Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

Electronic Supporting Information for "Tuning Electronic Properties in LaNiO3 Thin Films by B-site Cu-substitution"

Henrik H. Sønsteby^{a,b*}, Erik Skaar^a, Jon E. Bratvold^a, John E. Freeland^b, Angel Yanguas-Gil^b, Jeffrey W. Elam^b, Ola Nilsen^a and Helmer Fjellvåg^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Center for Material Science and Nanotechnology, University of Oslo, Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway

^bArgonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

*Corresponding e-mail: <u>henrik.sonsteby@kjemi.uio.no</u>, <u>helmer.fjellvag@kjemi.uio.no</u>

Ternary Combination Model for Estimating Cation Stoichiometry in Quaternary Complex Oxide by Atomic Layer Deposition

From a traditional point of view, using the method developed by Nilsen *et al.*¹, the estimated relationship between the ratios of pulsed and deposited cation species in a quaternary process can be given as:

$$1 = D_A + D_B + D_C$$

with:

$$D_{A} = \frac{P_{A}U_{A}}{P_{A}U_{A} + P_{B}U_{B} + P_{C}U_{C}}, \qquad D_{B} = \frac{P_{B}U_{B}}{P_{A}U_{A} + P_{B}U_{B} + P_{C}U_{C}}, \qquad D_{C} = \frac{P_{C}U_{C}}{P_{A}U_{A} + P_{B}U_{B} + P_{C}U_{C}}$$

where D_M is the deposited content of cation Mⁿ⁺, P_M is the pulsed ratio of cation precursor ML_x and U_M is the growth per cycle (GPC) of binary oxide MO_y, respectively. This model has traditionally worked really well for ternary processes, especially when the employed cation precursors have exhibited similar chemistries, such as in LaFeO₃ using La(thd)₃ and Fe(thd)₃.¹

However, we have found that when the binary theoretical model is extended to a quaternary system, the deviation has a tendency to render the model impractical in the sense that it fails to predict the resulting cation concentration. As a result of this, we here develop and introduce an alternate model for the relationship between the pulsed and deposited ratios, using a combination of two ternary compounds (for which one cation is the same in both compounds) to form a quaternary compound. This is often (but not always) a possible approach, since quaternary compounds are often *pseudo* ternary in the sense that two of the cations adopt similar coordination and positions in a unit cell. This is indeed the case for the system in this article, $La(Cu,Ni)O_{3-\delta}$. It is also the case in a range of other important functional materials, *e.g.* in Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃, (K,Na)NbO₃ and Ni(Fe,Co)₂O₄. In this *ternary combination model*, the GPCs and the absolute composition for two test processes A-B-O and A-C-O form the basis for the expected cation content in the films, which can be given as:

$$D_{A} = \frac{\alpha \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \beta \frac{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \beta \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}}{P_{ACO}U_{$$

$$D_{B} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}}}{\alpha \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \beta \frac{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ABO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ABO} + P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{P_{ABO}U_{ABO}}{P_{ACO}U_{ACO}} +$$

where D_M is the deposited content of cation Mⁿ⁺, P_{MM^*O} is the pulsed ratio of the ternary compound recipe for MM*O and U_{MM^*O} is the GPC (growth per cycle) of ternary oxide MM*O_y, respectively. In the model as described above, cation A is the cation that is part of both ternary oxides in the combination (La). α and β are factors determined by the ternary composition at the endpoints given a specific pulsing recipe and are given by the normalized cation concentration of cation A in the two ternary compounds that form the quaternary compound. *I.e.*, for the system in question here, a deposition of n x [5 x (La(thd)₃ + O₃) + 2 x (Ni(acac)₂ + O₃)] is carried out, and the relative amounts of the cation species is analyzed. A second deposition of n x [5 x (La(thd)₃ + O₃) + 2 x (Cu(acac)₂ + O₃)] is carried out, and the relative amounts of the cation species is analyzed. These two relative ratios are α and β , respectively, which can then be fed into the model together with the measured GPCs (U_{MM^*O}) of the two test depositions. These are the only four variables needed to use the model to estimate the composition range for the full ternary system.

This model does not only take into account that the concentration of cation A is dependent on the pulsed ratio between the two ternary recipes, but also the variable surface chemistry that cation A will experience during combination of the two ternary recipes.

The pulsing recipe is very important. It is easy to imagine that two recipes with the same ratio can yield two widely different results. Take *e.g.* LaNiO₃, for which a stoichiometric compound is reported to result from a 0.71 La/(La+Ni) pulsed ratio. This stoichiometry is found for a recipe with 5 subsequent cycles of La(thd)₃ + O₃, followed by 2 subsequent cycles of Ni(acac)₂ + O₃. In this scenario, four of the La(thd)₃ pulses reacts with a La-O^{*}-primed surface, and one with a Ni-O^{*}-primed surface. One Ni(acac)₂ pulse reacts with a Ni-O^{*}-primed surface, while the other reacts with a La-O^{*}-primed surface. If the recipe was fully mixed, however, three La(thd)₃ pulses would react on a La-O^{*}-primed surface, with two reacting on a Ni-O^{*}-primed surface. The Ni(acac)₂ would always react on a La-O^{*}-

primed surface. These two scenarios are predicted to yield different results, and this has indeed been shown for the deposition of La-Ni-O. Thus, the model only works when the recipe is constant throughout ternary substitution.

¹ M. Lie, O. Nilsen, H. Fjellvåg, and A. Kjekshus, *Dalton T*. 2009, 481.

Identification of MIT temperature based on linear plots of specific resistivity vs. temperature

The MIT temperatures were found by taking $\delta \rho / \delta T = 0$. This information is slightly difficult to see in the main manuscript, as the logarithmic plots tend to hide those points due to the small variation in resistivity as a function of temperature. The following plots are linear plots of specific resistivity vs. temperature, and may aid the reader in identifying the MIT temperatures as we have noted them in figure 8 of the main article.



Supporting Figure 1: Linear plots of specific resistivity as a function of temperatures for samples with 40, 50, 60 and 70 % pulsed incorporation of Cu.