### **Supporting Information**

#### The Effect of Defect Interactions on the Reductions of Doped Ceria

Steffen Grieshammer<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physical Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Landoltweg 2, 52056 Aachen, Germany.

<sup>2</sup>Helmholtz-Institut Münster (IEK-12), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Corrensstr. 46, 48149 Münster, Germany

<sup>3</sup>JARA-HPC, Germany, Forschungszentrum Jülich & RWTH Aachen University, Germany grieshammer@pc.rwth-aachen.de

## Mass action law for interacting defects

The change of the Gibbs energy of the doped lattice due to reduction can be expressed as:

$$\Delta G_R = \Delta G_{Ce'} + \Delta G_V + \Delta G_{O_2} - k_B T \Delta S_{conf} + \Delta G_{inter}$$

This includes:

• The contributions from the formation of polarons, vacancies and oxygen molecules:

$$\Delta G_{\rm Ce'} = N_{\rm Ce'} \cdot g_{\rm Ce'}^{\circ}$$
$$\Delta G_{\rm V} = N_{\rm V} \cdot g_{\rm V}^{\circ}$$

 $\Delta G_{O_2} = N_{O_2} \cdot \mu_{O_2}$ 

where  $N_{Ce'}$ ,  $N_V$ ,  $N_{O_2}$  are the number of polarons, oxygen vacancies, and oxygen molecules, respectively.

• The ideal configurational entropy with the contributions before and after reduction:

$$\Delta S_{\text{conf}} = \ln \frac{(N_{\text{cation}})!}{(N_{\text{cation}} - N_{\text{Ce}'} - N_{\text{dot}})! N_{\text{Ce}'}! N_{\text{dot}}!} + \ln \frac{(N_{\text{anion}})!}{(N_{\text{anion}} - N_{\text{V}} - N_{\text{V},\text{dot}})! (N_{\text{V}} + N_{\text{V},\text{dot}})!} - \ln \frac{(N_{\text{cation}})!}{(N_{\text{cation}} - N_{\text{V},\text{dot}})! N_{\text{V},\text{dot}}!}$$

Where  $N_{dot}$  and  $N_{V,dot}$  are the number of dopant ions on cerium sites and the number of oxygen vacancies introduced by doping, respectively and  $N_{cation}$  and  $N_{anion}$  are the total number of cations or anions.

•  $\Delta G_{\text{inter}}$ , which refers to the total change of Gibbs energy due to defect interactions.

Due to charge neutrality and site balance, the constraints  $2N_V = N_{Ce'}$ ,  $2N_{V,dot} = N_{dot}$ , and  $N_V = 2N_{O_2}$  can be used and the equation rewritten in terms of the number of oxygen vacancies  $N_V$  using the relation  $N_{cation} = \frac{1}{2}N_{anion} = N_{CeO_2}$  and  $\Delta_r g = 2g_{Ce'}^{\circ} + g_V^{\circ} + 0.5\mu_{O_2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G_R &= N_{\rm V} \cdot \Delta_r g \\ &- k_B T \ln \frac{(N_{\rm CeO_2})!}{(N_{\rm CeO_2} - 2N_{\rm V} - N_{\rm dot})!(2N_{\rm V})! N_{\rm dot}!} \\ &- k_B T \ln \frac{(2N_{\rm CeO_2})!}{(2N_{\rm CeO_2} - N_{\rm V} - N_{\rm V,dot})! (N_{\rm V} + N_{\rm V,dot})!} \\ &+ k_B T \ln \frac{(N_{\rm CeO_2})!}{(N_{\rm CeO_2} - N_{\rm dot})! N_{\rm dot}!} + k_B T \ln \frac{(2N_{\rm CeO_2})!}{(2N_{\rm CeO_2} - N_{\rm V,dot})! N_{\rm V,dot}!} \end{aligned}$$

 $+\Delta G_{inter}$ 

Minimizing this term with respect to  $N_V$  yields:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta G_R}{\partial N_V} = \Delta_r g + 2k_B T \ln \frac{2N_V}{N_{CeO_2} - 2N_V - N_{dot}} + k_B T \ln \frac{N_V}{2N_{CeO_2} - N_V - N_{V,dot}} + \frac{\partial \Delta G_{inter}}{\partial N_V} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$$

Using again the previous constraints and introducing the lattice mole fraction  $\frac{N_{Ce'}}{N_{CeO_2}} = [Ce']$  and  $\frac{N_V}{N_{CeO_2}} = [V_0^{\circ}] = \delta$  leads to:  $\Delta_r g + 2k_B T \ln \frac{[Ce'_{Ce}]}{[Ce^x_{Ce}]} + k_B T \ln \frac{[V_0^{\circ}]}{[O_0^x]} + \frac{\partial \Delta_r g^{int}(T, \delta, N_{dot})}{\partial \delta} = 0$ Rearranging results in:  $[V_0^{\circ}][Ce'_{Co}]^2 \qquad (\Delta r g) \qquad (\Delta r g^{int})$ 

$$\frac{|V_{0}^{\circ}|[Ce_{Ce}^{\prime}]}{[o_{0}^{\circ}][Ce_{Ce}^{\prime}]^{2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_{r}g}{k_{B}T}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\partial\Delta_{r}g^{int}}{k_{B}T \ \partial\delta}\right)$$
  
or  
$$\frac{|V_{0}^{\circ}|[Ce_{Ce}^{\prime}]^{2}}{[o_{0}^{\circ}][Ce_{Ce}^{\prime}]^{2}}p_{O_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_{r}g^{\circ}}{k_{B}T}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\partial\Delta_{r}g^{int}}{k_{B}T \ \partial\delta}\right) = K(T) \cdot K_{int}(T,\delta)$$

 $\Delta_r g^\circ$  is the standard Gibbs energy of reduction and  $\Delta_r g^{\text{int}}$  is the additional contribution per formula unit due to defect interactions. Neglecting volume changes in the solid, the approximation  $\Delta_r g^{\text{int}} \approx \Delta_r f^{\text{int}}$  is applicable and we obtain

$$\frac{\left[\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\circ}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{Ce}}^{\prime}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{Ce}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right]^{2}}p_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_{r}g^{\circ}}{k_{B}T}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\partial\Delta_{r}f^{\mathrm{int}}}{k_{B}T\,\partial\delta}\right) = K(T)\cdot K_{\mathrm{int}}(T,\delta)$$

# Calculation of $\Delta_r u^{ ext{int}}$ and $\Delta_r f^{ ext{int}}$

The Metropolis Monte Carlo algorithm samples a sequence of states in thermodynamic equilibrium with the probability of each state being proportional to the respective Boltzman factor:

$$m \cdot M(E,T) = \Omega(E) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-E}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)$$

Here, M(E,T) is the sampled distribution,  $\Omega(E)$  is the total configurational space, and *m* is a proportionality constant. The interaction contribution to the internal energy per formula unit  $u^{\text{int}}$  is then just the average of the sampled states:

$$u^{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle \sum_{i} \sum_{j} E_{ij} \rangle_{\text{MCS}} / N_{\text{CeO}_2} = \langle M(E,T) \rangle_E / N_{\text{CeO}_2}$$

The contribution to the internal energy of reduction  $\Delta_r u^{\text{int}}$  is given by the difference between stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric composition.

However, as only a small part of the configurational space is sampled and the proportionality constant m is not known, the direct evaluation of the partition function and the free energy is not possible.

This problem is circumvented with the approach by Valleau and Card<sup>1</sup> where the sampled distribution  $M(E, T_{\min})$  is connected to the random, non-interacting distribution  $\Omega^*(E)$  by a series of bridging distributions. The bridging distributions are defined by their temperatures that are given by the factor  $\alpha = T_{\min}/T$ . For  $\alpha = 1$  the desired distribution is obtained and for  $\alpha = 0$  the random distribution is sampled. From the overlap of subsequent distributions, the individual factor  $m'_i$  can be obtained and the free energy of interaction, i.e. the difference between the interacting and the non-interacting system can be calculated from:

$$F_{\rm int} = -k_B T \cdot \sum \ln(m'_i)$$

The contribution to the free energy of reduction  $\Delta_r f^{\text{int}}$  is given by the difference between stoichiometric and non-stoichiometric composition.

#### Energy parameters

Pair interaction energies were taken from refs.<sup>2, 3</sup>. These energies were calculated with DFT+U using the PBE functional and  $U_{\text{eff}} = 5 \text{ eV}$ .

#### **Trivalent Cation interactions**

Table 1: Pair interactions for trivalent cations in eV.

$RE^{3+}$	$RE'_{Ce} - V_0^{\bullet \bullet} 1NN$	$RE'_{Ce} - V_0^{\bullet \bullet} 2NN$	$RE'_{Ce} - Ce'_{Ce}$ 1NN
Sc	-0.75	-0.22	0.1
Lu	-0.48	-0.12	0.1
Y	-0.35	-0.14	0.1
Gd	-0.29	-0.13	0.1
Sm	-0.24	-0.14	0.1
Nd	-0.18	-0.17	0.1
Ce	-0.14	-0.19	0.1
La	-0.12	-0.20	0.1

#### Vacancy-vacancy interactions

Table 2: Pair interactions between two vacancies, depending on the distance. 3 NN(a) and 3 NN(b) differentiate interactions without and with a cation position between the vacancies.

Neighbor position	interaction / eV	
1 NN	0.90	
2 NN	0.33	
3 NN(a)	0.32	
3 NN(b)	0.46	
4 NN	0.34	

#### Zirconium ion interactions

Table 3: Interactions for defect pairs containing zirconium ions in ceria.

Defect pair	interaction / eV
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - V_0^{\bullet \bullet}$ 1NN	-0.6
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - V_0^{\bullet \bullet}$ 2NN	-0.05
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - Zr_{Ce}^{\times}$ 1NN	-0.01
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - Y_{Ce}^{\prime}$ 1NN	0.0
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - Gd_{Ce}^{\prime}$ 1NN	0.0
$Zr_{Ce}^{\times} - Ce_{Ce}^{\prime}$ 1NN	0.0

## References

- 1. J. P. Valleau, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1972, **57**, 5457.
- 2. S. Grieshammer, M. Nakayama and M. Martin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 3804–3811.
- 3. S. Grieshammer, J Phys Chem C, 2017, **121**, 15078-15084.