Supporting Information

Ag-induced Metallogel Based on Cyclooctatetrathiophene: Structural Characterization and Stimuli-responsive Properties

Yu Tian, §a Chenglong Wang, §a Guangxia Wang*a Li Xu^b and Hua Wang*a

^a Engineering Research Center for Nanomaterials, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China. ^b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China.

E-mail address: wangguangxia@henu.edu.cn and hwang@henu.edu.cn

Table of Contents

1.	General Procedures and Materials	S3
2.	Syntheses of New Compounds	S4
3.	Crystal Structure of Compound 1 and Crystal Data of Compounds 2 and 3	S6
4.	¹ H NMR Titration Experiments of Ligands 2 and 3	S8
5.	Photographs of Metallogels 2Ag and 3Ag	S10
6.	Rheology measurements	S12
7.	FT-IR Spectra of Ligand 3 and Metallogel 3Ag	S12
8.	UV-vis/PL Titration Experiments	S12
9.	XPS of 2Ag and 3Ag	S14
10.	TEM and SEM images of 2 and 3	S14
11.	AFM images of 2Ag and 3Ag	\$15
12.	The Gel-sol Transitions of 2Ag and 3Ag Triggered by Different Stimuli	S15
13.	AIE Behaviors and the Gel-sol Transitions of 2Ag and 3Ag Triggered by H_2O	S19
14.	NMR / HRMS and IR Spectra	S23
15.	Reference	S29

1. General Procedures and Materials

All starting chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled sodium benzophenone under argon. Concentration of *n*-BuLi (in hexane) was determined by titration with N-pivaloyl-*o*-toluidine.^{S1} Compounds **4**^{S2} and **5**^{S3} were prepared as previously reported. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel using 200-300 mesh. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using precoated TLC plates with silica gel GF-254.

NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker spectrometer (¹H, ¹³C, Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz) using chloroform-d (CDCl₃), acetone- d_6 or the mixture of them as solvent. The chemical shift references were as follows: (¹H) chloroform-*d*, 7.26 ppm; (1H) acetone- d_6 , 2.05 ppm; (¹³C) chloroform-d, 77.16 ppm. IR spectra were recorded on FT-IR spectrometer with thin KBr disk. High resolution mass spectra ESI were acquired on FT-ICR spectrometer. Melting point determination was taken on a Melt-Temp apparatus and was uncorrected. UV-vis spectra were obtained with a double-beam spectrophotometer at room temperature. PL were obtained with Shanghai Prism F97. TEM images were obtained on a JEM-2010 trasmission electron microscope oppereting at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV by drop casting the sample dispersion on copper grids coated with a carbon film. SEM images were obtained on a Carl Zeiss GEMINI500 electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 5.0 kV after the sample was coated with a thin layer of gold. XPS data of samples were collected on Thermo Scientific Escalab 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Rheological measurements were performed on a Rotational Rheometer (DHR2) with parallel plate geometry. AFM images were collected on Scanning probe microscope (Dimension Icon) in tapping mode by the probe of $3 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ using 0.99 Hz scan rate at environment.

2. Syntheses of New Compounds

Scheme S1. Synthesis of Ligands 2 and 3^a.



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (i) *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C for 2 h, then TMSCl, -78 °C to rt for 12 h; (ii) *n*-BuLi, THF, -78 °C for 2 h, then $C_2Br_2Cl_4$, -78 °C to rt for 12 h; (iii) pyridine-3-boronic acid, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, THF, 80 °C for 12 h; (iv) pyridine-4-boronic acid, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, THF, 80 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of compound 6.

n-BuLi (2.47 M, 0.46 mL, 1.15 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of **5** (258 mg, 0.55 mmol) in dry THF (35 mL) at -78 °C under an argon atmosphere. After the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, 1,2-dibromo-1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (444 mg, 1.36 mmol) was added to the mixture at the same temperature, and then the solution was warmed to room temperature overnight. After the reaction was quenched with methanol at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduce pressure. The crude product was extracted with dichloromethane and water and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removing the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether), and then the resultant solid was washed with methanol to give compound **6** as a white solid (326 mg, 95%). M.p. 220-221 °C. IR (KBr): 2954, 1450, 1250, 986, 841 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 0.31 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 143.86, 137.21, 136.91, 136.52, 136.48, 133.53, 132.59, 113.97, -0.09. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd

for $C_{22}H_{23}Br_2S_4Si_2^+$ (M+H)⁺ 628.85824, found 628.85785.

Synthesis of compounds 2 and 3.

A mixture of **6** (160 mg, 0.25 mmol), pyridine-3-boronic acid (69 mg, 0.56 mmol) Pd(PPh₃)₄ (15 mg, 0.01 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (175 mg, 1.27 mmol) in THF (20 mL) and H₂O (4 mL) was stirred under Ar atmosphere for 12 h at 80 °C. The solution was concentrated under reduce pressure. The crude product was extracted with dichloromethane and water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removing the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (dichloromethane / methanol = 300:1) to afford **2** as a yellow solid (103 mg, 65%). M.p. 210-211 °C. IR (KBr): 2926, 1633, 1411, 1251, 980, 840, 704 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.87 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.32 (m, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 0.35 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.96, 146.79, 143.62, 141.59, 137.89, 137.50, 137.22, 136.71, 132.90, 132.76, 129.95, 127.07, 123.83, -0.06. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₃₂H₃₁N₂S₄Si₂⁺ (M+H)⁺ 627.09031, found 627.09058.

Compound **3** was synthesized in a similar way to that described for **2**. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (dichloromethane / methanol = 150:1) to afford **3** as a yellow solid in the yield of 71%. M.p. 196-197 °C. IR (KBr): 2955, 1596, 1410, 1251, 984, 841 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.60 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 4.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 0.36 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.59, 144.06, 142.16, 140.86, 137.86, 137.35, 137.25, 136.71, 134.10, 128.09, 119.52, -0.07. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₃₂H₃₁N₂S₄Si₂⁺ (M+H)⁺ 627.09031, found 627.09076.

Crystal Structure of Compound 1 and Crystal Data of Compounds 2 and 3

X-ray Single crystal diffraction for Compounds 1-3 data were performed on a diffractometer with CCD detector using Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71069 Å) or Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) source. Their structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXTL and refined with full-matrix least-squares calculations on F² using SHELXL-97. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were positioned by geometric idealization. Additional crystal data and refinement information were summarized in Table S1.



Figure S1. The packing pattern of crystal structure of ligand 1 which crystallized from chloroform and acetonitrile.

compound	2	3
Formula	$C_{32}H_{30}N_2S_4Si_2\\$	$C_{32}H_{30}N_2S_4Si_2\\$
fw	627.00	627.00
Temp (K)	293(2)	300.51
crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
space group	P-1	R-3
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.1836(8)	38.5368(12)
<i>b</i> (Å)	16.2598(12)	38.5368(12)
<i>c</i> (Å)	23.1451(14)	13.3783(8)
α (deg)	86.414(5)	90
β (deg)	81.109(6)	90
γ (deg)	77.472(6)	120
$V(Å^3)$	3694.6(5)	17206.1(15)
Ζ	4	21
D_c (g/cm ³)	1.127	1.089
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.344	0.332
F (000)	1312.0	5904.0
reflns collected	89991	88922
unique reflns (R _{int})	0.1207(0.0760)	0.0810(0.0305)
θ range (deg)	7.246-52.042	5.754-49.996
data/restraints/params	14336/30/733	6714/0/367
final R indices	0.0805/0.1835	0.0369/0.0843
R indices (all data)	0.1241/0.2044	0.0641/ 0.1021
GOF on F ²	1.015	1.092
$ ho_{max}/ ho_{min}(e\cdot \mathrm{\AA}^{-3})$	0.69/-0.47	0.34/-0.24

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2 and 3.

4. ¹H NMR Titration Experiments of Ligands 2 and 3

To understand the coordination behaviors of ligands **2** and **3** towards Ag(I), we carried out ¹H NMR titration Experiments. Since the metal salt AgBF₄ hardly dissolves into CHCl₃ to produce a mM concentration solution, ¹H NMR titration experiments were carried out in the mixture solvents of CDCl₃/acetone- d_6 (v/v = 9:1). Such experiments could not provide reliable association affinities but revealed the binding profiles of silver cation to the ligands. To a solution of the ligand (2 mM, 0.5 mL) in CDCl₃/acetone- d_6 (v/v = 9:1), a solution of AgBF₄ (100 mM) in same mixture solvents was gradually added. In this process, a series of ¹H NMR spectra of the sample was recorded by ¹H NMR spectrometer at 298 K.



Figure S2. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, 298 K) of **2** (2 mM) in CDCl₃ upon adding aliquots of acetone- d_6 .



Figure S3. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, 298 K) of **3** (2 mM) in CDCl₃ upon adding aliquots of acetone- d_6 .



Figure S4. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, 298 K) of **2** (2 mM) in CDCl₃/acetone- d_6 (v/v = 9:1) upon adding aliquots of AgBF₄ (100 mM) in same mixture solvents.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, 298 K) of 3 (2 mM) in CDCl₃/acetone- d_6 (v/v = 9:1) upon adding aliquots of AgBF₄ (100 mM) in same mixture solvents.

5. Photographs of Metallogels 2Ag and 3Ag



Figure S6. Photographs of gelation tests after ligands 2 and 3 are respectively coordinated with $AgBF_4$ at different concentrations (solvent: chloroform).



Figure S7. Photographs of gelation tests of Ligands 2 (a) and 3 (b) and $AgBF_4$ after coordination in different organic solvents (from left to right: ethanol, ethyl acetate, toluene, tetrahydrofuran, N, N-dimethylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, chloroform, 1,4-dioxane, acetone, n-hexane and acetonitrile).

solvent	2 + Ag(I)	3 + Ag(I)
ethanol	G ^a	PG
ethyl acetate	G	PG
toluene	PG^{b}	G
tetrahydrofuran	G	G
N, N-dimethylformamide	Sc	S
dimethyl sulfoxide	S	S
chloroform	G	G
1,4-dioxane	PG	PG
acetone	G	G
n-hexane	Pd	Р
acetonitrile	S	S

Table S2. Gelation of two ligands with $AgBF_4$ in different solvents at room temperature.

G^a: gel, PG^b: partial gel, S^c: solution, P^d: Precipitate.





Figure S8. Rheology measurements of gels (a) **2Ag** and (b) **3Ag** obtained in different volume ratio of chloroform and acetone (frequency of 1Hz and strain of 0.1%, 4 mM at 10 °C).

0.9 1542 1605 1506 1459 1423 0.8 Transmittance 0.7 1081 3Ag 1500 1410 0.6 0.5 1594 1600 1550 1350 1500 1450 1400 0.4 3900 3400 1900 1400 900 400 2900 2400 Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)

7. FT-IR Spectra of Ligand 3 and Metallogel 3Ag

Figure S9. Comparison of FT-IR Spectra of ligand 3 and gel 3Ag.

8. UV-vis/PL Titration Experiments

To further understand the coordination behaviors and optical behaviors of ligands 2 and

3 towards Ag(I), we carried out UV-vis/PL titration Experiments. Since the metal salt AgBF₄ hardly dissolves into CHCl₃ to produce a mM concentration solution, UV-vis/PL titration experiments were carried out by a gradual addition of the concentrated solution of silver in acetone to the ligand in CHCl₃. To a solution of the ligand (10 μ M, 2 mL) in CHCl₃, a solution of AgBF₄ (2 mM) in acetone was gradually added. In this process, a series of UV-vis/PL spectra of the sample was recorded by UV-vis/PL spectrometer at 298 K.



Figure S10. (a) Changes of partial UV-vis spectra of **2** (1E-5 M, in CHCl₃) upon adding of AgBF₄ in acetone (λ_{max} = 376 nm); (b) Changes of partial UV-vis spectra of **3** (1E-5 M, in CHCl₃) upon adding of AgBF₄ in acetone (λ_{max} = 390 nm).



Figure S11. Changes of partial PL spectra of **2** (a) and **3** (b) (1E-5 M, in CHCl₃) upon adding of AgBF₄ (2 mM, in acetone).

9. XPS of 2Ag and 3Ag



Figure S12. (a) XPS spectrum of 2Ag; (b) XPS spectrum of Ag 3d in 2Ag; (c) XPS spectrum of 3Ag; (d) XPS spectrum of Ag 3d in 3Ag.

10.TEM and SEM images of 2 and 3



Figure S13. SEM images of compounds (a) 2 and (b) 3; TEM images of compounds

(c) **2** and (d) **3**.

11.AFM images of 2Ag and 3Ag



Figure S14. AFM images of (a) 2Ag and (b) 3Ag.

12. The Gel-sol Transitions of 2Ag and 3Ag Triggered by Different



Stimuli

Figure S15. Fluorescence titration of mutual conversion between 2 and 2Ag in dilute

solution by addition of TBAB and TBAI (solvent: chloroform).



Fig. S16. Fluorescence titration of mutual conversion between 3 and 3Ag in dilute

solution by addition of TBAB and TBAI. (solvent: chloroform).



Figure S17. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) of mutual conversion

between 2 (2 mM) and 2Ag by addition of TBAI.



Figure S18. ¹H NMR titration spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) of mutual conversion

between 3 (2 mM) and 3Ag by addition of TBAI.



Figure S19. Changes of partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) of **2** (2 mM) upon adding of Ag⁺ and acetonitrile- d_3 .



Figure S20. Changes of partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) of **3** (2 mM) upon adding of Ag⁺ and acetonitrile- d_3 .



Figure S21. SEM images of metallogel **2Ag** at (a) 400 nm and (b) 200 nm magnifications; SEM images of metallogel **2Ag** by addition of 200 eq acetonitrile at (c) 400 nm and (d) 200 nm magnifications.



Figure S22. SEM images of metallogel **3Ag** at (a) 400 nm and (b) 200 nm magnifications; SEM images of metallogel **3Ag** by addition of 200 eq acetonitrile at (c) 400 nm and (d) 200 nm magnifications.

13. AIE Behaviors and the Gel-sol Transitions of 2Ag and 3Ag Triggered by H₂O



Figure S23. (a) Changes of partial UV-vis spectra of **2** (1E-5 M, in THF) upon adding of AgBF₄ (λ_{max} = 331 nm); (b) Changes of partial UV-vis spectra of **3** (1E-5 M, in THF) upon adding of AgBF₄ (λ_{max} = 338 nm). (solvent: tetrahydrofuran).



Figure S24. (a) The PL spectra of **2** ([C] = 1E-5 M) in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions; $\lambda ex = 331$ nm; (b) The changes of PL peak intensities at 520 nm vs the water fraction in the THF/water mixtures. (c) Fluorescence photos of the corresponding luminogens in THF/water mixtures (fw = 0 to 99 vol%), taken under the illumination of a UV lamp (365 nm).



Figure S25. (a) The PL spectra of **2Ag** ([C] = 1E-5 M) in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions; $\lambda ex = 331$ nm; (b) The changes of PL peak intensities at 520 nm vs the water fraction in the THF/water mixtures. (c) Fluorescence photos of the corresponding luminogens in THF/water mixtures (fw = 0 to 99 vol%), taken under the illumination of a UV lamp (365 nm).



Figure S26. (a) The PL spectra of **3** ([C] = 1E-5 M) in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions; $\lambda ex = 338$ nm; (b) The changes of PL peak intensities at 520 nm vs the water fraction in the THF/water mixtures. (c) Fluorescence photos of the corresponding luminogens in THF/water mixtures (fw = 0 to 99 vol%), taken under the illumination of a UV lamp (365 nm).



Figure S27. (a) The PL spectra of **3Ag** ([C] = 1E-5 M) in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions; $\lambda ex = 338$ nm; (b) The changes of PL peak intensities at 520 nm vs the water fraction in the THF/water mixtures. (c) Fluorescence photos of the corresponding luminogens in THF/water mixtures (fw = 0 to 99 vol%), taken under the illumination of a UV lamp (365 nm).



Figure S28. The gel-sol transitions of the supramolecular metallogels **3Ag** triggered by H₂O (Left: The PL spectra of **3** and **3Ag** ([C] = 1E-5 M) in THF and water; Right: Fluorescence and gel-sol transition photos; **3**: $\lambda_{em} = 440$ nm; **3Ag**: $\lambda_{em} = 540$ nm; **3+90%H₂O**: $\lambda_{em} = 524$ nm).



Figure S29. Changes of partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, THF- d_8 , 298 K) of **2** (2 mM) upon adding of Ag⁺ and D₂O.



Figure S30. Changes of partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, THF- d_8 , 298 K) of **3** (2 mM) upon adding of Ag⁺ and D₂O.

14. NMR / HRMS and IR Spectra



Figure S31. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of 6.



Figure S33. HRMS-ESI spectrum of 6.



Figure S34. IR spectrum of compound 6.



Figure S35. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of 2.



Figure S37. HRMS-ESI spectrum of 2.



Figure S38. IR spectrum of compound 2.



Figure S39. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of 3.



Figure S40. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of 3.



Figure S41. HRMS-ESI spectrum of 3.



Figure S42. IR spectrum of compound 3.

15. Reference

[1] Suffert, J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 509.

[2] Greviiig, B.; Woltermann, A.; and Kautfmann, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1974, 13, 467.

[3] Tian, Y.; Wang, G. X.; Ma, Z. Y.; Xu, L.; Wang, H. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 15993.