## Supporting Information for

# Lightly Fe-doped (NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/Carbon Nanotube Hybrid Electrocatalyst Film with Laser-Drilled Micropores for Stabilized Overall Water Splitting and pH-Universal Hydrogen Evolution Reaction

Chenyu Li, <sup>a</sup> Mingda Liu, <sup>a</sup> Hanyuan Ding, <sup>a</sup> Liqiong He, <sup>a</sup> Enze Wang, <sup>a</sup> Bolun Wang, <sup>a</sup> Shoushan Fan <sup>b</sup> and Kai Liu<sup>\*,a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> State Key Laboratory of New Ceramics and Fine Processing, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics and Tsinghua-Foxconn Nanotechnology Research Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.

\* Corresponding Author. E-mail: <u>liuk@tsinghua.edu.cn;</u> Tel: +86-10-62799182.

### **Experimental Section**

### Preparation of CNT film

CNT films were derived from a super-aligned carbon nanotube (SACNT) array, which was synthesized in a low pressure chemical vapor deposition system, as reported in our previous work.<sup>S1</sup> In the SACNT array, CNTs are much denser and aligned much better than those in ordinary arrays, and have very clean surface and good electrical conductivity with few defects.<sup>S2</sup> To prepare our CNT film, 20 mg CNTs were removed out of the SACNT array into 250 ml ethanol and dispersed under high-power probe ultrasonication (SCIENTZ-950E) for 5 minutes. Then, a CNT film was fabricated by suction filtration from the above mixed solution. After the CNT film was sufficiently dried at 80 °C for 10 hours, small discs with diameter of 10 mm ( $\Phi_{10}$  discs) were cut from it by a laser cutting machine (18W) as the substrate.

### Synthesis of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT film

Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O, NiCl<sub>2</sub> and MoCl<sub>5</sub> were added into 50ml ethanol in different proportions and stirred for 3 days to get a fully dissolved precursor solution (molar ratios of Ni and Mo were 1: 1, 1: 2 and 1: 3; molar ratios of Fe and Ni were 1:18, 1: 9, 1: 3, 2: 3 and 1: 1, respectively). The CNT film was placed on a heating stage at a temperature of 70 °C, and then the precursor solution was added on it dropwise until the load was about 6 mg. After dried for 1 hour and drilled by laser, the CNT film with micropores was then annealed in a 10% H<sub>2</sub> & 90% Ar atmosphere at 400 °C for 30 minutes. During annealing, a sufficient amount of sulfur powder was placed at the upper end of the airflow and its temperature was maintained at 190 °C. The synthesized Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT film was washed with deionized water and ethanol orderly for 3 minutes and dried before the following electrochemical tests.

### Processing of micropores by laser direct writing

This step follows after the precursor loading and before annealing. The laser ( $\lambda$ =1.06 µm) was set to 18 W in power, which drilled through-holes on the film. The obtained micropores on small  $\Phi_{10}$  discs have a spacing of 800 µm. The average diameter of the pores was about 40 µm, which depends on the size of laser spot and the focusing degree.

### Sample characterizations

The morphology of the samples was observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, ZEISS, Merlin Compact) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL, JEM-2010F,200kV). The surface state of the samples was obtained by X-Ray diffraction (XRD, D/max-2500/PC, Rigaku) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ = 0.15406 nm) operated at 40 kV and 150 mA. Raman spectra were collected in the range of 200-800 cm<sup>-1</sup> and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher, Escalab 250Xi, Al K $\alpha$ ) in the range of 0 to 1350 eV at a step of 1 eV.

### Electrochemical measurements

All electrochemical measures were performed on a CHI 760e electrochemical workstation using a standard three-electrode test. Our samples directly served as the working electrodes. The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) in acid and neutral solution and Hg/HgO in alkali. A graphite rod was used as a counter electrode. All the potentials were converted to RHE. The polarization curves were measured at 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> with 80% iR compensation. The cyclic voltammetry curves for fitting double-layer capacitance (C<sub>dl</sub>) were measured at 10-50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> from 0-0.1V vs. RHE. The noble metal electrodes of Pt/C (20 wt%) and RuO<sub>2</sub> were prepared on CNT film with loading of 5mg/cm<sup>-2</sup> via drop 1 ml of catalyst ink containing 3.93 mg of catalyst powder, 50  $\mu$ L of Nafion, 500  $\mu$ L of ethanol, and 450  $\mu$ L of distilled water. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were tested at an overpotential of 300 mV with the frequency range from 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>-2</sup> Hz with an AC amplitude of 5 mV.

# a electrolyte $f_{a}$ $f_{b}$ electrolyte $f_{a}$ $f_{b}$ $f_{a}$ electrode electrode

### Models of bubbles at the edge of drilled pores and on the surface of electrodes

**Fig. S1.** (a) and (b) show the bubbles on the plane of the electrode and the bubbles at the edge, respectively.

The bubbles maintain the shape of a sphere owing to the intrinsic contact angle of gas and liquid considered to be 180°, Buoyant force ( $F_b$ ) and adhesion force ( $F_a$ )follow the formulas below: <sup>[S3]</sup>

$$F_b = \rho_{\text{electrolyte}} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot V_{\text{bubble}} \tag{1}$$

$$F_a = \gamma 2\pi (rsin\alpha) sin\alpha \tag{2}$$

Because of the same electrolyte, catalyst, and gas at different sites, the value of contact angles  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are the same. We assume that there are two bubbles with the same volume attach to the plane and the edge of the electrode, respectively. At this time,  $F_{b1} = F_{b2}$  and  $r_1 > r_2$  since  $V_1 = V_2$ . However,  $F_a$  is linearly related to radius of bubbles, which result in  $F_{a1} > F_{a2}$ . So for

the same volume of bubbles, which represents the same number of gas molecules, the  $F_a$  on the plane of the electrode is greater than that at the edge, and the bubbles on the plane are more difficult to desorb.



**Fig. S2.** XRD patterns of (a) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT, NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, pure CNT at a range of 10-80 degree, and (b) Comparison between before and after HER and OER stability measures (8h @10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, 8h @100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 8h @200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>).



**Fig. S3.** SEM images of (a) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT, (b) pure CNT film, (c) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT after 24h HER in 1M KOH, (d) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT after 24h OER in 1M KOH, (e) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT after 24h HER in 1M PBS and (f) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT after 24h HER in 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. All the tests were performed at the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S4. XPS profiles of (a) Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT survey spectra and (b) Fe 2p of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT. Comparison of NiS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT and Fe-

NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT for (c) Ni 2p, (d) Mo 3d and (e) S 2p.



Fig. S5. Polarization curves of electrocatalysts obtained by different ratios of Ni and Mo for  $NiS_2/MoS_2/CNT$  in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for (a) HER (b) OER and (c) overall water splitting.



Fig. S6. Polarization curves of electrocatalysts obtained by different ratios of Fe and Ni of Fe- $(NiS_2/MoS_2)/CNT$  in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for (a) HER (b) OER and (c) overall water splitting.



**Fig. S7.** Polarization curves of (a)  $NiS_2$  and (b)  $MoS_2$  with different Fe doping contents in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The legends in (a) and (b) indicate the Fe:Ni atomic ratio and the Fe:Mo atomic ratio, respectively.



**Fig. S8.** (a) Tafel plots of various electrocatalysts obtained in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 5 mV  $s^{-1}$  for HER. (b) Electrochemical stability test at 10/100/200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT for HER. (c) Tafel plots of various electrocatalysts obtained in 1M KOH at a scan rate of 5 mV  $s^{-1}$  for OER. (d) Electrochemical stability test at 10/100/200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT for OER.



Fig. S9. Electrochemical performance of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT before and after laser drilling for (a) HER in 1M KOH, (b) OER in 1M KOH, (c) HER in 1M PBS, and (d) HER in 0.5M  $H_2SO_4$ . The drilled periodic micropores in the catalyst have a diameter of 40  $\mu$ m and a spacing of 800  $\mu$ m.



Fig. S10. (a) Polarization curves, (b) estimated surface area loss, and (c) long-term stability

tests at 500 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> of the Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT electrode drilled with different spacing of micropores.



**Fig. S11.** Cyclic voltammograms (CV) curves of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT at different scan rates in (a) 1M KOH, (c) 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and (e) 1M PBS, and electrochemical C<sub>dl</sub> of Fe-NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT, NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, NiS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, MoS<sub>2</sub>/CNT, and pure CNT film in (b) 1M KOH, (d) 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and (f) 1M PBS.



Fig. S12. Nyquist plots of the obtained electrocatalysts at a potential of -300 mV versus RHE in (a) 1M KOH, (b) 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and (c) 1M PBS. The AC frequency used in the tests ranges from  $10^5$  to  $10^{-2}$  Hz with an amplitude of 5 mV.



Fig. S13. Long-term test of Fe-(NiS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub>)/CNT for (a) overall water splitting in 1M KOH over 11 days, (b) HER in  $0.5M H_2SO_4$  over 7 days, and (c) HER in 1M PBS over 7 days. All the tests were performed at the current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

catalysts	Voltage @ŋ10 (V)	Overpotential of HER @η <sub>10</sub> (mV)	Overpotential of OER @η <sub>10</sub> (mV)	Reference
Light Fe-doped (NiS <sub>2</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub> )/CNT	1.51	87	234	This Work
N-NiMoO4/NiS2	1.60	57	267	<i>Adv. Funct. Mater.</i> 2019,29, 1805298.
Ni <sub>3</sub> N-VN/NF(HER) Ni <sub>2</sub> P-VP <sub>2</sub> /NF(OER)	1.51	64	306 @ŋ50	Adv.Mater.2019, 31, 1901174
MoS <sub>2</sub> /NiS Yolk– Shell	1.64	244	350	Small, 2019, 15, 1803639.
N-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	1.48	110	350 @ŋ170	<i>Adv.Mater</i> :2017, 29, 1701584.
V-doped NiS <sub>2</sub>	1.56	110	290	ACS Nano 2017, 11, 11574.
NiFeS <sub>2</sub> /Ni	1.60	126	234 @ŋ <sub>50</sub>	J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6,4346.
MoS <sub>2</sub> /NiS <sub>2</sub> Nanosheets	1.59	62	278	<i>Adv. Sci.</i> 2019 1900246.
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	1.56	110	218	Angew. Chem. 2016, 128,6814.
NC/CuCo/CuCoO <sub>x</sub>	1.53	112	190	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1704447.
Se-(NiCo)S <sub>x</sub> /(OH) <sub>x</sub>	1.60	103	155	<i>Adv. Mater.</i> 2018, <i>30,</i> 1705538.
NiFeP/SG	1.54	115	218	Nano Energy 2019, 58, 870.

Table S1. Comparison of our electrode with other overall water splitting catalysts.

### REFERENCES

- (S1) X. Zhang, K. Jiang, C. Feng, P. Liu, L. Zhang, J. Kong, T. Zhang, Q. Li and S. Fan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 1505-1510.
- (S2) K. Liu, Y. Sun, L. Chen, C. Feng, X. Feng, K. Jiang, Y. Zhao and S. Fan, *Nano Lett.*, 2008,8, 700-705.
- (S3) Z. Lu, Y. Li, X. Lei, J. Liu and X. Sun, Mater. Horiz., 2015, 2, 294-298.