Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Chemical Biology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Structural Guidelines for Stabilization of α-Helical Coiled Coils via PEG Stapling

Qiang Xiao, Zachary B. Jones, Samantha C. Hatfield, Dallin S. Ashton, Nicholas A. Dalley, Cody D. Dyer, Judah L. Evangelista, and Joshua L. Price*

Electronic Supplementary Information

1. Structures of coiled-coil and affibody variants	2
2. Synthesis of coiled-coil and affibody variants	10
3. Mass Spectrometry Data	11
4. Analytical HPLC data	42
5. Global Fitting of Variable Temperature CD Data	50
6. Proteolysis of coiled-coil variants	70
7. Fluorescence polarization binding assay	75
8. Stapling between heterochiral residues	77
9. Synthesis and Characterization of PEGylated Asnaragine Derivatives, bis-azido PEG, branched Y-shaned bis-azido PE	EG.77

1. Structures of coiled-coil and affibody variants



Figure S1. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 27*e*-z4, basic monomer 29*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d27*e*/29*g*'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd27*e*/29*g*'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 27*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 29*g*' is highlighted in blue. Variants d27*e*/29*g*'-z4x and sd27*e*/29*g*'-z4x are from reference ¹. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names.



Figure S2. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 20*e*-z4, basic monomer 22*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d20*e*/22*g*'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd20*e*/22*g*'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 20*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 22*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S3. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 13*e*-z4, basic monomer 15*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d13*e*/15*g*'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd13*e*/15*g*'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 13*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 15*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S4. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 6e-z4, basic monomer 8g'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d6e/8g'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd6e/8g'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 6e is highlighted in orange, whereas position 8g' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S5. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 27*e*-z4, basic monomer 22*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d27*e*/22*g*'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd27*e*/22*g*'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 27*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 22*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S6. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 6e-z4, basic monomer 1g'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d6e/1g'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd6e/1g'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 6e is highlighted in orange, whereas position 1g' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S7. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 27*e*-z2, basic monomer 29*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d27*e*/29*g*'-z2x, and its stapled counterpart sd27*e*/29*g*'-z2x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 27*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 29*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S8. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 27*e*-z2, basic monomer 22*g*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d27*e*/22*g*'-z2x, and its stapled counterpart sd27*e*/22*g*'-z2x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 27*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 22*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S9. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 24*b*-z4, basic monomer 25*c*'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d24*b*/25*c*'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd24*b*/25*c*'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 27*e* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 29*g*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S10. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 7f-z4, basic monomer 10b'-x, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d7f/10b'-z4x, and its stapled counterpart sd7f/10b'-z4x. X represents propargyl glycine; N represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of X and N and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 7f is highlighted in orange, whereas position 10b' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S11. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 24*b*-z4, basic monomer 25*c*'-y4, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d24*b*/25*c*'-z4y4, and its stapled counterpart d24*b*/25*c*'-z4y4. \underline{Z} represents an alkyne-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue; \underline{N} represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of \underline{Z} and \underline{N} and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 24*b* is highlighted in orange, whereas position 25*c*' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S12. Sequences of (A) acidic monomer 7f-z4, basic monomer 10b'-y4, disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d7f/10b'-z4y4, and its stapled counterpart d7f/10b'-z4y4. \underline{Z} represents an alkyne-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue; \underline{N} represents an azido-terminated PEG-modified Asn residue. Also shown are the structures of the side chains of \underline{Z} and \underline{N} and their stapled counterpart superimposed on the ribbon diagram of parent disulfide-bonded heterodimer A/B (PDB ID: 1KD9). Side chains are shown as sticks; position 7f is highlighted in orange, whereas position 10b' is highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S13. Sequences of disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer d27e/29g'-xx; its bis-triazole-stapled counterpart sd27e/29g'-x4x; branched PEG bis-triazole-stapled counterpart sd27e/29g'-x4px; and their component cysteine-containing acidic and basic monomers. X represents propargyl glycine; The structure of the X residues within each variant are shown in their non-stapled and stapled forms superimposed on the ribbon diagram of A/B with the residue on peptide A highlighted in orange and the residue on peptide B highlighted in blue. The PEG oligomer(s) within each variant have the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawings and in the compound names. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.



Figure S14. Sequences of native affibody a (PDB ID: 3MZW), non-stapled variant a8/42-xx; and its bis-triazole-stapled counterpart sa8/42-x4x. \underline{X} represents propargyl glycine; The structure of the \underline{X} residues within each variant are shown in their non-stapled and stapled forms superimposed on the ribbon diagram of a with position 8 highlighted in blue and position 42 highlighted in orange. The PEG oligomer staple has the number of ethylene oxide units indicated in the structural drawing and in the compound name. Numbers in parentheses indicate notebook numbers associated with each compound.

Modelling of z4x, z2x, z4y4, and x4x staples: We generated models for the z4x, z2x, z4y4, and x4x staples 2 in GaussView 6.0 based on the structures in main text Figure 2, but with *N*'-acetyl amino acid *N*-methyl amides on either end of the staple. We then optimized these model structure in Gaussian 16 using density functional theory (APFD) calculations with the 6-31G+d,p basis set. These optimized structures are shown below in Figure S15. We used the distance between the β -carbons on either end of each staple as an estimate of the distance that could be comfortably spanned by that staple. These distances appear in main text Table 1 and in ESI Table S2.



Figure S15. Drawings of staples z4x, z2x, x4x, and z4y4, along with ball-and-stick images of optimized model staples based on z4x, z2x, x4x, and z4y4. Models were built in GaussView 6.0 and optimized via DFT calculations (APFD) using the 6-31G+d,p basis set.

2. Synthesis of coiled-coil and affibody variants

Peptide Synthesis: Monomers **20***e***-z4** (ZJ10492); **22***g***'-x** (ZJ10452); **13***e***-z4** (ZJ10493); **15***g***'-x** (ZJ10494); **6***e***-z4** (DA10812, QX31101); **8***g***'-x** (DA10794); **27***e***-z4** (ZJ10311); **22***g***'-x** (ZJ10312); **1***g***'-x** (QX31102); **24***b***-z4** (DA10811, ND1095); **25***c***'-x** (DA10792); **25***c***'-y4** (QX3095); **7***f***-z4** (QX22951, QX30984); **10***b***'-x** (QX22952); **10***b***'-y4** (QX30982); **27***e***-z2** (DA10813, ZJ10313); **29***g***'-x** (DA10796, QX22712); **27***e***-x** (QX22711); and affibody variants **a** (CD1054) and **a8/42-xx** (QX3102) were prepared as C-terminal amides on Rink amide MBHA LL resin (EMD Biosciences), by microwave-assisted solid phase peptide synthesis using a standard Fmoc Nα protection strategy as described previously.² Fmoc-protected amino acids were purchased from Advanced Chem Tech, except for the PEGylated asparagine derivatives, which were synthesized as described previously¹ or in section 7 below. Fluorescent affibody variants **fa** (ZJ10611); **fa8/42-xx** (ZJ10612); and **fsa8/42-x4x** (ZJ1062) were synthesized in a similar process until the final amino acid following which 5(6)-Carboxyfluorescein was coupled to the peptide N-terminus through activation with standard Oxyma/DIC protocols. Peptides were cleaved from resin and purified by preparative reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a C18 column using a linear gradient of water in acetonitrile with 0.1% v/v trifluoroacetic acid. Peptide identity was confirmed by electrospray ionization time- of-flight mass spectrometry.

Disulfide formation in the coiled-coil heterodimer: We prepared disulfide-bonded coiled-coil heterodimers d20e/22g'-z4x (ZJ10511); d13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171); d6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292); d27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294); d6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172); d24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291); d24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096); d7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295); d7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101); d27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289); d27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293); d27e/29g'-xx (QX2271) by mixing their purified cysteine-containing precursors in a 1:1 ratio in an aqueous solution of ammonium bicarbonate (8 mg/mL) with exposure to air for 3 hrs. Reaction completeness was monitored by analytical HPLC. Disulfide-bonded coiled-coil heterodimers were then purified by preparative HPLC and characterized by ESI-TOF MS.

Stapling via copper-catalyzed azide/alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC): We prepared triazole-stapled variants sd20e/22g'-z4x (ZJ10511s); sd13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171s); sd6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292s); sd27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294s); sd6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172s); sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s); sd24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096s); sd7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295s); sd7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101s); sd27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289s); sd27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293s) by stirring their purified non-stapled precursors in 2:1 (v/v) water/*tert*-butanol with 10 eq. copper sulfate pentahydrate and 10 eq. sodium ascorbate at 40 Celsius degree for 2 hours. The reaction was monitored by analytical HPLC, where we observed small changes in retention time upon stapling. The triazole-stapled variants were purified via preparative HPLC.

Two-component stapling via copper-catalyzed azide/alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC): We prepared triazole-stapled variants **sd27e/29g'-x4x** (QX2283), **sa8/42-x4x** (QX3106), **fsa8/42-x4x** (ZJ1062), and **sd27e/29g'-x4px** (QX3079) by stirring their non-stapled precursors **d27e/29g'-xx** (QX2271), **a8/42-xx** (QX3102), and **fa8/42-xx** (ZJ10612), respectively, in 2:1 (v/v) water/*tert*-butanol with 1.5 eq. of previously synthesized four-unit bis-azido PEG **4**¹ or four-unit bis-azido Y-shaped PEG **4p** (QX3075; synthesis described in section 10 below), 10 eq. copper sulfate pentahydrate and 10 eq. sodium ascorbate at 40 °C for 2 hours. The reaction was monitored by analytical HPLC, where we observed small changes in retention time upon stapling. The triazole-stapled variants were purified via preparative HPLC.

The CuAAC reaction does not change the mass of the monomeric triazole-stapled variants sd20e/22g'z4x (ZJ10511s); sd13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171s); sd6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292s); sd27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294s); sd6e/1g'z4x (QX31172s); sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s); sd24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096s); sd7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295s); sd7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101s); sd27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289s); sd27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293s) relative to their non-stapled azide/alkyne precursors d20e/22g'-z4x (ZJ10511); d13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171); d6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292); d27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294); d6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172); d24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291); d24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096); d7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295); d7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101); d27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289); and d27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293). To confirm the completion of the CuAAC reaction, we subjected each azide-containing non-stapled variant and its triazole-stapled counterpart separately to a solution of dithiothreitol (DTT, 15 mg) in 100 µL water, followed by incubation at room temperature for 8 hours. In all cases, the unstapled variants were reduced to their corresponding monomers with DTT addition, for the triazole-stapled variants, the dimer still existed as dimer after the DTT treatment, indicating the formation of covalent linkage between monomers.

3. Mass Spectrometry Data

Mass spectra appear in Figures S16–S84; data are summarized in Table S1.

Table S1. Summary of the mass spectrum data for stapled disulfide-bonded coiled-coil heterodimers, their non-stapled counterparts, and their monomer components.

Name	Notebook Number	Molecular Formula	Z	Expected [M+z·H]/z	Observed [M+z·H]/z
20 <i>e</i> -z4	ZJ10492	C ₁₈₅ H ₂₉₅ N ₅₁ O ₆₈ S	4	1088.781	1088.770
22g'-x	ZJ10452	C ₁₈₇ H ₃₂₄ N ₅₆ O ₄₆ S	4	1031.620	1031.596
d20e/22g'-z4x	ZJ10511	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1060.066
sd20e/22g'-z4x	ZJ10511s	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1060.086
-	ZJ10511s + DTT	$C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1060.200	1060.572
13 <i>e</i> -z4	ZJ10493	C185H295N51O68S	4	1088.781	1088.763
15g'-x	ZJ10494	C ₁₈₇ H ₃₂₄ N ₅₆ O ₄₆ S	4	1031.620	1031.601
d13e/15g'-z4x	QX31171	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1060.086
sd13e/15g'-z4x	QX31171s	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	7	1211.225	1211.195
	+ DTT	$C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1060.200	1060.851
6 <i>e</i> -z4	DA10812	$C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$	4	1088.781	1088.783
8 <i>g</i> '-x	DA10794	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.620	1031.619
d6 <i>e</i> /8g'-z4x	QX2292	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1059.948
sd6 <i>e</i> /8g'-z4x	QX2292s	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	6	1415.928	1412.937
	QX2292s + DTT	$C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1060.200	1060.074
27 <i>e</i> -z4	ZJ10311	$C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$	4	1088.781	1088.781
22 <i>g</i> '-x	ZJ10312	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.620	1031.613
d27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x	QX2294	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1059.953
sd27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x	QX2294s	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1059.953
	QX2294s + DTT	$C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1060.200	1060.197
6 <i>e</i> -z4	QX31101	$C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$	4	1088.781	1088.789
1 <i>g</i> '-x	QX31102	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.620	1031.629
d6e/1g'-z4x	QX31172	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	8	1059.948	1060.088
sd6 <i>e</i> /1 <i>g</i> '-z4x	QX31172s	$C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	7	1211.225	1211.388
	+ DTT	$C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$	7	1211.513	1211.291
27 <i>e</i> -z2	DA10813	$C_{183}H_{290}N_{48}O_{67}S$	4	1066.768	1066.763
29 <i>g</i> '-x	DA10796	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.620	1031.622
d27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z2x	QX2289	$C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1048.942	1048.940
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z2x	QX2289s	$C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1048.942	1048.942
	QX2289s + DTT	$C_{368}H_{611}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1049.194	1049.326
27 <i>e</i> -z2	ZJ10313	$C_{181}H_{286}N_{51}O_{66}S$	4	1066.768	1066.769
22 <i>g</i> '-x	ZJ10312	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.620	1031.613
d27 <i>e</i> /22 <i>g</i> '-z2x	QX2293	$C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1048.942	1048.948
sd27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z2x	QX2293s	$C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1048.942	1048.948
	QX2293s + D11	$C_{368}H_{611}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$	8	1049.194	1049.067
24 <i>b</i> -z4	DA10811	$C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$	4	1103.282	1103.268
25 <i>c</i> '-x	DA10/92	$C_{187}H_{324}N_{54}O_{46}S$	4	1024.618	1024.605
d24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> '-z4x	QX2291	$C_{374}H_{619}N_{105}O_{116}S_2$	8	1063.698	1063.705
sd24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> ² -z4x	QX2291s	$C_{374}H_{619}N_{105}O_{116}S_2$	8	1063.698	1063.697
	QX2291s + D11	$C_{374}H_{621}N_{105}O_{116}S_2$	8	1063.950	1063.950
24 <i>b</i> -z4	ND1095	$C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$	4	1103.282	1103.268
25c'-y4	QX3095	$C_{197}H_{343}N_{55}O_{51}S$	4	1082.900	1082.906
d24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> ² -z4y4	QX3096	$C_{384}H_{638}N_{106}O_{121}S_2$	8	1092.839	1093.064
\$024 <i>0</i> /25C ² -Z4y4	QA3090S	$C_{384}H_{638}N_{106}O_{121}S_2$	ð	1092.839	1095.072
76-4	QX30908 + DTT	$C_{384}\Pi_{640}N_{106}O_{121}S_2$	0	1102.091	1095.515
/ <i>j</i> -z4	QX22951 QX22052	$C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$	4	1103.282	1103.298
100'-X	QX22952 QX2205	$C_{188}\Pi_{329}N_{57}O_{44}S$	4	1031.383	1051.597
0/J/100 -24X	QX2295	$C_{375}\Pi_{624}N_{108}O_{114}S_2$	07	1007.081	1007.088
su / <i>J</i> /100°-24x	QA22938 $OV2205a \pm DTT$	$C_{375}\Pi_{624}N_{108}O_{114}S_2$	7	1219.577	1219.304
76-1	QA22938 + DTT	$C_{375116261N_{108}}$	/	1217.003	1217.00/
/J-Z4 1042 - 4	QA30984 OV20092	$C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}U_{70}S$	4 1	1103.282	1105.298
100°-y4 176/1012 -44	QA30982	$C_{198} \overline{C_{198}} \overline$	4 0	1005.004	1007.0/1
u/j/100°-2494 sd7f/106? -2494	QA3101 QX3101	$C_{385116431N109}O_{119}O_{2}$	0 7	1090.221	1090.447
su <i>iji</i> 10 <i>0 -</i> 2494	OX3101s + DTT	$C_{385116431}(109011952)$	/ &	1096 173	1096 607
)7 a v	OV22711	$C_{385116451N109}U_{119}O_2$	0	1020.4/3	1022 757
2/e-x 20a x	QA22/11 OV22712	$C_{178}\Pi_{279}N_{47}O_{64}S$	4 1	1033./32	1035./3/
29g-x	QA22/12	$C_{187}\Pi_{3241}N_{56}O_{46}S$	4	1031.020	1031.024

d27 <i>e</i> /29g'-xx	QX2271	$C_{365}H_{601}N_{103}O_{110}S_2$	8	1032.434	1032.442
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-x4x	QX2283	$C_{373}H_{617}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$	8	1062.950	1062.953
	QX2283 + DTT	$C_{373}H_{619}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$	8	1063.202	1063.33
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-x4px	QX3079	$C_{383}H_{636}N_{110}O_{118}S_2$	8	1092.090	1092.075
	QX3079 + DTT	$C_{383}H_{638}N_{110}O_{118}S_2$	8	1092.342	1092.447
(<i>R</i>)-27 <i>e</i> -x	ZJ10551	C178H279N47O64S	4	1033.752	1033.751
(<i>R</i> , <i>S</i>)-d27 <i>e</i> /29 <i>g</i> '-xx	QX3118	$C_{365}H_{601}N_{103}O_{110}S_2$	8	1032.434	1032.541
(<i>R</i> , <i>S</i>)-sd27 <i>e</i> /29 <i>g</i> '-x4x	ZJ1056	$C_{373}H_{617}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$	8	1062.950	1063.073
	ZJ1056 + DTT	$C_{373}H_{619}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$	8	1063.202	1063.264
affibody a	CD1054	$C_{296}H_{471}N_{87}O_{89}S$	5	1341.103	1341.106
a8/42-xx	QX3102	$C_{298}H_{469}N_{87}O_{87}S$	5	1339.102	1339.123
sa8/42-x4x	QX3106	C306H485N93O90S	5	1387.927	1387.915
Fluorescent affibody fa	ZJ10611	$C_{317}H_{480}N_{86}O_{95}S$	5	1412.914	1412.883
fa8/42-xx	ZJ01612	$C_{319}H_{478}N_{86}O_{93}S$	5	1410.913	1410.888
fsa8/42-x4x	ZJ1062	C327H494N92O96S	5	1459.738	1459.705



Figure S16. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 20*e*-z4 (ZJ10492, $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.770 Da.



Figure S17. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 22g'-x (ZJ10452, $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.596 Da.



Figure S18. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d20e/22g'-z4x (ZJ10511, C₃₇₂H₆₁₇N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/4 = 1060.067 Da.



Figure S19. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound stapled coiled-coil variant sd20e/22g'-z4x (ZJ10511s, $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/4 = 1060.086 Da.



Figure S20. ESI-TOF MS data for azide-containing (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d20***e*/**22***g*'-*z*4*x* before (ZJ10511; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (B) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, **d20***e*/**22***g*'-*z*4*x* splits into its component peptides: **20***e*-*z*4 (ZJ10492; $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da) and **22***g*'-*x* (ZJ10452; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da; does not appear in spectrum for reasons that are not clear). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (C) triazole-stapled **sd20***e*/**22***g*'-*z*4*x* before (ZJ10511s; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (E) after exposure to reduction conditions for at least 8h ($C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1060.073 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to cysteine residues). Note that **sd20***e*/**22***g*'-*z*4*x* remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S21. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 13*e*-z4 (ZJ10493, $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.763 Da.



Figure S22. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 15g'-x (ZJ10494, $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.601 Da.



Figure S23. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171, C₃₇₂H₆₁₇N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1060.086 Da.



Figure S24. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd13*e*/15*g*'-z4x (QX31171s, $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.225 Da. Observed [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.195 Da.



Figure S25. ESI-TOF MS data for azide-containing (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d13e/15g'-z4x before (QX31171; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (B) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d13e/15g'-z4x splits into its component peptides: 13e-z4 (ZJ10493; $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da) and 15g'-x (ZJ10494; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. 15g'-x does not appear in spectrum for reasons that are not clear. Instead, we observe a peak with m/z = 1109.117 Da and isotopic spacing consistent with z = 4. This peak is consistent with bis-DTT adduct of 15g'-x ($C_{195}H_{344}N_{56}O_{50}S_5$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1108.626), which could be formed via tandem thiol-ene reactions between the propargylglycine of 15g'-x and two equivalents of DTT. Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (C) triazole-stapled coiled-coil variant sd13e/15g'-z4x before (QX31171s; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.225 Da) and (E) after exposure to reduction conditions for at least 8h ($C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1060.200 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to cysteine residues). Note that sd13e/15g'-z4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S26. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 6*e*-z4 (DA10812; $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.783 Da.



Figure S27. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic variant 8g'-x (DA10794; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.619 Da.



Figure S28. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant $d6e/8g^2$ -z4x (QX2292; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da.



Figure S29. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **sd6***e*/**8***g***'-z4x** (QX2292s; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+6H⁺]/6 = 1412.928 Da. Observed [M+6H⁺]/6 = 1412.937 Da.



Figure S30. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d6e/8g'-z4x before (QX2292; C₃₇₂H₆₁₇N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d6e/8g'-z4x should split into its component peptides: 6e-z4 (DA10812; C₁₈₅H₂₉₅N₅₁O₆₈S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781) and 8g'-x (DA10794; C₁₈₇H₃₂₄N₅₆O₄₆S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). Peptide 8g'-x does not appear in (B,C) for reasons that are unclear. Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled sd6e/8g'-z4x before (QX2292s; C₃₇₂H₆₁₇N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+6H⁺]/6 = 1412.928 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₂H₆₁₉N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1060.200 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd6e/8g'-z4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S31. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 27*e*-z4 (ZJ10311, $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da.



Figure S32. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 22g'-x (ZJ10312, $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$). Expected $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1031.620$ Da. Observed $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1031.613$ Da.



Figure S33. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294, C₃₇₂H₆₁₇N₁₀₇O₁₁₄S₂). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.953 Da.



Figure S34. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27e/22g'-40 (QX2294s, $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.953 Da



Figure S35. ESI-TOF MS data for azide-containing (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/22g'-z4x before (QX2294; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d27e/22g'-z4x splits into its component peptides: 27e-z4 (ZJ10311; $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da) and 22g'-x (ZJ10312; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da; does not appear in spectrum for reasons that are not clear). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27e/22g'-z4x before (QX2294s; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (E,F) after exposure to reduction conditions for at least 8h ($C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1060.073 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to cysteine residues). Note that sd27e/22g'-z4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S36. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 6*e*-z4 (QX31101, $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.789 Da.



Figure S37. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 1g'-x (QX31102, $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.629 Da.



Figure S38. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172, $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1060.088 Da.



Figure S39. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172s, $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$). Expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.225 Da. Observed [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.388 Da.



Figure S40. ESI-TOF MS data for azide-containing (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d6e/1g'-z4x** before (QX31172; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1059.948 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, **d6e/1g'-z4x** splits into its component peptides: **6e-z4** (QX31101; $C_{185}H_{295}N_{51}O_{68}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1088.781 Da) and **1g'-x** (QX31102; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da; does not appear in spectrum for reasons that are not clear). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **sd6e/1g'-z4x** before (QX31172s; $C_{372}H_{617}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.225 Da) and (E,F) after exposure to reduction conditions for at least 8h ($C_{372}H_{619}N_{107}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1211.513 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to cysteine residues). Note that **sd6e/1g'-z4x** remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S41. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 27*e*-z2 (DA10813; $C_{183}H_{290}N_{48}O_{67}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.768 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.763 Da.



Figure S42. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 29g'-x (DA10796; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1031.620$ Da). Observed $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1031.622$ Da.



Figure S43. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289; C₃₆₈H₆₀₉N₁₀₇O₁₁₂S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.940 Da.



Figure S44. ESI-TOF spectrum for coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-z2x (QX2289s; $C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da.



Figure S45. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) coiled-coil variant d27e/29g'-z2x before (QX2289; C₃₆₈H₆₀₉N₁₀₇O₁₁₂S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d27e/29g'-z2x splits into its component peptides: 27e-z2 (DA10813; C₁₈₃H₂₉₀N₄₈O₆₇S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.7682) and 29g'-x (DA10796; C₁₈₇H₃₂₄N₅₆O₄₆S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled sd27e/29g'-z2x before (QX2289; C₃₆₈H₆₀₉N₁₀₇O₁₁₂S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₃H₆₁₉N₁₀₉O₁₁₃S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1049.194 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd27e/29g'-z2x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S46. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 27*e*-z2 (ZJ10313; $C_{181}H_{286}N_{51}O_{66}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.768 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.769 Da.



Figure S47. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 22g'-x (ZJ10312; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.613 Da.



Figure S48. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293; C₃₆₈H₆₀₉N₁₀₇O₁₁₂S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.948 Da.



Figure S49. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/22*g*'-z2x (QX2293s; $C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.948 Da.



Figure S50. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) coiled-coil variant d27e/22g'-z2x before (QX2293; $C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d27e/22g'-z2x splits into its component peptides: 27e-z2 (ZJ10313; $C_{181}H_{286}N_{51}O_{66}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1066.768) and 22g'-x (ZJ10312; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled sd27e/22g'-z2x before (QX2293s; $C_{368}H_{609}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1048.942 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h ($C_{368}H_{611}N_{107}O_{112}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1049.194 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd27e/22g'-z2x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S51. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 24*b*-z4 (DA10811, $C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$). Expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.268 Da.



Figure S52. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 25*c*'-x (DA10792; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{54}O_{46}S$). Expected $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1024.618$ Da. Observed $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1024.605$ Da.



Figure S53. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant $d24b/25c^2 - z4x$ (QX2291, $C_{374}H_{619}N_{105}O_{116}S_2$). Expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.698 Da. Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.705 Da.



Figure S54. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s, $C_{374}H_{619}N_{105}O_{116}S_2$). Expected $[M+8H^+]/8 = 1063.698$ Da. Observed $[M+8H^+]/8 = 1063.697$ Da.



Figure S55. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d24b/25c'-z4x before (QX2291; C₃₇₄H₆₁₉N₁₀₅O₁₁₆S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.698 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d24b/25c'-z4x should split into its component peptides: 24b-z4 (DA10811; C₁₈₇H₂₉₇N₅₁O₇₀S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282) and 25c'-x (DA10792; C₁₈₇H₃₂₄N₅₄O₄₆S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1024.618 Da). However, neither of these appear in (B,C). Instead, we observe a peak with m/z = 1101.628 Da and isotopic spacing consistent with z = 4. This peak is consistent with bis-DTT adduct of 25c'-x (C₁₉₅H₃₄₄N₅₄O₅₀S₅; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1101.624), which could be formed via tandem thiol-ene reactions between the propargylglycine of 25c'-x and two equivalents of DTT. Peptide 24b-4 does not appear in (B,C) for reasons that are unclear. Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c'-z4x before (QX2291s; C₃₇₄H₆₁₉N₁₀₅O₁₁₆S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.698 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₄H₆₂₁N₁₀₅O₁₁₆S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.950 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd24b/25c'-z4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S56. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer variant 25*c*'-y4 (QX3095; $C_{197}H_{343}N_{55}O_{51}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1082.900 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1082.906 Da.



Figure S57. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 24*b*-z4 (ND1095; $C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.277 Da.



Figure S58. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant $d24b/25c^2 - z4y4$ (QX3096; $C_{384}H_{638}N_{106}O_{121}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.839 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1093.064 Da.



Figure S59. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c²-z4y4 (QX3096s; $C_{384}H_{638}N_{106}O_{121}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.839 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1093.072 Da.



Figure S60. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d24b/25c'-z4y4 before (QX3096; C₃₈₄H₆₃₈N₁₀₆O₁₂₁S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.839 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d24b/25c'-z4y4 splits into its component peptides: 24b-z4 (QX3095; C₁₉₇H₃₄₃N₅₅O₅₁S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1082.900 Da) and 25c'-y4 (ND1095; C₁₈₇H₂₉₇N₅₁O₇₀S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c'-z4y4 before (QX3096s; C₃₈₄H₆₃₈N₁₀₆O₁₂₁S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.839 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₈₄H₆₄₀N₁₀₆O₁₂₁S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1093.091 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd24b/25c'-z4y4 remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S61. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 7*f*-z4 (QX22951; $C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$; expected $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1103.282 \text{ Da}$). Observed $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1103.298 \text{ Da}$.



Figure S62. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 10*b*'-x (QX22952; $C_{188}H_{329}N_{57}O_{44}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.383 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.397 Da.



Figure S63. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil d7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295; C₃₇₅H₆₂₄N₁₀₈O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1067.081 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1067.088 Da.



Figure S64. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **sd7***f*/10*b*'-z4x (QX2295s; $C_{375}H_{624}N_{108}O_{114}S_2$; expected [M+6H⁺]/6 = 1422.438 Da). Observed [M+6H⁺]/6 = 1422.428 Da.



Figure S65. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d7f/10b'-z4x before (QX2295; C₃₇₅H₆₂₄N₁₀₈O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1067.081 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d7f/10b'-z4x splits into its component peptides: 7f-z4 (QX22951; C₁₈₇H₂₉₇N₅₁O₇₀S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282) and 10b'-x (QX22952; C₁₈₈H₃₂₉N₅₇O₄₄S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.383 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd7f/10b'-z4x before (QX2295s; C₃₇₅H₆₂₄N₁₀₈O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1219.377 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₅H₆₂₆N₁₀₈O₁₁₄S₂; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1219.665 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd7f/10b'-z4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S66. ESI-TOF spectrum for coiled-coil variant 7*f*-z4 (QX30984; $C_{187}H_{297}N_{51}O_{70}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da.



Figure S67. ESI-TOF spectrum for coiled-coil variant 10b'-y4 (QX30982; $C_{198}H_{348}N_{58}O_{49}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1089.664 Da). Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1089.671 Da.



Figure S68. ESI-TOF spectrum for coiled-coil variant d7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101; $C_{385}H_{643}N_{109}O_{119}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1096.221 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1096.447 Da.



Figure S69. ESI-TOF spectrum for coiled-coil variant sd7*f*/10*b*'-z4y4 (QX3101s; $C_{385}H_{643}N_{109}O_{119}S_2$; expected [M+7H⁺]/7 = 1252.680 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1253.046 Da.



Figure S70. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d7f/10b'-z4y4 before (QX3101; C₃₈₅H₆₄₃N₁₀₉O₁₁₉S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1096.221 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d7f/10b'-z4y4 splits into its component peptides: 7f-z4 (QX30984; C₁₈₇H₂₉₇N₅₁O₇₀S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1103.282 Da) and 10b'-y4 (QX30982; C₁₉₈H₃₄₈N₅₈O₄₉S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1089.664 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd7f/10b'-z4y4 before (QX3101s; C₃₈₅H₆₄₃N₁₀₉O₁₁₉S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1096.221 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₈₅H₆₄₅N₁₀₉O₁₁₉S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1096.473 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd7f/10b'-z4y4 remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S71. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer 27*e*-x (QX22711; $C_{178}H_{279}N_{47}O_{64}S$; expected $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1033.752 \text{ Da}$). Observed $[M+4H^+]/4 = 1033.757 \text{ Da}$.



Figure S72. ESI-TOF spectrum for basic monomer 29g'-x (QX22712; $C_{187}H_{324}N_{56}O_{46}S$; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da. Observed [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.624 Da.



Figure S73. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/29g'-xx (QX2271; C₃₆₅H₆₀₁N₁₀₃O₁₁₀S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1032.434 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1032.442 Da.



Figure S74. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4x (QX2283; $C_{373}H_{617}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1062.950 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1062.952 Da.


Figure S75. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d27e/29g'-xx before (QX2271; C₃₆₅H₆₀₁N₁₀₃O₁₁₀S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1032.434 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, d27e/29g'-xx splits into its component peptides: 27e-x (QX22711; C₁₇₈H₂₇₉N₄₇O₆₄S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1033.752) and 29g'-x (QX22712; C₁₈₇H₃₂₄N₅₆O₄₆S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). However, neither of these appear in (B,C). Instead, we observe a peak with m/z = 1108.6277 Da and isotopic spacing consistent with z = 4. This peak is consistent with bis-DTT adduct of 29g'-x (C₁₉₅H₃₄₄N₅₆O₅₀S₅; expected [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1108.626), which could be formed via tandem thiol-ene reactions between the propargylglycine of 29g'-x and two equivalents of DTT. Peptide 27e-x does not appear in (B,C) for reasons that are unclear. Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27e/29g'-x4x before (QX2283; C₃₇₃H₆₁₇N₁₀₉O₁₁₃S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1062.950 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₃H₆₁₉N₁₀₉O₁₁₃S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.202 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd27e/29g'-x4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S76. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4px (QX3079; $C_{383}H_{636}N_{110}O_{118}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.090 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.075 Da.



Figure S77. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) bis-triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27e/29g'-x4px (QX3079; $C_{383}H_{636}N_{110}O_{118}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.090 Da) and (B) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h ($C_{383}H_{638}N_{110}O_{118}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1092.342 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that sd27e/29g'-x4px remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the bis-triazole staple.



Figure S78. ESI-TOF spectrum for acidic monomer (*R*)-27*e*-x (ZJ10551; $C_{178}H_{279}N_{47}O_{64}S$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1033.752 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1033.751 Da.



Figure S79. ESI-TOF spectrum for disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (R,S)-d27e/29g'-xx (QX3118; C₃₆₅H₆₀₁N₁₀₃O₁₁₀S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1032.434 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1033.541 Da.



Figure S80. ESI-TOF spectrum for stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (*R*,*S*)-sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4x (ZJ1056; $C_{373}H_{617}N_{109}O_{113}S_2$; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1062.950 Da). Observed [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.073 Da.



Figure S81. ESI-TOF MS data for (A) disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (R,S)-d27e/29g'-xx before (QX3118; C₃₆₅H₆₀₁N₁₀₃O₁₁₀S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1032.434 Da) and (B,C) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h. Following reduction, (R,S)-d27e/29g'-xx splits into its component peptides: (R)-27e-x (ZJ10551; C₁₇₈H₂₇₉N₄₇O₆₄S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1033.752) and 29g'-x (QX22712; C₁₈₇H₃₂₄N₅₆O₄₆S; expected mass [M+4H⁺]/4 = 1031.620 Da). Also shown are ESI-TOF MS data for (D) triazole-stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (R,S)-sd27e/29g'-x4x before (ZJ1056; C₃₇₃H₆₁₇N₁₀₉O₁₁₃S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1062.950 Da and (E,F) after exposure to reducing conditions (1M DTT) for at least 8h (C₃₇₃H₆₁₉N₁₀₉O₁₁₃S₂; expected [M+8H⁺]/8 = 1063.202 Da after reduction of disulfide bond to free cysteine residues). Note that (R,S)-sd27e/29g'-x4x remains intact even after disulfide reduction due to the triazole staple.



Figure S82. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for affibody a (CD1054). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1341.102$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1341.106$ Da.



Figure S83. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for affibody variant a8/42-xx (QX3102). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1339.102$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1339.123$ Da.



Figure S84. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for affibody variant sa8/42-x4x (QX3106). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1387.927$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1387.915$ Da.



Figure S85. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for fluorescent affibody variant fa (ZJ10611). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1412.914$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1412.883$ Da.



Figure S86. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for fluorescent affibody variant fa8/42-xx (ZJ10612). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1410.913$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1410.888$ Da.



Figure S87. ESI-TOF MS spectrum for fluorescent affibody variant fsa8/42-x4x (ZJ1062). Expected $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1459.738$ Da. Observed $[M+5H^+]/5 = 1459.705$ Da.

4. Analytical HPLC data



Figure S88. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **d20***e*/**22***g***'-z4x** (ZJ10511). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S89. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd20*e*/22*g*'-z4x (ZJ10511s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B ($A = H_2O$, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S90. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d27e/29g'-xx (QX2271). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S91. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d13e/15g'-z4x (QX31171). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S852. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd13*e*/15*g*'-z4x (QX31171s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S93. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **d6e/8g'-z4x** (QX2292). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S94. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd6***e*/8*g*'-*z*4*x* (QX2292s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H_2O , 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S95. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **d27***e*/**22***g***'-z4x** (QX2294). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H_2O , 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S96. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S97. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S98. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B ($A = H_2O$, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S99. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S100. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd27***e*/**29***g***'-z2x** (QX2289s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B ($A = H_2O$, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S101. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S102. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd27***e*/**22***g***'-z2x** (QX2293s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B ($A = H_2O$, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S103. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = _{H2O}, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S104. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S105. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S106. Analytical HPLC Data for variant sd24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S107. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d7f/10b'-z4x (QX2295). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S108. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd7***f*/10*b*'-z4x (QX2295). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B ($A = H_2O$, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S109. Analytical HPLC Data for variant d7f/10b'-z4y4 (QX3101). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S110. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd7***f***/10***b***'-z4y4** (QX3101s). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S111. Analytical HPLC Data for coiled-coil variant sd27e/29g'-x4x (QX2283). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S112. Analytical HPLC Data for variant **sd27e/29e'-x4px** (QX3079). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H₂O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S113. Analytical HPLC Data for native affibody **a** (CD1054). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S114. Analytical HPLC Data for affibody variant **a8/42-xx** (QX3102). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S115. Analytical HPLC Data for stapled affibody variant **sa8/42-x4x** (QX3106). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S116. Analytical HPLC Data for fluorescent affibody variant **fa** (ZJ10611). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S117. Analytical HPLC Data for fluorescent affibody f variant **fa8/42-xx** (ZJ10612). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.



Figure S118. Analytical HPLC Data for fluorescent native affibody f variant **fa8/42-x4x** (ZJ1062). Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of 10-60% B (A = H2O, 0.1% TFA; B = MeCN, 0.1% TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10-minute rinse (95% B), and a 10-minute column re-equilibration.

5. Global Fitting of Variable Temperature CD Data

The conformational stability of stapled variants and their non-stapled counterparts was assessed by variable-temperature circular dichroism spectropolarimetry. Data from three replicate variable temperature CD experiments were each fit globally to a model for a two-state thermally induced unfolding transition using the program Mathematica (Wolfram Research). We used a model based on two-state monomer folding/unfolding equilibrium for stapled coiled-coil variants sd20e/22g'-z4x, sd13e/15g'-z4x, sd6e/8g'-z4x, sd27e/22g'-z4x, sd6e/1g'-z4x, sd27e/29g'-z2x, sd27e/22g'-z2x, sd24b/25c'-z4x, sd24b/25c'-z4y4, sd7f/10b'-z4x, sd7f/10b'-z4y4, sd27e/29g'-x4x, sd27e/29g'-x4x, and (*R*,*S*)-sd27e/29g'-x4x; for their non-stapled counterparts variants d20e/22g'-z4x, d24b/25c'-z4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/22g'-z2x, d24b/25c'-z4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/22g'-z2x, d24b/25c'-z4x, d27e/29g'-x4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/22g'-z4x, d27e/29g'-x4x; for their non-stapled counterparts variants d20e/22g'-z4x, d24b/25c'-z4x, d27e/29g'-z4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/22g'-z4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d24b/25c'-z4x, d27e/29g'-x4x, d27e/29g'-z2x, d27e/29g'-x4x; for stapled HER2 affibody sa8/42-xx; for its non-stapled counterpart a8/42-x4x; and for native HER2 affibody a. This model is described in equation S1:

$$[\theta] = \frac{[K \cdot (a_n + b_n \cdot T) + (c_n + d_n \cdot T)]}{1 + K},$$
(S1)

where T is temperature in Kelvin, a_n is the *y*-intercept and b_n is the slope of the pre-transition baseline for melt *n* (a_1 and b_1 for replicate 1, a_2 and b_2 for replicate 2, a_3 and b_3 for replicate 3, etc.); c_n is the *y*-intercept and d_n is the slope of the post-transition baseline for replicate *n* (c_1 and d_1 for replicate 1, c_2 and d_2 for replicate 2, c_3 and d_3 for replicate 3, etc.); and K is the temperature-dependent folding equilibrium constant. K is related to the temperature-dependent free energy of folding ΔG according to the following equation:

$$K = \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta G}{RT}\right],\tag{S2}$$

where R is the universal gas constant (0.0019872 kcal/mol/K). ΔG is a function of temperature, as shown in the following equation:

$$\Delta G = \frac{\Delta H_0 \cdot (T_m - T)}{T_m} + \Delta C_p \cdot (T - T_m - T \cdot \ln\left[\frac{T}{T_m}\right]), \tag{S3}$$

where T_m is the midpoint of the unfolding transition and the temperature at which $\Delta G_f = 0$; ΔH_0 is the change in enthalpy upon folding at $T = T_m$; and ΔC_p is the change in heat capacity upon folding. In some cases, we found that some fit parameters had sufficiently high standard errors as to render them indistinguishable from zero and therefore not essential to the fit (as judged by their p-values). When this occurred, we repeated the fitting process without the non-essential parameters. We used the fit parameters for each variant to calculate the ΔG values given in the main text; we calculated the uncertainty for each ΔG value by propagation of error using the standard errors of the fit parameters.

Molar ellipticity data at 222 nm ($[\theta]_{222}$), melting temperatures (T_m), and folding free energies ΔG for variants d27e/29g'-z4x and sd27e/29g'-z4x; d27e/22g'-z4x and sd27e/22g'-z4x; d24b/25c'-z4x and sd24b/25c'-z4x; d20e/22g'-z4x; d13e/15g'-z4x and sd13e/15g'-z4x; d26e/8g'-z4x and sd2e/22g'-z4x; d13e/15g'-z4x and sd13e/15g'-z4x; d27e/22g'-z2x; d27e/22g'-z2x and sd27e/29g'-z2x; d24b/25c'-z4y4 and sd24b/25c'-z4y4; d7f/10b'-z4x and sd7f/10b'-z4x; d7f/10b'-z4y4 and sd7f/10b'-z4y4; d27e/29g'-xx, sd27e/29g'-x4x, and sd27e/29g'-x4px; and HER2 affibody variants a, a8/42-xx and sa8/42-x4x are given in Table S2. [θ]₂₂₂ is a well-known indicator of α -helical secondary structure, whereas T_m values are indicators of tertiary/quaternary structural stability. Values of the ratio [θ]₂₂₂/[θ]₂₀₈ near 1.1 are also considered diagnostic of coiled-coil tertiary/quaternary structures. However, we were not able to measure [θ]₂₀₈ due to the excessively high dynode values we observed at wavelengths less than 210 nm in solutions of these variants in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7) + 4 M guanidinium chloride (which was necessary to observe complete or nearly complete thermal unfolding transitions). Therefore, our assessment of coiled-coil tertiary structural stability must rely on T_m values alone.

 $[\theta]_{222}$ values for these variants are consistent with α -helical secondary structure and T_m values are consistent with coiled-coil tertiary structure. However, the magnitude of $[\theta]_{222}$ varies widely from variant to variant and is not well correlated with T_m (Figure S113). Increases in T_m associated with PEG stapling are sometimes associated with increases in [0]222 (compare variants d27e/29g'-z4x vs. sd27e/29g'-z4x; d20e/22g'z4x vs. sd20e/22g'-z4x; d13e/15g'-z4x vs. sd13e/15g'-z4x; d27e/22g'-z4x vs. sd27e/22g'-z4x; d6e/1g'-z4x vs. sd6e/1g'-z4x; d7f/10b'-z4y4 vs. sd7f/10b'-z4y4; d27e/22g'-z4x vs. sd27e/22g'-z4x; and d27e/29g'-xx vs. sd27e/29g'-x4x), but not always (compare variants d6e/8g'-z4x vs. sd6e/8g'-z4x; d7f/10b'-z4x vs. sd7f/10b'z4x; sd27e/29g'-x4px vs. sd27e/29g'-x4x; and a8/42-xx vs. sa8/42-x4x). This observation is interesting because it is generally accepted that α-helical secondary structure forms concomitantly with coiled-coil association: that is, individual subunits are only α -helical within the coiled-coil assembly, not on their own. It is possible that the covalent linkages between helices in the disulfide-bound coiled-coil variants and their stapled counterparts attenuate the connection between secondary and tertiary structural stability. However, we previously³ observed a similar lack of correlation between $[\theta]_{222}$ and T_m values in a study of non-stapled non-disulfide-bound trimeric α helical coiled coils. Despite this anomaly, crystallography confirmed that these previously characterized variants adopted their intended trimeric coiled-coil quaternary structures. Therefore, we believe that the lack of correlation we observed here between $[\theta]_{222}$ and T_m , while interesting, does not substantially change the conclusions

described in the main text.

CD spectra and variable temperature CD data for each variant are shown in Figures S114–S143, along with the fit parameters \pm standard error. Standard parameter errors were used to estimate the uncertainty in the thermodynamic values given in the main text by propagation of error.

Protein	Distance between staple positions (Å)	Calculated Staple Length (Å)	[θ]222 (deg cm² dmol ⁻¹ x 10³)	T _m (°C)	Impact of Stapling		
					∆∆G (kcal/mol)	ΔΔH (kcal/mol)	-T∆∆S (kcal/mol)
d27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z4x			-24.6	41.1 ± 0.2			
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z4x	9.2	18.5	-34.5	48.2 ± 0.1	-0.65 ± 0.02	1.3 ± 0.6	-1.9 ± 0.6
d20 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x			-24.0	41.8 ± 0.2			
sd20 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x	9.2	18.5	-31.3	54.3 ± 0.1	-1.09 ± 0.02	1.6 ± 0.6	-2.7 ± 0.6
d13e/15g'-z4x			-28.2	42.4 ± 0.1			
sd13 <i>e</i> /15g'-z4x	9.7	18.5	-29.7	57.7 ± 0.1	-1.33 ± 0.02	2.1 ± 0.5	-3.4 ± 0.5
d6e/8g'-z4x			-31.6	39.5 ± 0.2			
sd6 <i>e/8g</i> '-z4x	10.6	18.5	-18.2	69.1 ± 0.1	-2.53 ± 0.04	-1.9 ± 0.6	-0.7 ± 0.6
d27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x			-22.5	43.2 ± 0.1			
sd27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z4x	6.3	18.5	-40.4	63.6 ± 0.1	-2.01 ± 0.02	-2.7 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.5
d6 <i>e/1g</i> '-z4x			-24.7	45.0 ± 0.1			
sd6 <i>e/1g</i> '-z4x	6.0	18.5	-27.6	73.7 ± 0.2	-2.30 ± 0.04	1.7 ± 0.6	-4.0 ± 0.6
d24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> '-z4x			-38.0	43.4 ± 0.1			
sd24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> '-z4x	14.3	18.5	-11.4	33.0 ± 0.2	0.65 ± 0.02	8.1 ± 0.5	-7.4 ± 0.5
d7 <i>f</i> /10 <i>b</i> '-z4x			-24.9	42.6 ± 0.2			
sd7 <i>f</i> /10 <i>b</i> '-z4x	15.6	18.5	-11.1	51.4 ± 0.3	-0.61 ± 0.03	8.8 ± 0.9	-9.4 ± 0.9
d24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> '-z4y4			-21.3	46.5 ± 0.1			
sd24 <i>b</i> /25 <i>c</i> '-z4y4	14.3	28.5	-16.5	44.5 ± 0.2	0.17 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.6	-0.6 ± 0.6
d7 <i>f</i> /10 <i>b</i> '-z4y4			-16.4	43.7 ± 0.2			
sd7 <i>f/</i> 10 <i>b</i> '-z4y4	15.6	28.5	-30.2	54.2 ± 0.2	-0.68 ± 0.01	6.6 ± 0.6	-7.2 ± 0.6
d27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z2x			-24.2	38.8 ± 0.2			
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-z2x	9.2	8.1	-16.3	33.7 ± 0.1	0.31 ± 0.01	6.1 ± 0.4	-5.8 ± 0.4
d27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z2x			-20.4	43.4 ± 0.1			
sd27 <i>e</i> /22g'-z2x	6.3	8.1	-23.1	64.9 ± 0.3	-2.04 ± 0.03	-0.5 ± 0.6	-1.5 ± 0.6
d27 <i>e</i> /29g'-xx			-22.4	39.8 ± 0.2			
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-x4x	9.2	19.3	-52.2	52.9 ± 0.2	-1.08 ± 0.03	-2.0 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5
sd27 <i>e</i> /29g'-x4px			-28.1	53.4 ± 0.1	-1.21 ± 0.02	-4.1 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 0.5
affibody a			-7.0	66.4 ± 0.2			
a8/42-xx	7.4	19.3	-38.5	60.9 ± 0.1	0.64 ± 0.02	-2.1 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 1.1
sa8/42-x4x			-16.1	76.1 ± 0.1	-1.09 ± 0.03	3.5 ± 1.3	-4.6 ± 1.3

Table S2. Molar ellipticity, melting temperatures and folding free energies for non-stapled variants and their PEG-stapled counterparts.^a

^aDistance between staple positions for each variant were calculated by measuring the distance between the centers of mass of the corresponding side chains in the crystal structure of the parent disulfide-bound coiled-coil heterodimer **dA/B** (PDB ID: 1KD9). Calculated staple length measured from β -carbon to β -carbon within model staple structures (see supporting information) optimized in Gaussian 16 using density functional theory APFD and the 6-31G+d,p basis set. $\Delta\Delta G$, $\Delta\Delta H$, and -T $\Delta\Delta S$ values for each variant are given ± std. error in kcal/mol at the melting temperature of its corresponding non-stapled counterpart at 15 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) + 4.0 M GdnHCl, except for affibody **a**, non-stapled **a8/42-xx**, and stapled **sa8/42-x4x**, which were characterized without denaturant.



Figure S119. Plot of $[\theta]_{222}$ vs. melting temperature for variants d27e/29g'-z4x and sd27e/29g'-z4x; d27e/22g'-z4x and sd27e/22g'-z4x; d24b/25c'-z4x and sd24b/25c'-z4x; d20e/22g'-z4x; d13e/15g'-z4x; d13e/15g'-z4x; d6e/8g'-z4x; d6e/8g'-z4x; d6e/1g'-z4x; d27e/29g'-z2x; d27e/22g'-z2x; d27e/22g'-z2x; d24b/25c'-z4y4 and sd2e/b/25c'-z4y4; d7f/10b'-z4x; d7f/10b'-z4y4; d7f/10b'-z4y4; d27e/29g'-xx, sd27e/29g'-x4x, and sd27e/29g'-x4px; and HER2 affibody variants a, a8/42-xx and sa8/42-x4x.



Figure S120. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d20e/22g'z4x** (ZJ10511) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S121. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd20*e*/22*g*'-z4x (ZJ10511s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S122. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d13***e*/15*g*'-**z4x** (QX31171) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S123. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd13*e*/15*g*'-z4x (QX31171s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S124. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d6***e*/8*g*'-z4x (QX2292) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of Δ G_f, Δ H_f, and -T Δ S_f ± standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S125. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S126. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d27e/22g'-z4x** (QX2294) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S127. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/22*g*'z4x (QX2294s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S128. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d6***e*/1*g*'-z4x (QX31172) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of Δ G_f, Δ H_f, and -T Δ S_f ± standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S129. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd6e/1g'-z4x (QX31172s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values of ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S130. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d27***e*/**29***g*'-**z2x** (QX2289) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f}$ at \pm standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S131. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-z2*x* (QX2289s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard errors at the indicated temperature.



Figure S132. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d27e/22g'-z2x** (QX2293) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S133. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/22*g*'-z4x (QX2293s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S134. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d24b/25c'-z4x** (QX2291) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S135. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from eq. S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S136. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d24b/25c'-z4y4** (QX3096) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S137. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd24b/25c'-z4y4 (QX3096s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S138. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant d7*f*/10*b*'-z4x (QX2295) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S139. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd7*f*/10*b*'-z4x (QX2295s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S860. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d7***f*/10*b*'-z4y4 (QX3101) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure 141. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd7*f*/10*b*'-z4y4 (QX3101s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S142. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant **d27e/29g'-xx** (QX2271) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S143. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4x (QX2283) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S144. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M branched PEG-stapled disulfide-bound coiledcoil variant sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4px (QX3079) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S145. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (*R*,*S*)d27e/29g'-xx (QX3118) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S146. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled disulfide-bound coiled-coil variant (*R*,*S*)-sd27e/29g'-xx (QX3118) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7) with 4 M GdnHCl. Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S147. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M HER2 native affibody variant **a** (CD1054) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7). Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_{f} , ΔH_{f} , and $-T\Delta S_{f} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S148. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled affibody variant **a8/42-xx** (QX3102) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7). Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for ΔG_f , ΔH_f , and $-T\Delta S_f \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.



Figure S149. (A) CD spectra and (B–D) variable temperature CD data (triplicate) for 15 μ M stapled affibody variant sa8/42-x4x (QX3106s) in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7). Fit parameters from equations S1–S3 appear in the table, as do calculated values for $\Delta G_{f_5} \Delta H_{f_5}$ and $-T\Delta S_{f_5} \pm$ standard error at the indicated temperature.

6. Proteolysis of coiled-coil variants

15 μ M protein solutions in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) were incubated at ambient temperature with 17 μ g/mL proteinase K respectively for up to 5 hours. At each of the several time points, the proteolysis reaction was quenched by adding 40 μ L of aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (1% v/v) to 40 uL of the reaction mixture. The quenched mixture was then analyzed in triplicate by reverse phase HPLC analytical column, monitored by a UV-Vis detector at 220 nm. The degradation of the proteins was assessed using the integrated HPLC peak area to account for how much of the full-length protein remained at each time point. The protein half-lives were calculated by fitting the integrated peak areas as a function of time to a mono exponential decay equation:

Area(t) =
$$A \cdot \exp[-kt]$$
,

where t is time in minutes, A is a constant corresponding to relative integrated peak area at t = 0, and τ is the decay time, which is related to the protein half-life t1/2 (t1/2 = τ ln 2). Decay traces for proteins coiled-coil variants, d27e/29g'-xx (QX2271); sd27e/29g'-x4x (QX2283); d27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289); sd27e/29g'-z2x (QX2289s); d24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291); sd24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291s); d6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292); sd6e/8g'-z4x (QX2292s); d27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293); sd27e/22g'-z2x (QX2293); d27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294); sd27e/22g'-z4x (QX2294); sd27e/22g



Figure S150. Proteolysis of **d27***e*/**29***g***'-xx** (QX2271) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S151. Proteolysis of **sd27***e*/**29***g***'-x4x** (QX2283) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points for are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S152. Proteolysis of **d27***e*/**29***g***'-z2x** (QX2289) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S153. Proteolysis of sd27*e*/29*g*'-z2x (QX2289s) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S154. Proteolysis of d24b/25c'-z4x (QX2291) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points for are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S155. Proteolysis of **sd24***b***/25***c***'-z4x** (QX2291s) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S156. Proteolysis of **d6***e*/**8***g*'-**z4x** (QX2292) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S157. Proteolysis of **sd6***e*/**8***g*'-**z4***x* (QX2292s) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.


Figure S158. Proteolysis of **d27***e*/**22***g***'-z2x** (QX2293) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S159. Proteolysis of sd27*e*/22*g*'-*z*2*x* (QX2293s) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S160. Proteolysis of **d27***e*/**22***g***'-z4x** (QX2294) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S161. Proteolysis of **sd27***e*/**22***g***'**-*z***4***x* (QX2294s) at 50 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S162. Proteolysis of native HER2 affibody a (CD1054) at 15 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as green circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S163. Proteolysis of **a8/42-xx** (QX3102) at 15 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as blue circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.



Figure S164. Proteolysis of **sa8/42-x4x** (QX3106) at 15 μ M protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 by proteinase K (17 μ g/mL) as monitored by HPLC. Data points are shown as dark magenta circles; each represents the average of three replicate experiments, with error bars representing standard error. The dashed line represents fit of the data to a mono exponential decay function, which was used to calculate the indicated apparent proteolysis rate constant.

7. Fluorescence polarization binding assay

Fluorescent affibody solutions of peptides fa (ZJ10611), fa8/42-xx (ZJ10612), and fsa8/42-x4x (ZJ1062), with concentrations of 247 μ M, 263 μ M, and 57 μ M, respectively, were diluted with phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to 100 nM. The His-tagged recombinant HER2/ERBB2 extracellular domain (hereafter called the HER2 protein) was obtained from SinoBiological and prepared at a concentration of 2.7 µM. We performed direct binding fluorescence polarization assays using a constant concentration of fluorescent affibody against varying concentrations of the recombinant HER2 extra-cellular domain. We prepared the fluorescent affibody solution in a glass tube with 4970 μL of PBS solution, 25 μL β-casein blocking agent (final concentration 0.1 mg/mL) and 5 µL of fluorescent affibody stock solution (100 nM), yielding a final affibody concentration of 100 pM. The glass tube containing the fluorescent stock solution was inverted several times gently to mix. We aliquoted 200 µL of fluorescent affibody solution into each well of a 96-well plate and then added varying concentrations of the HER2 protein via serial dilution as follows: To the first well of the dilution series, we added an additional 190 µL of fluorescent affibody solution along with 10 µL of a 2.7 µM solution of the HER2 protein, bringing the total volume in the first well to 400 μ L, such that the concentration of the HER2 protein in the first well was 65 nM. We then transferred 200 µL of the solution from the first well into the second well, leading to a two-fold dilution of the HER2 protein. We continued this dilution series by transferring 200 µL from well to well to generate a total of 19 different concentrations of HER2 protein, with the lowest concentration at 0.124 pM. Three 60 µL aliquots from each well were then transferred to a 384-well plate. We allowed 30 minutes for equilibration and measured the fluorescence polarization in each well using a Biotek Synergy Neo microplate reader with fluorescent polarization filters #4 (Dual FP) and #65 (FP 485/530, FP 530/590). We averaged the fluorescence polarization for these three replicate wells at each concentration of HER2 protein. We repeated this experiment for each affibody variant a total of three times on separate occasions. Data for fa (ZJ10611), fa8/42-xx (ZJ10612), and fsa8/42-x4x (ZJ1062) are shown in Figures S165-167. The data for the native variant fa were too noisy to justify further analysis. However, we fit the data for variant fa8/42-xx and its stapled counterpart fsa8/42-x4x to the following equation:

$$P = \frac{B_{n} \cdot [affibody]}{K_{d} + [affibody]},$$
(S4)

where P is fluorescence polarization, [affibody] is the concentration of fluorescent affibody in M; B_n is the maximum fluorescence polarization (B_1 for replicate 1; B_2 for replicate 2; B_3 for replicate 3); K_d is the dissociation constant in M for binding of the fluorescent affibody to the HER2 protein. K_d values, fit parameters, and statistics appear in Figure S166–S167.



Figure S165. Fluorescence polarization data of native fluorescent affibody **fa** (ZJ10611) at 100 pM in PBS with varying concentrations of the HER2 protein. Data points are shown as grey circles; each represents the average of three wells; the three panels represent replicate data collected on three separate occasions.



Figure S166. Fluorescence polarization data for unstapled affibody fa8/42-xx (ZJ10612) at 100 pM in PBS with varying concentrations of the HER2 protein. Data points are shown as grey circles; each represents the average of three wells; the three panels represent replicate data collected on three separate occasions. The red line represents fit of the data to a binding curve given by equation S4.



Figure S167. Fluorescence polarization data of stapled affibody fa8/42-x4x (ZJ1062) at 100 pM protein concentration in PBS. Data points are shown as grey circles; each represents the average of three wells; the three panels represent replicate data collected on three separate occasions. The red line represents fit of the data to a binding curve given by equation S4.

8. Stapling between heterochiral residues

For monomeric helical peptide stapling crossing one helical turn or two, application of heterochiral residues can further enhance the stabilizing effect from stapling on α -helices, since the heterochiral residues are oriented toward to each other, adopting a more favorable geometry for crosslinking. The favorable geometry derived from the heterochiral residues has been applied to disulfide-bond-based stapling⁴. ⁵ and metathesis-based stapling⁶. ⁷ on monomeric helical peptides. We found that both the residue 27*e* and 29*g*' are oriented toward the same N-terminus direction of the coiled-coil heterodimer from the crystal structure. We wondered whether heterochiral residues at position 27*e* and 29*g*' will be more favorable for interhelical PEG stapling. Accordingly, we incorporated D-propargylglycine at *e*-position 27 on the acidic monomer, and L-propargylglycine at *g*-position 29' on the basic monomer to get heterodimer (*R*,*S*)-d27*e*/29*g*'-xx and its stapled counterpart (*R*,*S*)-sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4x. The stapled variant (*R*,*S*)-d27*e*/29*g*'-xx is -0.73 ± 0.04 kcal/mol more stable than its non-stapled counterpart (*R*,*S*)-d27*e*/29*g*'-xx, which is similar to that of the difference between d27*e*/29*g*'-xx vs. sd27*e*/29*g*'-x4x, in which L-propargylglycine was incorporated at both position 27*e* and 29*g*', indicating that stapling between residues of opposite chirality residues dose not contribute much stabilization to the impact of interhelical PEG stapling.

9. Synthesis and Characterization of PEGylated Asparagine Derivatives, bis-azido PEG, branched Y-shaped bis-azido PEG

Oxybis(ethane-2,1-diyl) dimethanesulfonate (QX2227)



Methanesulfonyl chloride (14.3 g, 125 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2,2'-oxybis(ethan-1-ol) (5.3 g, 50 mmol) and triethyl amine (15.2 g, 150 mmol) in dichloromethane (200 mL) at 0 °C. After the

addition was complete, the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 hrs. Water was added to quench the reaction. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (3 × 100 mL), dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford 13.1 g of colorless oil, which was used in the next step without purification. Yield quantitative. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for $C_6H_{15}O_7S_2^+$ 263.03, found 263.02 [M+H⁺]; calc. for $C_6H_{18}NO_7S_2^+$ 280.05, found 280.05 [M+NH₄⁺].



Counts vs. Mass-to-Charge (m/z)

Figure S168. ESI-TOF MS data for QX2227.

1-Azido-2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethane (QX2228)



To a solution of NaN₃ (9.7 g, 150 mmol) in DMF (200 mL) was added QX2227 (13.1 g, 50 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 70 °C and stirred for 12 hours. The crude mixture was diluted with 400 mL of water and extracted with DCM (200 mL) for 3 times. The combined organic phases were washed with saturated brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford 8.32 g of yellow oil. Yield quantitative. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. The product was confirmed by Crude NMR: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 3.71 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 3.44 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 4H). ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 70.10, 50.76.



Figure S171. HSQC data for QX2228.

2-(2-Azidoethoxy)ethan-1-amine (QX2229)



Triphenylphosphine (11 g, 45 mmol, 0.9 eq.) dissolved in ether (80 mL) was added to a solution of QX2228 (8.32 g, 50 mmol) in Ether/THF/ 1M aqueous HCl (50 mL/100 mL/50mL). Addition was performed over a period of 3 hours at room temperature and the reaction was stirred overnight. Phases were separated by a separation funnel and the organic layer was washed with 4M HCl aqueous solution. The combined aqueous layer was adjusted to pH 14 with sodium hydroxide powder. Product was then extracted with DCM (3×80 mL). Combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, a yellow oil was afforded (4.3 g, yield 66%), which was used in the next step without purification. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for C₄H₁₁N₄O⁺ 131.09, found 131.09 [M+H⁺].



Figure S172. ESI-TOF MS data for QX2229.

tert-Butyl N²-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-N⁴-(2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethyl)-L-asparaginate (QX2230)



To N-Fmoc-O-tBu Asp (4.9 g, 12 mmol) dissolved in dry DMF (60 ml) was added HATU (7.4 g, 18 mmol), HOBT (2.4 g, 18 mmol), DIPEA (6.3 ml, 36 mmol). Then the mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at room temperature. Then compound QX2229 (1.9 g, 15 mmol, dissolved in 10 ml DMF) was added to the mixture and the mixture was stirred for another 2 hours at room temperature. Upon completion of the reaction monitored by TLC, 120 ml water was added to the flask and extracted 3 times with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness. The crude was purified by chromatography (EA/Hexane 1:1 to 1:0); MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for $C_{27}H_{34}N_5O_6^+$ 524.25, found 524.25 [M+H⁺]; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (dd, *J* = 7, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.25 (br.s., 1H), 6.15 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.52-4.48 (m., 1H), 4.39 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (t, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H), 3.44 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (dd, *J* = 15.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) 170.15, 170.01, 156.24, 143.93, 143.81, 141.25, 127.71, 127.10, 127.08, 125.25, 125.20, 119.96, 82.29, 70.05, 69.59, 67.14, 51.42, 50.49, 47.10, 39.19, 37.91, 27.91.





Figure S174. ¹H NMR data for QX2230.



Figure S175. ¹³C NMR data for QX2230.



Figure S176. HSQC data for QX2230.

N²-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-N⁴-(2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethyl)-L-asparagine (QX2232)



Compound QX2230 (1.0 g, 1.9 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of TFA (3 ml) and water (150 uL). Then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. After completion of the reaction, TFA and water were removed by rotary evaporation. Yield quantitative. The crude was used directly for peptide synthesis without purification. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for $C_{23}H_{27}N_5O_6^+$ 469.20, found 469.19 [M+H⁺].



Figure S177. ESI-TOF MS data for QX2232.



To a solution of 2,5,8,11-tetraoxatridecan-13-ol (2.08 g, 10 mmol), and bis(2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl) carbonate (3.84 g, 15 mmol), was added 4.16 mL (30 mmol) TEA. The resulting solution was stirred at r.t. for 3 hours. After the reaction was completed, monitored by TLC, the ACN solvent was removed and 100 mL DCM was added. Then the solution was washed with 5% sodium bicarbonate (50 mL× 3) then with water (50 mL × 2). The organic layer was then dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated with reduced pressure to give us the crude product as colorless oil. Yield quantative. The crude was used in the next step without purification. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for $C_{14}H_{24}NO_9^+$ 350.14, found 350.15 [M+H⁺]; calc. for $C_{14}H_{27}N_2O_9^+$ 367.17, found 367.17 [M+NH4⁺].



Figure S178. ESI-TOF MS data for QX3065.

2,2'-((Azanediylbis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis(oxy))bis(ethan-1-ol) (QX3061)



Add a solution of 2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethanol (6.4 mL, 60 mmol) in toluene(15 mL) dropwise to a stirred refluxing mixture of 2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethanol (24 mL, 240 mmol) and Na₂CO₃ (7 g, 66 mmol) in toluene (150 mL) in a flask fitted with Dean-Stark apparatus. Then the mixture was heated to 120 Celsius degree for 4 days. The solids were removed by filtration after the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The residue was washed by ether and the combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by distillation. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for C₈H₂₀NO₄⁺ 194.14, found 194.14 [M+H⁺]. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 3.72 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.66-3.60 (m, 8H), 2.86 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) 72.71, 69.79, 61.63, 48.94.



Figure S179. ESI-TOF MS data for QX3061.



Figure S180. ¹H NMR data for QX3061.



Figure S181. ¹³C NMR for QX3061.



Figure S182. HSQC for QX3061.

15-Oxo-16-(2-(2-(tosyloxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-2,5,8,11,14,19-hexaoxa-16-azahenicosan-21-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (QX3072)



QX3065 (525 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added to a mixture of QX3061 (193 mg, 1.0 mmol) and TEA (415 uL, 3.0 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at room temperature. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. Then additional TEA (276 uL, 2.0 mmol) was added to the mixture followed by 762 mg of TsCl (4.0 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for another 18 hours. Then the reaction was quenched with water, and the organic layer was further washed with sodium bicarbonate (5%) and brine. The crude was purified by chromatography (pure EA as mobile phase). MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for $C_{32}H_{52}N_2O_{14}S_2^+$ 753.29, found 753.29 [M+NH4⁺]. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.82 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 4.23 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 4H), 3.71-3.65 (m, 16H), 3.58-3.52 (m, 6H), 3.42 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 4H), 3.40 (s, 3H) 2.47 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) 156.05, 144.90, 132.93, 129.91, 127.97, 71.94, 70.61, 70.59, 70.54, 70.44, 70.01, 69.72, 69.51, 69.24, 68.44, 68.34, 67.45, 59.07, 48.20, 47.75, 21.69.



Figure S185. ¹³C NMR data for QX3072



Figure S186. HSQC data for QX3072.

2,5,8,11-Tetraoxatridecan-13-yl bis(2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethyl)carbamate (QX3075)



To a solution of NaN₃ (77 mg, 1.2 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added QX3072 (219 mg, 0.29 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to 70 Celsius degree and stirred for 12 hours. The crude mixture was diluted with 4 mL of water and extracted with DCM (2 mL) for 3 times. The combined organic phases were washed with saturated brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford 138 mg of product as colorless oil. Yield quantitative. MS(ESI-TOF) m/z calc. for C₁₈H₃₉N₈O₈⁺ 495.29, found 495.29 [M+NH₄⁺]. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 4.23 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 3.66-3.61 (m, 18H), 3.55-3.54 (m, 6H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 3.37-3.35 (m, 4H),. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) 156.05, 71.93, 70.61, 70.53, 70.44, 69.92, 69.86, 69.78, 69.66, 69.53, 64.41, 59.03, 50.77, 48.37, 47.94.



Figure S189. ¹³C NMR data for QX3075.



Figure S190. HSQC data for QX3075.

ESI References

- 1. Q. Xiao, D. S. Ashton, Z. B. Jones, K. P. Thompson and J. L. Price, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **1**, 273–280.
- P. B. Lawrence, Y. Gavrilov, S. S. Matthews, M. I. Langlois, D. Shental-Bechor, H. M. Greenblatt, B. K. Pandey, M. S. Smith, R. Paxman, C. D. Torgerson, J. P. Merrell, C. C. Ritz, M. B. Prigozhin, Y. Levy and J. L. Price, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2014, **136**, 17547-17560.
- 3. M. S. Smith, W. M. Billings, F. G. Whitby, M. B. Miller and J. L. Price, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 5882–5886.
- 4. D. Y. Jackson, D. S. King, J. Chmielewski, S. Singh and P. G. Schultz, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1991, **113**, 9391-9392.
- 5. A. M. Leduc, J. O. Trent, J. L. Wittliff, K. S. Bramlett, S. L. Briggs, N. Y. Chirgadze, Y. Wang, T. P. Burris and A. F. Spatola, *P Natl Acad Sci USA*, 2003, **100**, 11273-11278.
- 6. C. E. Schafmeister, J. Po and G. L. Verdine, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2000, **122**, 5891-5892.
- 7. L. D. Walensky, A. L. Kung, I. Escher, T. J. Malia, S. Barbuto, R. D. Wright, G. Wagner, G. L. Verdine and S. J. Korsmeyer, *Science*, 2004, **305**, 1466-1470.