Supporting Information

Luminescence switch based on the acid/base induced reversibility of covalent bonds in lanthanide(III) complexes

Chihiro Kachi-Terajima,*a,b Miku Okubo,a Mari Ikedac and Yoichi Habataa,b

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Toho University, 2-2-1 Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510, Japan.

^b Research Center for Materials with Integrated Properties, Toho University, 2-2-1 Miyama, Funabashi,

Chiba 274-8510, Japan.

^c Education Centre, Faculty of Engineering, Chiba Institute of Technology, 2-1-1 Shibazono, Narashino, Chiba 275-0023, Japan.

*Prof. Dr. C. Kachi-Terajima E-mail: <u>chihiro.kachi@chem.sci.toho-u.ac.jp</u>

Experimental Section

Infrared spectra were obtained using a JASCO FT/IR–4100 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were conducted using a J-Science LAB JM-10 Micro Corder. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-630 spectrometer. Luminescence spectra were measured on a HORIBA SPEX Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorometer. Cold electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (CSI-MS) measurements were conducted using a JMS-T100CS system (JEOL).

General Procedures and Materials.

All chemicals and solvents used in syntheses were of commercial grade and used without purification. The solvents for CSI-MS and photophysical measurements were dried over appropriate drying agents. Bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)amine (HL) was synthesized according to literature procedures.¹

TbLPhen. A degassed methanol solution (2.0 mL) of HL (0.0444 g, 0.16 mmol) and 1,10phenanthroline-2-carbaldehyde (**Phen**; 0.0333 g, 0.16 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. To this solution was added terbium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (0.0725 g, 0.16 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 3 h. The resulting pale-brown precipitate was collected by suction filtration and recrystallized by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into methanol solution. Yield: 0.035 g (24%). Anal. calc. for $C_{30}H_{25}N_{10}O_{10}Tb\cdot3H_2O$: C, 40.10; H, 3.48; N, 15.59. Found: C, 40.39; H, 3.28; N, 15.59. IR: v_{max} (KBr): 3404, 1625, 1508, 1454, 1384, 1292, 1115, 1087, 1038, 747 cm⁻¹.

GdLPhen. The synthetic procedure for **GdLPhen** is similar to that of **TbLPhen**, using gadolinium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (0.0720 g, 0.16 mmol) instead of terbium(III) nitrate hexahydrate. Yield: 0.062 g (43%). Anal. calc. for $C_{30}H_{25}GdN_{10}O_{10}\cdot 3H_2O$: C, 40.18; H, 3.48; N, 15.62. Found: C, 40.33; H, 3.33; N, 15.43. IR: v_{max} (KBr) / cm⁻¹: 3358, 1625, 1517, 1452, 1384, 1291, 1114, 1087, 1029, 746.

TbLQ. A degassed methanol solution (1.0 mL) of HL (0.0113 g, 0.041 mmol) and 8-hydroxyquinoline-2-carbaldehyde (**Q**; 0.0072 g, 0.042 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. To this solution was added a methanol solution (0.5 mL) of terbium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (0.0182 g, 0.040 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The resulting pale-yellow precipitate was collected by suction filtration and recrystallized by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into methanol solution. Yield: 0.010 g (33 %). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₃N₈O₈Tb·2.5MeOH: C, 42.87; H, 4.02; N, 13.56. Found: C, 42.44; H, 3.78; N, 13.70. IR: ν_{max} (KBr): 3409, 1624, 1503, 1455, 1384, 1332, 1273, 1102, 745 cm⁻¹.

GdLQ. The synthetic procedure for GdLQ is similar to that of TbLQ, using gadolinium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (0.0183 g, 0.041 mmol) instead of terbium(III) nitrate hexahydrate. Yield: 0.007 g (23 %). Anal. calc. for $C_{27}H_{23}GdN_8O_8\cdot 2H_2O$: C, 43.06; H, 3.86; N, 13.85. Found: C, 42.83; H, 3.87; N, 14.04. IR: ν_{max} (KBr): 3196, 1624, 1596, 1566, 1503, 1455, 1383, 1332, 1282, 1103, 743 cm⁻¹.

General procedure for the reversible exchange reaction of aldehydes

The solvents for the reversible exchange reactions—methanol and acetonitrile—were dried using activated 3Å molecular sieves and degassed by N_2 bubbling. For the exchange reactions without acid/base regulation, two solutions (0.1 mM, 10 mL), each containing one of the above Tb(III) complexes with 1 equiv. of aldehyde, were stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting solutions were used directly for CSI-MS measurements and diluted to 0.01 mM for photophysical measurements. For the exchange reactions using acid/base regulation, two solutions (0.01 mM, 3 mL), each containing one of the above Tb(III) complexes with 1 equiv. of aldehydes were shaken for 1 min. at room temperature after every addition of acid or base (0.15 M, 0.4 μ L each), then the emission spectra were recorded.

Crystallography

Suitable single crystals for X-ray crystallography were obtained by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a CH₃OH solution of **TbLPhen** and **LnLQ** to yield $[Tb(LPhen)(NO_3)_2](NO_3)\cdot 3MeOH$ (**TbLPhen**·3MeOH) and $[Ln(LQ)(NO_3)_2]\cdot MeOH \cdot Et_2O$ (LnLQ·MeOH·Et₂O, Ln = Tb³⁺, Gd³⁺), respectively, and by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a CH₃CN–CH₃OH solution of **GdLQ** to yield $[Gd(LPhen)(NO_3)_2](NO_3)\cdot 2CH_3CN$ (**GdLPhen**·2CH₃CN). Data collection was performed on the Bruker SMART APEX II CCD area detector diffractometer with a graphite monochromator and MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data correction was carried out at 100 K. An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS-2016/2 program.² The structures were solved using intrinsic phasing (SHELXTL XT-2014/5) and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations on F^2 using SHELXTL XLMP-2017/1.³ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms in the core

structure were fixed in calculated positions and refined with a riding model. The crystallographic data are listed in Table S1 and the selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Table S2. CCDC 2101776, 2101777, 2101778, and 2101779, for **TbLPhen**, **GdLPhen**, **TbLQ**, and **GdLQ**, respectively, contain supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.



Fig. S1 Crystal structures of (a) TbLQ including two crystallographically independent molecules, (b) GdLPhen, and (c) GdLQ. The counter anions, solvent molecules, and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.



Fig. S2 CSI-MS of (a) an acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol of **TbLPhen** in the positive ion mode, and (b) an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution of **TbLQ** in the negative ion mode with sample concentrations of 0.1 mM. The experimental data and theoretical distribution patterns are shown in the inset.



Fig. S3 Phosphorescence spectra of GdLPhen and GdLQ in the solid state at 77 K excited at 300 nm.



Fig. S4 UV-Vis absorption spectra of **TbLPhen** (an acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol, 1.0×10^{-5} M) and **TbLQ** (an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution, 1.0×10^{-5} M).



Fig. S5 Emission spectra (solid lines) of **TbLPhen** (an acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol, 1.0×10^{-5} M) and **TbLQ** (an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution, 1.0×10^{-5} M) at 298 K excited at 275 nm. Excitation spectrum for **TbLPhen** (200–400 nm, dotted line) obtained by monitoring the emission wavelength at 542 nm (${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$).



Fig. S6 CSI-MS data of **TbLPhen** : $\mathbf{Q} = 1 : 1$ in an acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol (a) in the positive ion mode and (b) in the negative ion mode with sample concentrations of 0.1 mM.



Fig. S7 CSI-MS data of **TbLQ** : **Phen** = 1 : 1 in an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution (a) in the positive ion mode and (b) in the negative ion mode with sample concentrations of 0.1 mM.



Fig. S8 CSI-MS data of a **TbLPhen** : \mathbf{Q} : NaOMe = 1 : 1 : 1 acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol (a) in the positive ion mode and (b) in the negative ion mode with sample concentrations of 0.1 mM.



Fig. S9 CSI-MS data of a TbLPhen : \mathbf{Q} : NaOMe : HNO₃ = 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 acetonitrile solution including 500 equiv. methanol (a) in the positive ion mode and (b) in the negative ion mode with sample concentrations of 0.1 mM.



Fig. S10 Emission changes of **TbLQ** in an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution upon addition of **Phen** (0.2 equiv each addition) without the addition of acid or base.



Fig. S11 Emission changes of **TbLQ** : **Phen** = 1 : 1 in an acetonitrile-methanol (99:1) solution (a) with the addition of HNO₃ and (b) with the addition of NaOMe.

	TbLPhen	GdLPhen	TbLQ	GdLQ
Empirical formula	$C_{33}H_{37}N_{10}O_{13}Tb$	C ₃₄ H ₃₁ GdN ₁₂ O ₁₀	$C_{32}H_{37}N_8O_{10}Tb$	C ₃₂ H ₃₇ GdN ₈ O ₁₀
Fw / g·mol ⁻¹	940.64	924.96	852.61	850.94
Temperature / K	100	100	100	100
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_{1}/n$
<i>a</i> / Å	10.264(7)	10.4520(12)	16.1224(11)	16.1612(12)
<i>b</i> / Å	12.525(8)	27.477(3)	25.0927(18)	25.1081(19)
c / Å	15.232(10)	12.9143(14)	18.9394(13)	18.9535(14)
α/°	82.512(10)	90	90	90
β/°	85.717(11)	106.006(2)	113.3250(10)	113.3990(10)
γ/°	87.038(10)	90	90	90
$V/ Å^3$	1934.(2)	3565.1(7)	7035.8(8)	7058.4(9)
Ζ	2	4	8	8
$D_{\text{calc}} / \text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$	1.615	1.723	1.610	1.602
Reflections collected	14934	23668	54575	54658
Independent reflections (R_{int})	11081 (0.0436)	8455 (0.0550)	21063 (0.0411)	21394 (0.0368)
GOF	1.006	1.025	1.005	1.019
R_1 (<i>I</i> >2 σ (all data))	0.0422 (0.0477)	0.0400 (0.0623)	0.0380 (0.0567)	0.0350 (0.0528)
w R_2 ($I > 2\sigma$ (all data))	0.1062 (0.1108)	0.0824 (0.0905)	0.0834 (0.0903)	0.0803 (0.0879)
Weighting scheme	$w = 1 / [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0523P)^{2} + 0.6989P], \text{ where } P$ $= (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1 / [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0358P)^{2} + 1.3749P], \text{ where } P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1 / [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0325P)^{2} + 11.8140P], \text{ where}$ $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1 / [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0380P)^{2} + 4.6219P], \text{ where } P$ $= (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
CCDC No.	2101776	2101777	2101778	2101779

Table S1 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for LnLPhen and LnLQ (Ln = Tb and Gd)

	TbLPhen	GdLPhen		TbLQ	GdLQ
Ln1-N1	2.634(3)	2.664(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-N1	2.699(2)	2.709(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-N2	2.510(3)	2.505(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-N2	2.557(3)	2.571(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-N4	2.419(3)	2.408(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-N4	2.413(3)	2.433(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-N6	2.512(3)	2.521(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-N6	2.474(3)	2.491(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-N7	2.549(3)	2.584(4)	Ln1-O2	2.297(2)	2.316(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-O2	2.464(3)	2.432(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-O3	2.466(2)	2.473(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-O3	2.481(3)	2.530(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-O4	2.469(2)	2.484(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-O5	2.477(3)	2.466(3)	Ln1-O6	2.537(2)	2.546(2)
<i>Ln</i> 1-O6	2.406(3)	2.438(3)	<i>Ln</i> 1-O7	2.445(2)	2.464(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-N9	2.720(3)	2.727(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-N10	2.537(3)	2.553(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-N12	2.438(3)	2.460(3)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-N14	2.477(3)	2.495(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-O10	2.293(2)	2.309(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-O11	2.479(2)	2.483(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-O12	2.439(2)	2.454(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-O14	2.518(3)	2.524(2)
			<i>Ln</i> 2-O15	2.423(3)	2.441(3)
	TbLPhen	GdLPhen		TbLQ	GdLQ
N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N2	66.56(9)	66.07(10)	N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N2	65.36(8)	65.19(7)
N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N4	69.80(10)	68.77(11)	N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N4	68.41(8)	68.09(7)
N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N6	63.45(9)	62.75(10)	N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N6	63.03(8)	62.91(7)
N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-N7	123.57(9)	121.62(10)	N1- <i>Ln</i> 1-O2	127.98(8)	127.47(7)
N2- <i>Ln</i> 1-N4	75.36(10)	76.40(11)	N2- <i>Ln</i> 1-N4	80.77(8)	80.63(7)
			N9- <i>Ln</i> 2-N10	66.42(8)	66.14(7)
			N9- <i>Ln</i> 2-N12	68.02(9)	67.74(8)
			N9- <i>Ln</i> 2-N14	62.15(8)	62.03(7)
			N9-Ln2-O10	127.06(8)	126.62(7)
			N10- <i>Ln</i> 2-N12	84.31(9)	83.91(8)

Table S2 Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) of *Ln*LPhen and *Ln*LQ (Ln = Tb and Gd) with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

References

- R. Cariou, J. J. Chirinos, V. C. Gibson, G. Jacobsen, A. K. Tomov, G. J. P. Britovsek, and A. J.
 P. White, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 9039–9045.
- 2. G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Empirical Absorpt. Correct. program, Univ. Göttingen, 1997.
- 3. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3–8.