Supporting Information

Promoting sulphur conversion chemistry with Tri-modal porous N, O-codoped carbon for stable Li-S batteries

Dapeng Wu,^{ab} Jiayi Liu,^b Junliang Chen,^{a,b} Huanhuan Li,^{b*} Ruiguo Cao,^{c*} Wenchao Zhang,^d

Zhiyong Gao,^b Kai Jiang^{a*}

^a School of Environment, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

^bCollaborative Innovation Center of Henan Province for Green Manufacturing of Fine Chemicals, Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Media and Reactions, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

^c Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China.

^dInstitute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, School of Mechanical, Materials, Mechatronics & Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences, University of Wollongong, NSW 2500, Australia.

*Corresponding author: Huanhuan Li, Ruiguo Cao, Kai Jiang

E-mail: 2017076@htu.edu.cn; rgcao@ustc.edu.cn; jiangkai6898@126.com



Figure S1. SEM image of the collected bagasse.



Figure S2. SEM images of pristine bagasse after pre-carbonization at 600 °C.



Figure S3. EDS spectrum of the TD-HDC sample.



Figure S4. SEM and EDS mapping images of the S/TD-HDC sample.



Figure S5. The TGA curve of the obtained S/TD-HDC under the N_2 atmosphere.



Figure S6. The TGA curve of the obtained S/HDC under the N_2 atmosphere.



Figure S7. (a) Survey and (b) high-resolution C 1s XPS spectra of TD-HDC.



Figure S8. XRD and Raman patterns of the S/TD-HDC.



Figure S9. (a) Survey and (b) high-resolution S 2p spectra of S/TD-HDC.



Figure S10. Discharge and charge profiles of the S/HDC cathode at (a) 0.2 C and (b) different rates, respectively.

 Table S1 Specific surface areas and pore textural parameters of the as-obtained porous carbon materials.

Samples	$S_{total} (m^2 g^{-1})$	V_{total} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	V_{micro} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	V _{meso} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)
HDC	2043.8	0.9758	0.7267	0.2491
TD-HDC	1758.1	1.2237	0.5392	0.6845

Table S2 Elemental analysis of HDC and TD-HDC from XPS results.

Samples	C (at%)	N (at%)	0 (at%)
HDC	91.4	0.86	7.74
TD-HDC	88.01	1.1	10.89

Materials (wt%)		Electrochemical performance	Refs.
N, O codoped hollow carbon spheres	66%	905, 706, 587 and 422 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C	1
Hierarchically porous carbon materials	48.4%	980, 800, 650 and 500 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 1 C	2
N, O codoped nonporous carbonaceous material	40%	726, 540, 546, and 558 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C	3
N doped hollow carbon nanospheres	90.4%	1139, 1003, 884, 711, and 476 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1, and 2 C	4
N-doped porous carbon cages	69.58%	1047.3, 840.8, 663.4, 515.6 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C \square	5
Activated porous carbon	63%	750, 680, 615, and 520 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C \Box	6
Fish-scale porous carbon	-	1071, 864, 539, and 413 mAh g-1@ 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1 C□	7
N-doped hierarchical porous carbon	53.8%	1188.6, 1011.3, 781.5 and 668.1 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C	8
Defect-rich hierarchically porous carbon	72.21	1288, 1005, 884, 771 and 694 mAh g ⁻¹ @ 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 C	9
ТД-НДС	75%	1160.8, 1053.7, 960.3, 855.6 and 648.8 mAh g ⁻¹ at 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2 C	This work

Table S3. Comparisons of TD-HDC and other carbon materials reported in the literatures serving as sulfur hosts for LSBs.

Table S4 The impedance parameters of the two Li–S cells based on equivalent circuit fitting of the experimental data.

r r					
Sample	Cycle number	$R_e(\Omega)$	$R_s(\Omega)$	$R_{ct}(\Omega)$	$W_{o}\left(\Omega ight)$
HDC	Before cycling	2.9	-	74.4	19.6
	After 200 cycles	12.7	36.5	21.5	26.1
TD-HDC	Before cycling	5.9	-	58.7	12.7
	After 200 cycles	7.9	22.7	12.5	18.1

Reference

- Y. Peng, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, Y. Wang, H. Li, B. J. Hwang and J. Zhao, *Carbon*, 2017, **124**, 23.
- B. Zhang, M. Xiao, S. Wang, D. Han, S. Song, G. Chen and Y. Meng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 13174.
- K. Mi, S. Chen, B. Xi, S. Kai, Y. Jiang, J. Feng, Y. Qian and S. Xiong, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1604265.
- J. Hou, X. Tu, X. Wu, M. Shen, X. Wang, C. Wang, C. Cao, H. Pang and G. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, 401, 126141.
- 5. S. Zeng, G. M. Arumugam, X. Liu, Y. Yang, X. Li, H. Zhong, F. Guo and Y. Mai, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 2001027.
- 6. A. A. Arie, H. Kristianto, E. C. Cengiz and R. Demir-Cakan, *Ionics*, 2019, 25, 2121.
- M. Gao, C. Su, M. He, T. Glossmann, A. Hintennach, Z. Feng, Y. Huang and Z. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 6725.
- Q. H. Q. Xiao, G. R. Li, M. J. Li, R. P. Liu, H. B. Li, P. F. Ren, Y. Dong, M. Feng and Z. W. Chen, J. Energy Chem., 2020, 44, 61.
- L. Guan, H. Hu, L. Li, Y. Pan, Y. Zhu, Q. Li, H. Guo, K. Wang, Y. Huang, M. Zhang, Y. Yan,
 Z. Li, X. Teng, J. Yang, J. Xiao, Y. Zhang, X. Wang and M. Wu, *ACS Nano*, 2020, 14, 6222.