Three-fold Interpenetrated Metal–Organic Framework as a Multifunctional

Fluorescent Probe for Detecting 2,4,6-Trinitrophenol, Levofloxacin and L-

Cystine

Han-Fu Liu,^a Ye-Tao,^a Xiao-Huan Qin,^a Chao-Chen,^a Fu-Ping Huang,^{*a} Xiu-Qing Zhang,^{*c} and He-Dong Bian^{*ab}

Author information

Corresponding Author

Fu-Ping Huang* Email: huangfp2010@163.com Xiu-Qing Zhang* Email: glutchem@163.com He-Dong Bian* Email: gxunchem@163.com

Orcid ID

Han-Fu Liu: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5418-5560 Ye-Tao: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5981-3976 Xiao-Huan Qin: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0492-3895 Chao-Chen: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9378-7778 Xiu-Qing Zhang: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2863-8140 Fu-Ping Huang: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4227-9815 He-Dong Bian: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4654-9085

a. State Key Laboratory for Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources, School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, P. R. China.

b. School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Key Laboratory of Chemistry and Engineering of Forest Products, Nanning, 530008, P. R. China.

c. College of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Electrochemical and Magnetochemical Functional Materials, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, P.R. China.

[†] E-mail: huangfp2010@163.com (*F.-P. Huang*), *telephone and fax numbers:* +86-0773-2535678; *gxunchem@163.com* (*H.-D. Bian*); *glutchem@163.com* (*X.-Q. Zhang*)

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CCDC 2107479.

SQUEEZE results for 1 are as f	ollows:	
{[Zn ₃ (TLA) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂ (4-abpt) ₂]·5	CH_3OH_n (2)	1)
loop_		
platon_squeeze_void_nr		
_platon_squeeze_void_avera	ge_x	
_platon_squeeze_void_avera	ge_y	
_platon_squeeze_void_avera	ge_z	
_platon_squeeze_void_volum	ne	
_platon_squeeze_void_count	electrons	
_platon_squeeze_void_conte	nt	
1-0.009 0.107 0.750	336	90 ' '
2-0.044 0.393 0.250	336	90 ' '
3 0.000 0.458 0.750	8	0''
4 0.000 0.542 0.250	8	0''
5-0.045 0.607 0.750	336	90 ' '
6-0.010 0.893 0.250	336	90 ' '
7 0.500 0.042 0.250	8	0''
8 0.500 0.958 0.750	8	0''

That is, SQUEEZE gives 360 electrons/unit cell for the voids. If these electrons are all from CH₃OH (18 e⁻), each unit cell has $360/18 = 20 \text{ CH}_3\text{OH}$ molecules, and each formula unit has $5 \text{ CH}_3\text{OH}$ molecules (since Z = 4). So the suitable formula for this compound should be {[Zn₃(TLA)₂(H₂O)₂(4-abpt)₂]·5CH₃OH}_n.



Figure S1. IR spectra of complex 1.

Identification code	1
Empirical formula	$C_{42}H_{30}N_{12}O_{14}Zn_3$
Formula weight	1122.89
Temperature/K	293(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	C2/c
a/Å	10.086(2)
b/Å	30.644(6)
c/Å	17.347(4)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	90
β/°	90.27(3)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å ³	5361.5(19)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.391
μ/mm^{-1}	1.400
F(000)	2272.0
Reflections collected	15080
Independent reflections	4700 [$R_{int} = 0.0692, R_{sigma} = 0.0687$]
Data/restraints/parameters	4700/18/334
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.031
Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0550, wR_2 = 0.1207$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0740, wR_2 = 0.1279$

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 1 (squeeze)

Bond Lengths (Å)						
Zn1—O2A	2.170 (3)	Zn1—N6	2.128 (4)			
Zn1—O3B	2.176 (3)	Zn2—O1A	2.008 (3)			
Zn1—O4B	2.197 (3)	Zn2—O1	2.008 (3)			
Zn1—O6	1.996 (3)	Zn2—N1C	2.066 (4)			
Zn1—O7	2.163 (3)	Zn2—N1D	2.066 (4)			
	Bond Angles (°)					
O2A—Zn1—O3B	93.70 (12)	O1A—Zn2—O1	144.21 (17)			
O2A—Zn1—O4B	93.00 (11)	O1—Zn2—N1D	97.88 (14)			
O3B—Zn1—O4B	60.66 (11)	O1A—Zn2—N1C	97.88 (14)			
O6—Zn1—O2A	86.96 (11)	O1A—Zn2—N1D	104.07 (14)			
O6—Zn1—O3B	105.53 (12)	O1—Zn2—N1C	104.07 (14)			
O6—Zn1—O4B	166.17 (12)	N1C—Zn2—N1D	103.5 (2)			
O6—Zn1—O7	92.32 (12)	N6—Zn1—O2A	87.32 (13)			
O6—Zn1—N6	97.98 (13)	N6—Zn1—O3B	156.49 (12)			
O7—Zn1—O2A	178.67 (12)	N6—Zn1—O4B	95.83 (13)			
O7—Zn1—O3B	85.40 (12)	N6—Zn1—O7	93.89 (14)			
O7—Zn1—O4B	87.43 (12)					

Table S2 Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 1

Symmetry codes: (A) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (B) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (C) *x*-1, -*y*+1, *z*+1/2; (D) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (E) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2.

	ZnO ₂ N ₂	ZnO ₅ N	
Coordination modes			
label	SS-4	OC-6	
symmetry	C_{2v}	Oh	
shape	SeeSaw	Octahedron	
Coloulation results	Distortion(τ_{min})		
Calculation results	Zn1 (2.609)	Zn2 (2.285)	

 Table S3. SHAPE analysis of Zn(II) ions in 1.



Figure S2. (a) TGA curve of **1**. (b) PXRD patterns of **1** at different temperatures and the simulated one calculated from the single crystal structure analysis.



Figure S3. The PXRD patterns of 1 treated in different solvents.



Figure S4. (a) PXRD of compound **1** in DMF for 10 days (b) Fluorescence measurements of **1** immersed into the DMF solvent as the suspensions for 0 min and after 60 min



Figure S5. PXRD patterns of 1 in different pH values in the range of 1-13.



Figure S6. (a) (b)The solid luminescent emissions of ligand H₃TLA, 4-bpt and 1.

Ksv and LOD calculation methods

The quantitative fluorescent quenching efficiency of 1 (for analyte) using the Stern-Völmer (S-V) equation.¹

$$(I_0/I) = 1 + K_{SV}C$$
 ----- (1) ,

Where I is the fluorescence intensity at TNP concentration of C, and I₀ signifies the initial fluorescence intensity of the MOF.

The quenching constant is indicated by K_{SV} (M⁻¹). A linear curve is obtained at relatively low concentrations of analyte. The equation

$$LOD = 3\sigma/Ksv \dots (2)$$

(where σ signifies the standard deviation of the initial fluorescence intensity of MOF) was used to calculate the detection limit of analyte.

MOF-based fluorescent materials	Analyte	Quenching	Detection	Recycle	Ref
		constant	limits	ability	
		(M ⁻¹)			
[Zn ₃ (TLA) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂ (4-abpt) ₂] _n ·5CH ₃ OH	TNP	4.23×10 ⁵	5.24 μM	Yes	This
					work
[Cd ₃ (NTB) ₂ (DPP)(DMA) ₂]·4DMA	TNP	4.1×10 ⁴	9.5 μM		2
[Cd ₃ (NTB) ₂ (DPP) ₂]·3DMA·H ₂ O	TNP	4.89×10 ⁴	8.0 µM		2
${[Zn_4(\mu_3-OH)_2(BTC)_2(BBI4PY)_2] \cdot 10H_2O}_n$	TNP	2.94×10 ⁴	7.86 μM		3
${[Cd_4(HDDCP)_2(4,4'-bibp)_2(H_2O)_2] \cdot 2.5(DOA) \cdot 1.5(H_2O)}_n$	TNP	7.31×10 ⁵	3.92 µM		4
${[Cd_2(HDDCP)(1,4-bib)(H_2O)] \cdot H_2O}_n$	TNP	3.71×10 ⁵	7.84 μM		4
Zr-NDI MOF	TNP	4.057×10 ⁴	35.36 µM		5
$\{(Me_2NH_2)_4[Eu_4(DDAC)_3(HCO_2)(OH_2)_2]\cdot 8DMF\cdot 9H_2O\}_n$	TNP	8.6×10^{4}	3.5 μM		6
Zn ₅ (µ ₃ -OH) ₂ (TDA) ₄ (4,4'-bpt) ₂	TNP	1.856×10 ⁵	1.59 μM	Yes	7
[Cd(NDC)(H ₂ O)] _n	TNP	2.385×10 ⁴	4 μΜ	Yes	8
[Zn ₄ (DMF)(Ur) ₂ (2,6-NDC) ₄] _n	TNP	10.83×10 ⁴	7.11 μM		9
$\{ [Cd_4(L)_2(L_2)_3(H_2O)_2] \cdot 8DMF \cdot 8H_2O \}_n$	TNP	3.89×10 ⁴	8.64 μM	Yes	10

Table S4. Ksv and LOD of MOF-based luminescent sensors for TNP, LVX and L-Cys



Figure S7. The luminescence intensity of 1- nitroaromatic at 458 nm in 40 µM different nitroaromatics.



Figure S8 The Stern–Volmer plot of I_0/I versus TNP concentration.



Figure S9. The luminescence intensity of 1- TNP under other nitroaromatics.



Figure S10. The quenching and recyclability test of 1, the upper lines represent the initial fluorescence intensity and the lower lines represent the fluorescence intensity upon addition of 40 μ M TNP solution.



Figure S11. The luminescence intensity of 1- antibiotics at 458 nm in 60 μ M different antibiotics.



Figure S12 Stern–Volmer plot of I_0/I versus LVX concentration.



Figure S13. The luminescence intensity of 1- LVX under mixed antibiotics.



Figure S14. The quenching and recyclability of 1, the upper lines represent the initial fluorescence intensity and the lower lines represent the fluorescence intensity upon addition of 60 μ M LVX solution.



Figure S15. The luminescence intensity of 1- amino acids at 458nm.



Figure S16 Stern–Volmer plot of *I*₀/*I* versus L-Cys concentration.



Figure S17. Luminescence intensity of 1 dispersed in a mixture of other amino acids with L-Cys.



Figure S18. The quenching and recyclability of 1, the upper lines represent the initial fluorescence intensity and the lower lines represent the fluorescence intensity upon addition of 40 μ M L-Cys solution.



Figure S19. PXRD patterns of 1 after experiment.



Figure S20. UV–vis spectral profiles of different nitroaromatics recorded in H_2O solution and E_m of 1 in DMF.

Table S5. HOMO and LUMO energy levels of different nitro-analytes

Analytes	3-NT ¹¹	TNP ¹²	NB ¹³	1,3-DNB	2,6-DNT ¹⁴	4-NT ¹³	4-NP ¹³
LOMO	-2.83893	-3.92	-2.42	-2.83	-3.306	-2.79	-2.75
НОМО	-7.55031	-8.27	-7.56	-7.81	-8.391	-7.70	-7.34
Energy Gap (ev)	4.71138	4.35	5.14	4.98	5.085	4.91	4.59



Figure S21. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of various antibiotics (b) and various amino acids, (c) UV-vis absorption spectra of 1 upon addition of different concentrations of LVX and (d) L-Cys.

References

- 1. S. Senthilkumar, R. Goswami, V. J. Smith, H. C. Bajaj and S. Neogi, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 10295-10306.
- 2. K. Wang, X.-L. Hu, X. Li, Z.-M. Su and E.-L. Zhou, J. Solid State Chem., 2021, 298 122128.
- 3. S. Khan, P. Das and S. K. Mandal, Inorg. Chem., 2020, 59, 4588-4600.
- 4. R. Guo, L. Gao, J. Liang, Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Niu and T. Hu, CrystEngComm, 2020, 22, 6927-6934.
- 5. G. Radha, T. Leelasree, D. Muthukumar, R. S. Pillai and H. Aggarwal, New J. Chem., 2021, 45, 12931-12937.
- 6. H. Chen, Z. Zhang, T. Hu and X. Zhang, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2021, 8, 4376-4385.

7. H. F. Liu, Y. Tao, T. X. Wu, H. Y. Li, X. Q. Zhang, F. P. Huang and H. D. Bian, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1002/aoc.6456.

- 8. P. Ghosh, S. K. Saha, A. Roychowdhury and P. Banerjee, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2015, 2015, 2851-2857.
- 9. S. Mukherjee, A. V. Desai, B. Manna, A. I. Inamdar and S. K. Ghosh, Cryst. Growth Des. 2015, 15, 9, 4627–4634.
- 10. T. K. Pal, N. Chatterjee and P. K. Bharadwaj, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 1741-1747.
- 11. X. Zhang, C. Ge, N. Zhang, Y. Duan, Y. Wang, L. Zhao, X. Zhuang, J. Li, J. Wu and Q. Yang, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2019, 496.
- 12. B.-X. Dong, Y.-M. Pan, W.-L. Liu and Y.-L. Teng, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 18, 431-440.
- 13. R. Goswami, S. C. Mandal, B. Pathak and S. Neogi, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, 2019, 11, 9042-9053.
- 14. F.-M. Wang, L. Zhou, W. P. Lustig, Z. Hu, J.-F. Li, B.-X. Hu, L.-Z. Chen and J. Li, Cryst. Growth Des., 2018, 18, 5166-5173.