## **Supplementary information**

## Turning waste into treasure: chicken eggshell membrane derived fluorescent

## carbon nanodots for rapid and sensitive detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and glutathione

Hongding Zhang,\*a Sifei Wu, a Zhenhua Xing,<sup>b</sup> Hai-Bo Wang a

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Institute for Conservation and

Utilization of Agro-bioresources in Dabie Mountains, Xinyang Key Laboratory of

Functional Nanomaterials for Bioanalysis, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang

464000, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Xinyang Branch, Henan Province Institute of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety

Testing, Xingyang 464000, PR China

\***Corresponding author** Hongding Zhang, Email: zhanghongding0606@163.com, Fax: +86 376 6391172

**Table of content:**Supporting Figures S1-4, TableS1.



Fig. S1 The stability of the as-prepared C-Dots.



**Fig. S2** Fluorescence intensities of C-Dots in the presence of 20  $\mu$ M of Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>. The error bars stand for the standard deviation of three repetitive experiments.



Fig. S3 (A) Fluorescence intensities of C-Dots and C-Dots-Hg system under various pH values; (B)  $\triangle$ F/F0 of the C-Dots-Hg system under various pH values. (C) Fluorescence intensities of C-Dots-Hg system with different incubation times. The concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup> is 50 µM. The error bars stand for the standard deviation of three repetitive experiments.



**Fig. S4** Fluorescence intensities of C-Dots-Hg system in the presence of 20  $\mu$ M GSH with different incubation times. The concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup> is 50  $\mu$ M. The error bars stand for the standard deviation of three repetitive experiments.

| Different carbon sources            | Detection range (µM) | LOD (M)              | Reference |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Ascorbic acid derived C-Dots        |                      | 1.5×10 <sup>-5</sup> | 1         |
| Soluble starch derived C-Dots       | 0.5-100              | 3.5×10-7             | 2         |
| Tamarindus indica leaves derived    | 0-20                 | 1.7×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 3         |
| C-Dots                              |                      |                      |           |
| 4,7,10-trioxa-1,13-tridecanediamine | 30-400               | 4.6×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 4         |
| derived C-Dots                      |                      |                      |           |
| Sodium citrate derived C-Dots       | 0-10                 | 2×10 <sup>-8</sup>   | 5         |
| Eggshell derived C-Dots             | 0.05-10              | 9.8×10 <sup>-9</sup> | This      |
|                                     |                      |                      | work      |

**Table S1** Comparison of various GSH assays based on C-Dots that are derived from other carbon sources.

## **Reference:**

1 N. Sohal, B. Maity and S. Basu, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2020, 3, 5955-5964.

2 S. Gogoi and R. Kan, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 6399-6407.

- 3 D. Bano V. Kumar, V. K. Singh, and S. H. Hasan, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 5814-5821.
- 4 D. Kong, F. Yan, Y. Luo, Y. Wang, L. Chen and F. Cui, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, 8, 4736-4743.
- 5 S. Liu, D. Wu, G. Li, Z. Lv, Z. Chen, L. Chen, G. Chen, L. Xia, J. You, and Y. Wu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 103169-10317.