
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-CNFs	145 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup> (3 E)	6000, 88% (2 E)	42	5
C0 <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /C0(OH) <sub>2</sub>	184.9 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	5000, 90% (3         E)         5000, 91% (2         E)	37.6	6
NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	<i>130</i> mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at .63 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	100, 100 (3 E)	16.6	7
ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	78.89 at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E) 34.7 at .2 A g <sup>-1</sup> (2 E)		27.78	8
NCSe@NMLDH/NF	401.7 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 2 A g <sup>-1</sup> (3 E)	10000, 96.8 (3 E)	47	This work
	132.2 F g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup> (2 E)	10000, 91.3 (2 E)		

 Table S1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of NCSe@NMLDH/NF electrode in three and

 two electrode systems with other previously reported electrodes.

## References

1 H. Peng, S. Cui, X. Xie, G. Wei, K. Sun, G. Ma and Z. Lei, *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, 322, 134759.

2 F. Wang, H. Lei, H. Peng, J. Zhou, R. Zhao, J. Liang, G. Ma and Z. Lei, *Electrochim. Acta* 2019,
325, 134934.

3 L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, S. Huang, Y. Yuan, H. Li, Z. Jin, J. Wu, Q. Liao, L. Hu, J. Lu, S. Ruan and Y-J. Zeng, *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, **281**, 189-197.

4 X. Zhang, N. Shang, S. Gao, C. Wang, Y. Gao and Z. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2019, **483**, 529-535.

5 H. Peng, J. Zhou, Z. Chen, R. Zhao, J. Liang, F. Wang, G. Ma and Z. Lei, *J. Alloys Compd.* 2019, **797**, 1095-1105.

6 G. Lee and J. Jang, J. Power Sources 2019, 423, 115-124.

7 Y. Yuan, Y. Lu, B.-E. Jia, H. Tang, L. Chen, Y.-J. Zeng, Y. Hou, Q. Zhang, Q. He, J. Leng, Z. Ye and J. Lu, *Nano-Micro Lett.* 2019, **42**, 2-12.

8 Y. Shang, T. Xie, C. Ma, L. Su, Y. Gai, J. Liu and L. Gong, *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, **286**, 103-113.