Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for DALTON TRANSACTIONS.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

# A Photoluminescent Au(I)/Ag(I)/PNN coordination complex for

## **Relatively Rapid and Reversible Alcohol Sensing**

Yanhui Tao,<sup>a</sup> Yuwei Wang,<sup>a</sup> Shengnan Hu,<sup>a</sup> David James Young\*<sup>b</sup> Chengrong Lu,<sup>a</sup> Hong-Xi Li,<sup>a</sup> and Zhi-Gang Ren\*<sup>a</sup>

College of Engineering, Information Technology and Environment, Charles Darwin University, Northern Territory 0909, Australia

College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, P. R. China. Email: renzhigang@suda.edu.cn; Fax: +86-512-65880328.

# Contents

Experimental Procedures	2
Materials and methods	2
Synthesis of Compound 1	2
Preparation of 1·2EtOHs2	2
Single-Crystal X-ray Structure Determinations	2
Table S1 Selected crystallographic data and refinement parameters for 1.2MeOH and 1.2EtOHs3	;
Measurement of PL On/Off effect of 1 toward different solvent vapors	;
Fig. S1 Experimental setup for the measurement of the PL On/Off effect of 1 toward different solvent vap	ors 3
PL On/Off switching experiments	ł
Fig. S2 Equipment for the PL On/Off switching experiments	ł
Characterization of compound 1	ł
Fig. S3 <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of 1	5
Fig. S4 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of 1	5
Fig. S5 <sup>31</sup> P NMR spectra of 1	5
Table S2 Hydrogen-bonding geometries in 1·2MeOH and 1·2EtOH (Å, °)	5
<b>Fig. S6</b> Excitation (green, Em = 510nm) and emission (red, Ex = 432 nm) spectra of ligand dppmaphen in the so state	olid 5
<b>Fig. S7</b> Comparison of the IR spectra of 1, $1.2$ MeOH, $1.2$ EtOH and $1.2$ H <sub>2</sub> O in the region 1400~1700 cr showing the shifting of the vibration absorptions of the –PPh <sub>2</sub> groups.	m <sup>-1</sup> 7
Fig. S8 Time-dependent emission spectra of 1 in a MeOH/N <sub>2</sub> stream after 10 response cycles and further treatment in dry N <sub>2</sub> for 3h	ient 7
Fig. S9 PXRD patterns of 1: simulated from SCXRD data of 1.2MeOH, before response cycles, after 10 response cycles and three months later	nse 7
References	,

## **Experimental Procedures**

#### Materials and methods

The synthesis of dppmaphen followed a procedure in the literature.<sup>[S1]</sup> All chemicals Were obtained from commercial sources and were used as received without further purification unless otherwise noted. NMR spectra were measured on a Varian UNITY plus-600 MHz NMR instrument at room temperature, with chemical shifts referenced to the solvent signal of DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>. Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were performed on a Carlo-Erba CHNO-S microanalyzer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were acquired on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD system (PW3040/60) with Cu- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. IR spectra were obtained on a VERTEX 70 FT-IR spectrometer (4000–500 cm<sup>-1</sup>) with ATR probe. TGA were obtained on a TA SDT-600 analyzer (N<sub>2</sub> stream, heating rate of 10 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>). Emission spectra were obtained on a FLS980 (Edinburgh Instruments, U.K.) spectrometer.

#### Synthesis of compound 1

A mixture of dppmaphen (15.7 mg, 0.04 mmol) and AuCN ( 8.91 mg, 0.04 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and MeOH (2 mL) was stirred for 3 h at room temperature to afford a light yellow solution. AgPF<sub>6</sub> (5.05 mg, 0.02 mmol) was then added and stirred for 3 h to obtain the yellow turbid solution. The mixture was then centrifuged and the supernatant slowly diffused with hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), which afforded light yellow blocks of 1·2MeOH after 2 days. The MeOH solvate could be removed *in vacuo* (or blown dry in a stream of N<sub>2</sub> for 3h.) to afford 1. Yield: 26.02 mg (88% based on AuCN). Anal. Calcd. For C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>40</sub>AgAu<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>8</sub>P<sub>3</sub>: C, 42.04; H, 2.71; N, 7.54, Found: C, 42.38%; H, 2.70%; N, 7.36%. IR (ATR): 3413(m), 3050 (w), 2139(m), 1630(m), 1593(w), 1570(w), 1540(s), 1512(m), 1483(w), 1470(m), 1436(s), 1409(w), 1386(w), 1362(s), 1256(m), 1156(m), 1104(m), 1042(w), 1026(w), 998(w), 944(w), 845(vs), 742(m), 719(w), 692(s), 633(w), 557(s), 508(m)cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  8.95 (*d*, 1H), 8.53 (*d*, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (*s*, 1H), 8.21 (*d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (*d*, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.73 (*m*, 4H), 7.57 (*s*, 3H), 7.37 (*d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 3H), 7.08 (*s*, 1H), 6.58 (*s*, 1H), 5.55 (*s*, 1H), 5.11 (*s*, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR(151 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  157.08, 150.45, 150.09, 141.53, 140.43, 139.38, 138.89, 134.66, 132.00, 129.87, 128.29, 124.32, 123.94, 122.90, 117.92. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (243 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, ppm):  $\delta$  33.06 (*s*), -146.41 (hept).

#### Preparations of 1.2EtOH

1.2EtOH was isolated as single crystals using a similar procedure to that used for 1.2MeOH, except using EtOH instead of MeOH as solvent.

#### Single-crystal X-ray structure determinations

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) data for all crystals were obtained on a Bruker Smart CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Single crystals were coated with Paratone oil on a Cryoloop pin and enveloped in an Oxford Cryosystems cryostream at 120 K during data collection. Data were processed with the Bruker APEX2 software package<sup>[s2]</sup> using SAINT v8.34A<sup>[s3]</sup> and corrected for absorption by multi-scan (no correction was made for extinction or decay)<sup>[s4]</sup> The structures of 1.2MeOH and 1.2EtOH were solved by direct methods and refined on F<sup>2</sup> by full-matrix least-squares using the SHELXTL-2016 program package.<sup>[s5]</sup> In 1.2EtOH, the solvated EtOH molecules were disordered over two sites with occupancies of 0.70/0.30, while the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anion was disordered over three sites with occupancies of 0.50/0.30/0.20 by rotating over a F-P-F axis. All other non-hydrogen atoms except for those of the disordered EtOH molecule were refined anisotropically. H atoms of the –OH groups were located from the difference Fourier maps with their coordinates refined freely and thermal parameters constrained to  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$ . All other hydrogen atoms were added theoretically. Selected crystallographic data and refinement parameters are listed in Table S1.

CCDC 2056644 and 2056645 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for 1·2MeOH and 1·2EtOH, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

Compound	1·2MeOH	1·2EtOH
Formula	$C_{54}H_{48}AgAu_2F_6N_8O_2P_3\\$	$C_{56}H_{52}AgAu_2F_6N_8O_2P_3$
Fw	1549.71	1577.77
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	<i>P</i> 2/c	<i>P</i> 2/c
a/Å	13.393(4)	13.4700(7)
b/ Å	11.321(4)	11.4324(6)
<i>c</i> / Å	19.152(5)	19.0084(11)
$\alpha$ / deg	90	90
$\beta$ / deg	110.223(9)	109.0308(15)
γ/deg	90	90
$V/Å^3$	2724.7(14)	2767.2(3)
Z	2	2
$P_{\text{calc}}$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.889	1.894
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	5.885	5.796
F(000)	1496	1528
$R_1^a$	0.0305	0.0243
wR <sup>b</sup>	0.0846	0.0634
GOF <sup>c</sup>	1.073	1.058

Table S1. Selected crystallographic data and refinement parameters for 1.2MeOH and 1.2EtOH

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||/\Sigma |F_{o}|. {}^{b}wR_{2} = \{\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2})^{2}\}^{1/2}. {}^{c}\text{GOF} = \{\Sigma w ((F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2})/(n-p)\}^{1/2}, \text{ where } n = \text{number of reflection and } p = \text{total number of } n = 1, \dots, n = 1, \dots,$ 

parameters refined.

## Measurement of PL On/Off effect of 1 toward different solvent vapors.

Powdered samples of **1** were coated onto a quartz slide  $(1 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2)$  and placed in a quartz cuvette, which was then left in a sealed container (100 mL) containing ~5 mL of solvent (Fig. S1) for 0.5 h. The cuvette was then sealed and transferred to the spectrofluorometer.



Fig. S1 Experimental setup for the measurement of the PL On/Off effect of 1 toward different solvent vapors.

#### PL On/Off switching experiments

Powdered samples of **1** were coated onto a quartz slide ( $1 \times 1.5$  cm), sealed in a quartz cell fitted with gas inlet and outlet, and placed in a spectrofluorometer. The excitation light (372 nm) was adjusted to irradiate the surface of the slide (Fig. S2). N<sub>2</sub> were bubbled through thermostatically controlled MeOH or H<sub>2</sub>O to achieve saturated MeOH/N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub> streams using peristaltic pumps.



Fig. S2 Equipment for PL On/Off switching experiments.

## **Characterization of compound 1**

Compound 1 was insoluble in water, but readily soluble in acetone and DMSO. The elemental analysis of the solvent-free 1 was consistent with its molecular formula. PXRD pattern correlated well with the simulated spectrum generated from the SCXRD data of  $1 \cdot 2$ MeOH, indicating its structure remained intrinsic and did not collapse on loss of MeOH. The IR spectrum of 1 showed absorptions at 1630, 1540 and 1436 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributable to the skeleton vibrations of -Ph, at 2139 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributable to CN<sup>-</sup> and at 845 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributable to PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>. TGA analyses in a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere showed decomposition between 220–400 °C with weight loss of about 43% (Calcd 42%) which is consistent with the elimination of the  $-NH-CH_2-PPh_2$  unit together with PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and CN<sup>-</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Figure S1) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> contained signals for the  $-CH_2-$  group (5.11, 5.55 ppm), -NH- (6.58 ppm), -Ph and phen groups (8.95-7.08 ppm). Two signals for  $-PPh_2$  (33.06 ppm) and PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (-146.41 ppm) were also identified in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum.



Fig. S4 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1





## Fig. S5 <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 1

Table S2. Hydrogen-bonding geometries in 1·2MeOH and 1·2EtOH (Å, °)

Compound	D-H···A	D-H	$H{\cdots}A$	D…A	D-H…A
1.2MeOH	N3-H3N…O1	0.83	2.02	2.845(5)	174.0
	$O1\text{-}H1A\cdots N4^i$	0.84	2.00	2.780(6)	157.4
1.2EtOH	N3-H3N…O1	0.88	2.10	2.886(5)	147.7
	$O1\text{-}H1A\cdots N4^i$	0.83	2.09	2.861(6)	155.1

Symmetry code: i 1-x,-y,1-z.



Fig. S6 Excitation (green, Em = 510nm) and emission (red, Ex = 432 nm) spectra of ligand dppmaphen in solid state.



Fig. S7 Comparison of the IR spectra of 1, 1·2MeOH, 1·2EtOH and 1·2H<sub>2</sub>O in the region 1400~1700 cm<sup>-1</sup> showing the shifting of the vibration absorptions of the –PPh<sub>2</sub> groups.



Fig. S8 Time-dependent emission spectra of 1 in a MeOH/ $N_2$  stream after 10 response cycles (orange line) and further treatment in dry  $N_2$  for 3h

(green line).



Fig. S9 PXRD patterns of 1: simulated from SCXRD data of 1:2MeOH, before response cycles, after 10 response cycles and three months later.

### References

- [s1] Y. P. Zhang, M. Zhang, X. R. Chen, C. Lu, D. J. Young, Z. G. Ren and J. P. Lang., Inorg. Chem., 2020, 59, 1038-1045.
- [s2] Bruker APEX2, v2014.5-0; Bruker AXS, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2007.
- [s3] SAINT, v8.34A; Bruker AXS, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2013
- [s4] G. M. Sheldrick. SADABS, Program for Empirical Adsorption Correction; Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, University of Gottingen: Germany, 1996.
- [s5] G. M. Sheldrick. SHELXTL-2016; Universität Göttingen: Göttingen Germany, 2016.