Supporting Information

## Directed co-assembly of herbal small molecules into carrier-free nanodrugs for enhanced synergistic antitumor efficacy

Jiacheng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Wenshu Qiao<sup>a</sup>, Xu Li<sup>b</sup>, Haitian Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Hua Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Aijun Dong<sup>a</sup>, Xin Yang<sup>a</sup>\*

a. School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, No.92 West Dazhi

Street, Nan Gang District, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150001, P.R.China

b. Department of Ophthalmology, the Second Hospital, Jilin University, No.4026 Yatai street, Nanguan

District, Changchun, Jilin, 130041, P.R.China

\*Corresponding Author: yangxin@hit.edu.cn



Scheme S1. Structural formula of of pentacyclic triterpenoids



Figure S1 SEM images of self-assembled nanoparticles of pentacyclic triterpenoids



Figure S2 Overlay of UV-visible spectrum of GA, LA and GA-LA



Figure S3 Analysis of molecular dynamics simulation results. Cluster analysis of co-assembled and non-co-assembled NPs. Two molecules in the coassembled nanoparticles form a parallel plane space configuration, while the two molecules in the non-co-assembled nanoparticles form a spatial configuration. Red circle represents hydrophilic chain; yellow circle represents hydrophobic chain



## Figure S4 Cytotoxicity evaluation of GA-OA and GA-LA NPs. a.b The growth inhibitory effects of GA-OA and GA-LA NPs on 4T1 cells for 24h c. Combination index (CI) of GA-OA and GA-LA on 4T1 cells. All of the CI values of GA-OA and GA-LA NPs are less than 1.0 indicate synergy



Figure S5 Cellular uptake capability of NPs in MCF-7 cells. Flow cytometry analysis of cell phagocytosis of GA-LA and GA-OA NPs



Figure S6 SEM images of SEM images of PLGA loading GA and PLGA loading LA



Figure S7 The weight change of the mice during the treatment with various drug formulations



Figure S8 Representative images of H&E-stained sections of kidneys (Black arrows represent inflammatory cell infiltration)

Formula Abbreviation	Diamater (nm)	PDI	Zeta potential (mV)
OA	233 ± 2	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	-20.5 ± 1.6
UA	275 ± 5	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	-21.3 ± 1.2
BA	1811 ± 280	0.81 ± 0.11	-13.3 ± 0.4
GA	543 ± 26	$0.32 \pm 0.06$	-12.9 ± 1.1
LA	878 ± 18	0.43 ± 0.11	-8.1 ± 0.9
Lup	334 ± 22	0.32±0.11	-11.4 ± 1.4

Table S1 Particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and Zeta potentials of self-assembly NPs measured by DLS

Formula Abbreviation	Diamater (nm)	PDI	Zeta potential (Mv)	
OA-BA	341 ± 18	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	-15.6 ± 0.7	
GA-LA	228 ± 10	$0.03\pm0.02$	-16.5 ± 1.3	
LA-Lup	265 ± 3	0.12 ± 0.03	-9.3 ± 0.6	
GA-OA	172±12	0.05±0.03	-22.5±1.8	

Table S2 Particle size, polydispersity index (PDI) and Zeta potentials of co-assembly NPs measured by DLS

Group	Cell cycle distribution (%)				
	G0-G1	S	G2-M		
Control	37.84	56.68	5.49		
OA	8.58	59.3	32.12		
GA	27.54	55.3	17.16		
LA	23.23	72.59	4.18		
GA-OA	7.9	58.06	34.04		
GA-LA	22.96	62.37	14.68		

Table S3. Cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometery

Tumor tissue (Average fluorescence intensity)						
Time (h)	1	2	4	8	12	24
GA-OA	14990	14283	11678	7044	5099	1862
GA-LA	17290	15579	11718	8005	5133	1897

Table S4. Fluorescence intensity of tumor tissue at different time

Group	Main tissues (Average fluorescence intensity)					
Croup	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Lung	Kidney	Tumor
GA-OA	84.1	479.1	156.6	183.2	653.8	476.5
GA-LA	139.8	391.2	161.3	172.6	468.0	675.6

Table S5. The fluorescence intensity of main tissues at 24 hours after injection