

1 Supporting Information for “Mechanism Exploration of the Enhancement
2 of Thermal Energy Storage in Molten Salt nanofluid

3 ”

4 Zhao Li¹, Liu Cui^{1*}, Baorang Li¹, Xiaoze Du^{2*}

5 *1 Key Laboratory of Power Station Energy Transfer Conversion and System (North*
6 *China Electric Power University), Ministry of Education, Beijing 102206, China.*

7 *2 School of Energy and Power Engineering, Lanzhou University of Technology,*
8 *Lanzhou 730050, China.*

9 *To whom correspondence should be addressed.

10 E-mail: liucui@ncepu.edu.cn (Liu Cui); duxz@ncepu.edu.cn (Xiaoze Du)

11 The Born-Mayer-Huggins (BMH) potential with Coulomb potential, shown in Eq. (S1),
 12 was used to describe the interaction between two no-bonded atoms of the carbonate
 13 base fluid¹. Meanwhile, the bonded interactions with bond stretching, bond bending
 14 and torsion in CO₃²⁻ were calculated as Eq. (S2)². Besides, the Buckingham potential
 15 with Coulombic interaction, shown in Eq. (S3)³, was used to describe the interaction
 16 between two non-bond atoms in the SiO₂ nanoparticle. The Lennard-Jones (L-J) with
 17 Coulombic interaction, shown in Eq. (S4), was applied as force field for the Al₂O₃
 18 nanoparticle. The bonded interactions with bond stretching, bond bending and torsion
 19 is shown in Eq. (S2)⁴. For both two nanofluid, the interaction between nanoparticle and
 20 base fluid was described by L-J potential energy with Coulombic interaction, shown in
 21 Eq. (S4). Moreover, the Particle-particle-particle-mesh (pppm) with an accuracy of 10⁻⁴
 22 was used for coulombic interactions in the MD simulation of this paper⁵. The
 23 parameters used in the potential mentioned above are all given in the Table S1.

$$24 \quad E_{ij}(r) = \frac{z_i z_j e^2}{r_{ij}} + b \left(1 + \frac{z_i}{n_i} + \frac{z_j}{n_j} \right) \exp[\alpha(\sigma_i + \sigma_j - r_{ij})] \quad (S1)$$

25 where z_i and z_j are the ion valences of ion i and j , e is the electric charge unit, n_i and n_j
 26 are the number of electrons in the outer atomic shell, σ_i and σ_j , can be interpreted as the
 27 ionic radius and r_{ij} is the distance between atoms i and j . Moreover, the constants
 28 $b=4.865$ kcal/mol and $\sigma=3.45$ Å⁻¹ determine the weight and steepness of the BMH
 29 interaction¹, respectively.

$$30 \quad E_{\text{bond}} = K_s (r - r_0)^2 + K_b (\theta - \theta_0) + K_t (1 + d \cdot \cos(n\phi)) \quad (S2)$$

31 where K_s , K_b and K_t are the stretching constant, bending constant and torsional constant,
 32 respectively. Besides, r and θ are the bond length and bond angle, r_0 and θ_0 are the bond
 33 length and angle at a balanced condition.

$$34 \quad E_{ij}(r) = A_{ij} e^{-\frac{r_{ij}}{\rho_{ij}}} - \frac{C_{ij}}{r_{ij}^6} + \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} \quad (S3)$$

35 where E_{ij} is the interaction energy, r_{ij} is the distance between site i and j . q_i and q_j are
 36 the charges of the atom at site i and j , respectively.

$$37 \quad E_{ij}(r) = \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} + 4\xi \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right] \quad (S4)$$

38 where r_{ij} is the distance between atom i and j , and ξ_{ij} is the potential well depth while
 39 σ_{ij} is the distance between atom i and j where the inter-particle potential is zero.

40 Table S1 (a). Potential parameters for the carbonate base fluid in this study^{2, 6}

Parameters		Carbonate salt base fluid				
BMH potential	Interaction		C-C	O-O	K-K	Li-Li
	q (e)		1.54	-1.18	1.00	1.00
	n		2.46	7.18	8.00	2.00
	σ (Å)		1.10	1.33	1.39	0.77
Bonded interactions	Stretching	K_s (Kcal/mol/Å ²)	4612			
		r_0 (Å)	1.29			
	Bending	K_b (Kcal/mol)	107.435			
		θ_0 (°)	120			
	Torsion	K_t (Kcal/mol)	1.139			
		d	-1			
	n	0				

41

42 Table S1 (b). Potential parameters for the SiO₂ nanoparticle in this study³

Atom	q_i (e)	A_{ii} (Kcal·mol ⁻¹)	ρ_{ii} (Å)	C_{ii} (Kcal·mol ⁻¹ ·Å ⁶)
O (In SiO ₂)	-0.955209	15170.70	0.386	617.24
Si	1.910418	72460.64	0.351	14415.29

43

44 Table S1 (c). Potential parameters for the Al₂O₃ nanoparticle in this study⁴

Parameters		Al ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle			
L-J potential	Interaction	Al-Al		O-O	
	ζ (Kcal/mol)	0.040		0.228	
	σ (Å)	4.053		2.860	
	q (e)	1.5		-1.0	
Bonded interactions	Stretching	K_s (Kcal/mol/Å ²)	392.8		
		r_0 (Å)	1.775		
	Bending	K_b (Kcal/mol)	100.3	K_b (Kcal/mol)	31.1
		θ_0 (°)	109.5	θ_0 (°)	149.8
	Torsion	K_t (Kcal/mol)	26.270		
		d	-1		
		n	2		

45

46 Table S1 (d) L-J potential parameters for the interaction between nanoparticle and base fluid^{4, 6, 7}

Materials	Interaction	ζ (Kcal/mol)	σ (Å)	q (e)
SiO ₂	Si-Si	0.040	4.053	1.910418
	O-O(in SiO ₂)	0.228	2.860	-0.955209
Al ₂ O ₃	Al-Al	0.040	4.053	1.5
	O-O(in Al ₂ O ₃)	0.228	2.860	-1.0
	C-C	0.148	3.617	1.54
Carbonate base fluid	O-O(in CO ₃ ²⁻)	0.228	2.88	-1.18
	K-K	5.451	3.197	1
	Li-Li	4.735	2.839	1

47 References

- 48 1. A. Ottochian, C. Ricca, F. Labat and C. Adamo, *Journal of molecular modeling*,
- 49 2016, **22**, 61.
- 50 2. B. Jo and D. Banerjee, 2011.
- 51 3. G. Qiao, M. Lasfargues, A. Alexiadis and Y. Ding, *Applied Thermal*
- 52 *Engineering*, 2017, **111**, 1517-1522.
- 53 4. Y. Hu, Y. He, Z. Zhang and D. Wen, *Energy Conversion and Management*,
- 54 2017, **142**, 366-373.
- 55 5. G. Qiao, A. Alexiadis and Y. Ding, *Powder technology*, 2017, **314**, 660-664.
- 56 6. F. Yuan, M.-J. Li, Y. Qiu, Z. Ma and M.-J. Li, *Appl. Energy*, 2019, **250**, 1481-
- 57 1490.
- 58 7. Y. Hu, Y. He, Z. Zhang and D. Wen, *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*,
- 59 2019, **192**, 94-102.
- 60