## **Electronic supplementary information**

## Heterostructure enhanced sodium storage performance for SnS<sub>2</sub> in hierarchical SnS<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> nanosheet array composite

Lele Cheng, Yingmeng Zhang, Panpan Chu, Suhang Wang, Yongliang Li, Xiangzhong Ren, Peixin Zhang and Lingna Sun\*

College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518060, P.R. China. Tel/Fax: +86-755-26538657, E-mail: lindasun1999@126.com; sunln@szu.edu.cn



Fig. S1. The morphology characterization of the  $SnS_2@CC$  composite: Low magnification (a); high magnification SEM image (b).



Fig. S2 The morphology characterization of the  $SnS_2/Co_3S_4@CC$  (a) and the  $SnS_2@CC$  (b) composite: SEM images magnification was 3000 times.



Fig. S3 The energy dispersive X-ray spectrum of the  $SnS_2/Co_3S_4$  heterostructure nanosheets.





Fig. S4 The Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> samples grown on carbon fabric by oil bath method: low magnification (a); high magnification SEM image (b); the XRD pattern(a).

By using the oil bath method, controlling the same experimental conditions, try to grow  $Co_3S_4$  nanoparticles on the treated carbon cloth fibers. It was observed that the carbon cloth fiber bundle was very smooth, and no other special shapes were found. In the XRD test, except for indicating that under the same experimental conditions,  $Co_3S_4$  nanoparticles could not grow on carbon cloth fibers through an oil bath. Apart from the relatively flat carbon peak, no other characteristic diffraction peaks were found.



Fig. S5 The initial five CV curves of the  $SnS_2@CC$  electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> between 0.01 and 3.0 V.



Fig. S6 Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles during the first, second, third, 20th and 50th cycles of the  $SnS_2@CC$  electrode at current density of 0.1 A·g<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S7 Cycling performance at  $0.5 \text{ A} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$  for the pure carbon cloth fibers.

Table S1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of SnS<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>@CC anodes with other Sn-based sulfides used in SIBs in recent papers.

		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Materials description	Cycling data	Rate capability	Reference
SnS on graphene foam	1010/200th/0.1C	410/30C	1
$SnS_2/rGO$	627/100th/0.2C		2
SnS nanorods	370/30th/0.125C	300/1C	3
$SnS/SnO_2$	409/500th/0.81C	430/2.43C	4
SnS <sub>2</sub> /graphene	670/60th/0.02C	670/60th/0.02C	5
$SnS_2/N$ -graphene	450/100th/0.2C	148/10C	6
SnS <sub>2</sub> -rGO composite	628/100th/0.2C	544/2C	7
SnS <sub>2</sub> /CC	1039.9/130th/0.2 Ag <sup>-1</sup>	673.4/400th/2 Ag <sup>-1</sup>	8
SnS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> -rGO	1141.8/50th/0.1 Ag-1	845.7/100th/0.5 Ag-1	9
ZnS/SnS2@NSC	537.8/120th/1 Ag-1	456.2/100th/5 Ag-1	10
SnS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> @CC	1259.5/100th/0.1 Ag-1	637.2/760th/2 Ag-1	this work



Fig. S8 Selective in-situ XRD patterns at different discharge/charge stages obtained at current density of 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> within a potential window from 0.01 to 3.0 V (a); Ex situ FESEM images of  $SnS_2/Co_3S_4@CC$  electrodes at sodiated states of 0.97 V (b1, b2) and 0.01 V (c1, c2) and desodiated states of 1.15 V (d1, d2) and 3.00 V (e1, e2).



 $\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} Fig.~S9~SnS_2/Co_3S_4@CC//Na_3V_2(PO_4)_2O_2F \mbox{ constant current cycle performance of full cell battery} \\ at~1~A\cdot g^{-1}. \end{array}$ 



Fig. S10 XRD pattern of the Na<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F material.



Fig. S11 (a, b) SEM images of the Na<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F electrode.



Fig. S12 CV curves of Na<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>F cathode and SnS<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>@CC anode in half cells.

electrodes					
	$R_{2}\left(\Omega ight)$	$\sigma \left( \Omega \ \mathrm{cm}^2 \mathrm{s}^{-0.5} \right)$	$D_{\mathrm{Li}^+}(\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}^{-1})$		
SnS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> @CC	46.3	26.35	4.0728×10 <sup>-14</sup>		
SnS <sub>2</sub> @CC	97.2	72.25	5.5049×10 <sup>-15</sup>		

Table S2.  $R_2$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $D_{\text{Na}^+}$  values for the SnS<sub>2</sub>@CC and SnS<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>@CC

SnS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> @CC	Co	Со	$Co_3S_4$
(mg)	(mg/L)	(wt %)	(wt %)
	0.85	0.93	1.60
	Sn	Sn	$SnS_2$
90.70	(mg/L)	(wt %)	(wt %)
	5.67	6.26	9.64

Table S4. ICP test and analysis data of the SnS <sub>2</sub> @CC					
SnS <sub>2</sub> @CC	Sn	Sn	SnS <sub>2</sub>		
(mg)	(mg/L)	(wt %)	(wt %)		
73.40	4.58	6.24	9.61		

## References

- 1. D. Chao, C. Zhu, P. Yang, X. Xia, J. Liu, J. Wang, X. Fan, S. Savilov, J. Lin, H. Fan and Z. Shen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 12122.
- 2. C. Ma, J. Xu, J. Alvarado, B. Qu, J. Somerville, J. Lee and Y. Meng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5633-5640.
- 3. P. Dutta, U. Sen and S. Mitra, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 43155-43159.
- 4. Y. Zheng, T. Zhou, C. Zhang, J. Mao, H. Liu and Z. Guo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3408-3413.
- 5. X. Xie, D. Su, S. Chen, J. Zhang, S. Dou and G. Wang, *Chem Asian J*, 2014, **9**, 1611-1617.
- 6. Y. Jiang, Y. Feng, B. Xi, S. Kai, K. Mi, J. Feng, J. Zhang and S. Xiong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 10719-10726.
- 7. B. Qu, C. Ma, G. Ji, C. Xu, J. Xu, Y. Meng, T. Wang and J. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 3854-3859.
- L. Wang, J. Yuan, Q. Zhao, Z. Wang, Y. Zhu, X. Ma and C. Cao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **308**, 174-184.
- Y. Wu, H. Yang, Y. Yang, H. Pu, W. Meng, R. Gao and D. Zhao, *Small*, 2019, 15, 1903873.
- 10. L. Cao, B. Zhang, X. Ou, C. Wang, C. Peng and J. Zhang, *Small*, 2019, **15**, 1804861.