Electronic Supplementary Information

Ascorbic Acid Functionalized Anti-Aggregated Au Nanoparticles for Ultrafast MEF and SERS Detection of Tartrazine: An Ultra-Wide Piecewise Linear

Range Study

Mengru Li, Ran Li*, Bo Han, Haojie Ma, Xueyan Hou, Yulong Kang, Yuqi Zhang*, Ji-Jiang Wang

Key Laboratory of New Energy & New Functional Materials, Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Chemical Reaction Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yan'an University, Yan'an, Shaanxi, 716000, PR China

Corresponding author:

Ran Li

E-mail: lirandeqhd@vip.163.com

Yuqi Zhang

E-mail: yqzhang@iccas.ac.cn



Figure S1. Fluorescence emission spectra of different concentrations of tartrazine solutions: (a) 8 μ M, (b) 10 μ M, (c) 40 μ M, (d) 50 μ M, (e) 70 μ M, (f) 80 μ M after adding different volumes of AuNPs.



Figure S2. (a) Fluorescence spectra and (b) fluorescence intensity (\sim 547 nm) of tartrazine at different concentrations without AuNPs. Inset: the line relationship between the intensity and the tartrazine concentration in the range of 2-40 μ M. The limit of detection was found to be 324.8 nM.



Figure S3. Raman spectra of tartrazine solution (black), AuNPs (red) and tartrazine powder (blue). The SERS spectrum of tartrazine $(1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}, \text{ green})$.



Figure S4. Fluorescence spectra of various compounds solutions with (red) and without (black) AuNPs.



Figure S5. Fluorescence lifetime of tartrazine with (red) and without (black) AuNPs.



Figure S6. HPLC spectrum of red bull.



Figure S7. The intact SERS spectra of various concentrations of tartrazine.

Concentration of tartrazine (µM)	RSD
1.0×10 ⁻¹	2.15
1.0×10 ⁻²	0.69
1.0×10 ⁻³	0.78
1.0×10-4	4.34
1.0×10 ⁻⁵	4.28

Table S1. The RSD of SERS signals for various concentrations of tartrazine.

Probe	Method	Linear range(µM)	LoD (nM)	Reference
deep eutectic solvent	UV-vis	$0.47 - 4.87^{*}$	157.197*	$[S^1]$
ODT column	RP-HPLC	4.7-75*	78.5987*	[S ²]
CI/CBPCE	CV/LSV	0.037-1.38*	19	[S ³]
pMe/GCE	CV	5-500	970	$[S^4]$
rGO/NiBTC/SPCEs	DPV	0.075-5.0	50	$[S^5]$
silver nanoflowers	SERS	0.01-100	10*	$[S^6]$
Ag nanowires	SERS	0.019-18.71*	/	[S ⁷]
CDs	Fluorescence	0.1-0.5	26	$[S^8]$
BSA-NiNCs	Fluorescence	0.01-3.5	4	[S ⁹]
AuNPs	Fluorescence/SERS	2-40/10-5-0.1	15.4/0.0008	This work

Table S2. Comparison of various methods and proposed method.

* The data were obtained by unit conversion.

Sample	τ1 (ns)	%	τ2 (ns)	%	τ (ns)
Tartrazine solution	0.15	93.14	2.78	6.86	0.33
Tartrazine solution + AuNPs	0.16	91.58	3.37	9.42	0.43

Table S3. The quantum yield and fluorescence lifetime for tartrazine with and without AuNPs.

Samples	Detected(µM)	Added(µM)	Found(µM)	Recovery(%)	RSD
1	0.0	20.0	22.1	110.9 ± 0.1	0.1
		40.0	37.8	94.7 ± 0.2	0.2
2	0.0	20.0	22.2 ± 0.1	111.6 ± 0.6	0.6
		40.0	37.7	94.5 ± 0.2	0.2
3	0.0	20.0	110.9 ± 0.2	110.9 ± 0.2	0.2
		40.0	37.7	94.4 ± 0.1	0.1

Table S4. Detection of tartrazine concentration in drinks by Standard additions method (n=3).

REFERENCES

- S1 M. Soylak and F. Uzcan, J. Iran. Chem. Soc., 2020, 17, 461–467.
- S2 R. Li, Y. Wang, J. Tan, S.-H. Tang, Z.-T. Jiang, S. Di and Y. Geng, *Arab. J. Chem.*, 2020, **13**, 3875–3881.
- S3 O. I. Lipskikh, E. I. Korotkova, J. Barek, V. Vyskocil, M. Saqib and E. P. Khristunova, *Talanta*, 2020, **218**, 121136.
- S4 S. Wu, Z.-Z. Yin, X. Chen, X. Wang, D. Wu and Y. Kong, Food Chem., 2020, 333, 127532–127538.
- S5 J.-H. Wu and H.-L. Lee, *Microchem. J.*, 2020, **158**, 105133–105138.
- S6 Y. Ai, P. Liang, Y. Wu, Q. Dong, J. Li, Y. Bai, B.-J. Xu, Z. Yu and D. Ni, *Food Chem.*, 2018, **241**, 427–433.
- S7 J. Song, Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, Y. Fan and K. Lai, Nanomaterials, 2018, 8, 967–975.
- S8 S. Thulasi, A. Kathiravan and M. Asha Jhonsi, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 7025–7031.
- S9 Y. Wang, Y. Mu, J. Hu, Q. Zhuang and Y. Ni, *Spectrochim. Acta. A. Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.*, 2019, **214**, 445–450.