Electronic Supplementary information for

Investigation of thermal decomposition mechanism of glycerol: the

combination of theoretical study based on Minnesota functional and

experimental support

Dongdong Zhang, ^a Yi Cao, ^{*b} Pan Zhang, ^a Jiankang Liang, ^a Ke Xue, ^a Yong Xia ^c and Zhengjian

Qi *a

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, PR China

^b China Tobacco Jiangsu Industrial Co., Ltd., Nanjing, Jiangsu 210019, PR China

^c School of Packaging and Materials Engineering, Hunan University of Technology, Zhuzhou,

Hunan 412007, PR China

E-mail address: <u>qizhengjian@seu.edu.cn</u> (Z.J. Qi); <u>caoyi@jszygs.com</u> (Y. Cao);

Contents

1.	Optimized structures for glycerol, intermediates and transition states	3
2.	Intrinsic reaction coordinate results of transition-states.	6
3.	Tabular data	.14
4.	The experimental results of UPLC.	.16
5.	Condensation reaction of glycerol and formaldehyde	.17
6.	The experimental results of GC/MS.	.17

Figure S1.Part of the product of reaction (1) and its subsequent reaction3
Figure S2.The optimized results of the reactants and transition states of reactions (2) (3)3
Figure S3. Bond length and ΔE under IRC method of the TS54
Figure S4.The optimized structure of the dehydrogenation path4
Figure S5.The optimized structure of the reaction (5) and its subsequent reactions
Figure S6. O-H-O hydrogen bridge radical structure5
Figure S7.The optimized structure of the reactions (7), reaction (8) and their subsequent
reactions5
Figure S8. The optimized structure of the reactions (9) and reaction (10)5
Figure S9. Transition state TS19 and TS20 during the formation of glycerol formal
Figure S10. The experimental results of UPLC, bottom up, temperature range 100-1000 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
(interval 100), The top green peak is the standard sample. The abscissa: time (min), the
ordinate: absorption (AU)16
Figure S11. Standard samples: (a) glycerol formal; (b) glycidol17

Fable S1. Gradient elution program of UPLC14
Table S2. Glycerol initial molecular conformation, TS1, TS2, and vinyl alcohol bond length
optimization results14
Table S3. Glycerol initial molecular conformation and TS11 bond length optimization results
able S4. Electron energy and Gibbs free energy barrier of fierce competitive primary channel
calculated under different methods1
able S5. The only imaginary frequency of the transition states under M06-2X-D3, CAM-B3LYF
ω B97X-D functionals, in which there is no transition state in the reaction 7-1 tha
generates two free radicals, and it is referred to as "TS13". (unit: cm ⁻¹)1!
Table S6.The content of carbonyl compounds in glycerol pyrolysis products at differen
temperatures (unit: μg)10

Scheme S1. A two-step reaction to form glycerol formal.17

1. Optimized structures for glycerol, intermediates and transition states.



Figure S1.Part of the product of reaction (1) and its subsequent reaction.



Figure S2.The optimized results of the reactants and transition states of reactions (2) (3).



Figure S3. Bond length and ΔE under IRC method of the TS5.



Figure S4.The optimized structure of the dehydrogenation path.





TS13 O-H-O hydrogen bridge structure \rightarrow double radical

Figure S6. O-H-O hydrogen bridge radical structure.



Figure S7.The optimized structure of the reactions (7), reaction (8) and their subsequent reactions.





2. Intrinsic reaction coordinate results of transition-states.























Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate(amu^{1/2}Bohr)







3. Tabular data.

Table S1. Gradient elution program of UPLC.					
Time/min	Flow rate /(mL/min)	%A	%В		
0.00	0.4	48	52		
2.50	0.4	48	52		
7.50	0.4	25	75		
8.00	0.4	25	75		
10.00	0.4	48	52		

Table S2. Glycerol initial molecular conformation, TS1, TS2, and vinyl alcohol bond length optimization results.

Bond	1 γγ	TS1	2 ethenol	
R (O1,C2)	1.42	1.29	R (C1,H2)	1.08
R (O1,H7)	0.97	1.42	R (C1,H3)	1.08
R (C2,C3)	1.53	1.87	R (C1,C4)	1.33
R (C2,H8)	1.09	1.1	R (C4,H5)	1.08
R (C2,H9)	1.10	1.1	R (C4,O6)	1.36
R (C3,C4)	1.52	1.39	R (O6,H7)	0.96
R (C3,O6)	1.42	1.39	TS2	
R (C3,H10)	1.10	1.09	R (C1,H2)	1.08
R (C4,O5)	1.42	2.08	R (C1,H3)	1.09
R (C4,H11)	1.09	1.08	R (C1,C4)	1.41
R (C4,H12)	1.09	1.08	R (C1,H7)	1.48
R (O5,H7)	2.11	1.05	R (C4,H5)	1.09
R (O5,H13)	0.96	0.96	R (C4,O6)	1.27
R (O6,H14)	0.96	0.97	R (O6,H7)	1.28

Bond	1 γγ	TS11	Bond	1 γγ	TS11
R (01,C2)	1.42	1.31	R (C3,H10)	1.1	1.09
R (01,H7)	0.97	1.61	R (C4,O5)	1.42	1.41
R (C2,C3)	1.53	1.46	R (C4,H11)	1.09	1.09
R (C2,H8)	1.09	1.11	R (C4,H12)	1.09	1.1
R (C2,H9)	1.1	1.19	R (O5,H7)	2.12	1.02
R (C3,C4)	1.52	1.51	R (05,H13)	0.96	1.83
R (C3,O6)	1.42	1.98	R (O6,H13)	2.57	0.98
R (C3,H9)	2.16	1.73	R (O6,H14)	0.96	0.96

Potential energy (in Hartree)						
M06-2X-D3/6-311+G (d, p) CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G (d, p) ωB97X-D /6-311+G (d, p)						
Glycerol	-344.7610099	-344.7650992	-344.7967163			
TS1	-344.649088	-344.657772	-344.6882423			
TS11	-344.6471591	-344.6576197	-344.688506			
TS18	-344.6412631	-344.6545176	-344.6850361			
	DLPNO-CCSD(T)/CBS// M06-2X-	DLPNO-CCSD(T)/CBS// CAM-	DLPNO-CCSD(T)/CBS//@B97X-D			
	D3/6-311+G (d, p)	B3LYP/6-311+G (d, p)	/6-311+G (d, p)			
Glycerol	-344.465911072480	-344.465910867331	-344.465909831582			
TS1	-344.353945877457	-344.354098176923	-344.354190384			
TS11	-344.354361508854	-344.354160103823	-344.354204033			
TS18	-344.350199823676	-344.350529521269	-344.350495935268			

Table S4. Electron energy and Gibbs free energy barrier of fierce competitive primary channels calculated under different methods.

Table S5. The only imaginary frequency of the transition states under M06-2X-D3, CAM-B3LYP, ω B97X-D functionals, in which there is no transition state in the reaction 7-1 that generates two free radicals, and it is referred to as "TS13". (unit: cm⁻¹).

Transition state	M06-2X-D3	CAM-B3LYP	wB97XD
TS1	-783.54	-710.74	-660.25
TS2	-2208.11	-2232.76	-2228.44
TS3	-1853.82	-1970.55	-1963.25
TS4	-1854.87	-2060.88	-2029.73
TS5	-1362.28	-1220.19	-1163.82
TS6	-1505.61	-1890.49	-1944.12
TS7	-716.34	-883.4	-824.64
TS8	-2108.07	-2149.39	-2171.89
TS9	-756.13	-871.85	-801.65
TS10	-1358.95	-1534.67	-1539.35
TS11	-653.58	-510.73	-478.95
TS12	-893.78	-833.39	-802.25
TS14	-1793.68	-1692.51	-1715.87
TS15	-2187.72	-2212.81	-2196.32
TS16	-721.96	-591.41	-562.45
TS17	-665.94	-524.83	-534.53
TS18	-373.74	-373.53	-368.14

Table S6. The content of carbonyl compounds in giverol pyrolysis products at different temperatures (unit: µg).						
T/°C	Formaldehyde	Acetaldehyde	Acetone	Acrolein	Propionaldehyde	
100	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
200	1.23	0.07	0.13	0.00	0.00	
300	4.63	1.70	0.18	1.08	2.13	
400	5.38	6.88	0.18	3.13	2.50	
500	12.98	28.80	1.33	15.93	7.28	
600	13.48	32.45	2.98	13.63	7.78	
700	14.75	35.35	5.60	12.28	8.90	
800	17.73	48.13	11.98	14.60	11.23	
900	16.95	50.88	11.65	18.40	11.53	
1000	17.43	47.00	12.30	14.75	11.55	

Table S6.The content of carbonyl compounds in glycerol pyrolysis products at different temperatures (unit: μg)

4. The experimental results of UPLC.



Figure S10. The experimental results of UPLC, bottom up, temperature range 100-1000°C (interval 100), The top green peak is the standard sample. The abscissa: time (min), the ordinate: absorption (AU).

In the above figure, the top green peak is the standard sample. The corresponding carbonyl compound-DNPH from left to right are: formaldehyde-DNPH, acetaldehyde-DNPH, acetone-DNPH, acrolein-DNPH, propionaldehyde-DNPH, crotonaldehyde-DNPH, 2-butanone-DNPH, butyraldehyde-DNPH.

The bottom-up peaks are the carbonyl compounds produced by glycerol cracking at $100^{\circ}C \sim 1000^{\circ}C$ (interval 100). Quantitative analysis by comparing the integral area.

Since UPLC relies on standard samples to achieve quantitative and qualitative purposes, it is inevitable that there will be omissions (such as peaks around 4.5min), so it is supplemented by GC/MS for qualitative testing.

5. Condensation reaction of glycerol and formaldehyde.



In the first step, glycerol and formaldehyde undergo a polycondensation reaction to form an intermediate product, and the free energy barrier of the reaction is 38.6 kcal mol⁻¹; in the second step, the hydroxyl groups at both ends of the intermediate product are dehydrated to form glycerol formal, the free energy barrier of the reaction is 55.5 kcal mol⁻¹, so the condensation energy barrier of glycerol and formaldehyde is 55.5 kcal mol⁻¹.

6. The experimental results of GC/MS.





Figure S11. Standard samples: (a) glycerol formal; (b) glycidol.

Total Ion Chromatogram (TIC):

100℃(Abscissa: time/Ordinate: abundance, Same below.)









时间--->





The corresponding substance of the chromatographic peak at 2.8min is glycidol, and the corresponding substance of the chromatographic peak at 4.3min is glycerol formal. The big peak around 5.4min is glycerol, the two peaks at 7.441min and 7.537min are identified as one substance (may be isomers), which is 1,4-dioxane-2,5-dimethanol (CAS: 14236-12-5). It is inferred that they are the product of the intermolecular dehydration of two glycerol molecules, which is another work we are pushing forward.

7. Radical decomposition channels.

Schem M06-22

	$CH_2OHCHOHCH_2OH \rightarrow CH_2CHOHCH_2OH + OH$	F(1)	$\Delta H=97.5$ kcal/mol
	CH ₂ OHCHOHCH ₂ OH → CH ₂ OHCHCH ₂ OH + •OH	F(2)	ΔH=99.7 kcal/mol
	СН₂ОНСНОНСН₂ОН → СНОНСНОНСН₂ОН + •Н	F(3)	ΔH=92.8 kcal/mol
	CH ₂ OHCHOHCH ₂ OH → CH ₂ OCHOHCH ₂ OH + •H	F(4)	ΔH=106.0 kcal/mol
	CH ₂ OHCHOHCH ₂ OH → CH ₂ OHCOHCH ₂ OH + •H	F(5)	ΔH=94.8 kcal/mol
	CH ₂ OHCHOHCH ₂ OH → CH ₂ OHCHOCH ₂ OH + •H	F(6)	Δ H=106.7 kcal/mol
	CH ₂ OHCHOHCH ₂ OH→ • CH ₂ OH + • CHOHCH ₂ OH	F(7)	∆H=86.9 kcal/mol
e S2. X-D3,	Radical decomposition channels of glycerol under anaerobic at 101 kP /6-311+G (d, p) level.	Pa and 298 K,	the enthalpies are calculated under

Radical decomposition reactions F (1), F (2) will produce 1,2-propanediol and 1,3propanediol free radicals, respectively, which will combine with H free radicals to produce 1,2-propanediol and 1,3-propanediol. The decomposition of propylene glycol will produce propionaldehyde and acetone.

A follow-up work that we are advancing includes this aspect.