### Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Rituraj Das,<sup>a</sup> Dhrubajyoti Talukdar,<sup>a</sup> Plaban J. Sarma,<sup>a</sup> Hemrupa Kuilya,<sup>b</sup> Ranjit Thakuria<sup>c</sup>, Diganta Choudhury<sup>\*b</sup> and Sanjeev P. Mahanta<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical Sciences, Tezpur University, Tezpur, Assam, India.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, B. Borooah College, Guwahati - 781007, Assam, India.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Gauhati University, Guwahati - 781014, Assam, India.

Email: <a href="mailto:digantachoudhury2008@gmail.com">digantachoudhury2008@gmail.com</a>, <a href="mailto:spm@tezu.ernet.in">spm@tezu.ernet.in</a>

# Colorimetric detection of fluoride ion in aqueous medium by thiourea derivatives: a transition metal ion assisted approach

#### **General Methods**

Instrumentation and reagents: All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received, without further purification. All tetrabutylammonium salts for anion screening experiments were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich® and used as such. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin Elmer Frontier MIRFIR spectrometer.

HRMS data were recorded by electron spray ionization with a Q-TOF mass analyzer. The electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) spectrum of the receptors was recorded in methanol in Shimadzu-LCMS-2010 mass spectrometer. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (100 MHz) were recorded on a 'JEOL' NMR spectrophotometer in DMSO- $d_6$  at room temperature. In NMR spectra, chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield of Me<sub>4</sub>Si (TMS) as internal standard. EPR analysis was carried out with JEOL, Model: JES-FA200 spectrometer. 2D-NMR experiments (COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC NMR) were performed in a 'Bruker' 600 MHz NMR spectrophotometer.

Cyclic Voltammogram and Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) were done using Bio-Logics SP-300 with EIS facility. UV-Vis experiments were performed with Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer. UV-Vis titrations were carried out in dimethyl sulfoxide solution. The receptor solutions were titrated by adding known quantities of concentrated solution of the anions in question. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out in a standard three electrode apparatus with a platinum working electrode, Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> (0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub> in 0.1 M TBAP in DMSO) reference electrode, and a Pt wire auxiliary electrode. The supporting electrolyte is 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) in DMSO solution. The cell was maintained oxygen-free by purging dry nitrogen through the solution.

The limit of detection (LOD) value was calculated based on the anion titration of the developed probe under two conditions. To determine the S/N ratio, the absorbance of **C2** without the ion,  $F^{-}$  was measured upto ten times and the standard deviation of blank measurements was determined. Similarly, to determine the S/N ratio presence of the metal ion, the absorbance of **C2**.Ni<sup>2+</sup>/Cu<sup>2+</sup> mixture without the presence of  $F^{-}$  was measured ten times and the value was calculated. So the detection limit of **C2** and **C2**.Ni<sup>2+</sup>/Cu<sup>2+</sup> for F<sup>-</sup> were determined from the following equation: LOD = K × Sb/S Where K = 2 or 3 (we take 2 in this case); Sb is the standard deviation of the blank solution; S is the slope of the calibration curve.<sup>1</sup> The fluoride contaminated groundwater samples collected from Baghpani village (Coordinates: 26.286372, 93.001249), Karbi Anglong, Assam, India was used as such

#### Synthesis and characterization



Scheme S1: Reaction scheme for the synthesis of C1-C3.

#### **Synthetic Procedure:**

0.1 mol of Potassium thiocyanate was dissolved in acetone. To it, 0.1 mol of the acylating agent *i.e.* Benzoyl chloride was added slowly with constant shaking. The mixture was then refluxed for 15 min, cooled and filtered. To the filtrate, 0.1 mol of amine dissolved in acetone was slowly added and the mixture was shaken. The mixture was then refluxed for 10 min and thereafter acetone was removed by distillation. In a beaker containing crushed ice, the mixture was added slowly with constant stirring and the reagent was solidified. The crude reagent was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol. **C1**, **C2** and **C3** were characterized by FT-IR,<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR.



Figure S1: Structure of the receptors C1-C3 chosen for the study.



<u>C1</u>: White solid, Yield: 92%, FT-IR of C1 (cm<sup>-1</sup>): v(C=O) = 1659 and v(C=S) = 1533. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of C1 (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta_H$  12.58 (s, 1H, NH), 11.53 (s, 1H, NH), 7.89 (d, 2H), 7.71 (d, 2H), 7.65 (t, 1H), 7.54 (t, 2H), 7.42 (t, 2H), 7.28 (t, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.4, 167, 137.7, 133.8, 131.7, 129.3, 129, 127.5, 127, 124.2; HRMS m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 257.0670, found 257.0929.



<u>C2:</u> Orange solid, Yield: 73%, FT-IR of C2 (cm<sup>-1</sup>): v(C=O) = 1672 and v(C=S) = 1527. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of C2 (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta_H$  (ppm) 12.93 (s, 1H, NH), 11.45 (s, 1H, NH), 10.20 (s, 1H, OH), 8.51 (d, 1H), 7.94 (d, 2H), 7.63 (t, 1H), 7.50 (t, 2H), 7.04 (t, 1H), 6.92 (d, 1H), 6.81 (t, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  178,168.8, 149.4, 133.6, 132.7, 129.2, 128.9, 127, 126.4, 123.7, 118.8, 115.6; HRMS m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 273.0619, found 273.0697.



<u>**C3**</u>: Off white solid, Yield: 41%, FT-IR of **C3** (cm<sup>-1</sup>): v(C=O) = 1674, v(C=S) = 1536. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of **C3** (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta_H 12.55$  (s, 1H, NH), 11.48 (s, 1H, NH), 9.60 (s, 1H, OH), 7.94 (d, 2H), 7.62 (t, 1H), 7.50 (t, 2H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.17 (t, 1H), 7.02 (d, 1H), 6.64 (d, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  179.1, 168.8, 158, 139.4, 133.6, 132.6, 130, 129.2, 128.9, 115, 113.9, 111.4; HRMS m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 273.0619, found 273.0694.



Figure S2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of C1 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)



Figure S3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of C2 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



Figure S4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of C3 (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



Figure S5: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of C1 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K)



**Figure S6:** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **C2** (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S7:** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **C3** (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).

-	64-541 2205019 0 105 42 107 EBISE3-016 9 41				
	100	257	0929 5.88e-006		
	- 1				
	%-				
		122.0567			
	- 1				
			258.0955		
		118.0616	569.1392		
	0	94.0585 218.1663	2010 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		
	5	100 150 200 25	o 300 350 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 800 850 900 950 1000 1050 1100 1150 1200		

## Figure S8: HRMS of C1



## Figure S9: HRMS of C2



Figure S10: HRMS of C3



Figure S11: Stack plot of FT IR spectra for C1-C3. \*IR spectra measured as KBr discs



**Figure S12:** a) ORTEP diagram of **C2** with 50% probability ellipsoid; b) ORTEP diagram of **C3** with 50% probability ellipsoid



**Figure S13:** Packing diagram of **C2** viewed along b axis. The dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



**Figure S14:** Packing diagram of **C3** viewed along b axis. The dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

Compound	C2	C3
CCDC number	2065030	2065031
Empirical formula	$C_{14}H_{12}N_2O_2S$	$C_{14}H_{12}N_2O_2S$
Formula weight (g/mol)	272.32	272.32
Temperature/K	296	296
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> /n	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> /c
a/Å	9.7375(17)	12.2027(10)
b/Å	5.1423(9)	7.3419(6)
c/Å	25.972(5)	15.7431(13)
α/°	90.00	90.00
β/°	95.230(5)	112.224(2)
γ/°	90.00	90.00
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1295.1(4)	1305.66(19)
Ζ	4	4
Density, pcalcg/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.397	1.385
Absorption coefficient, $\mu/mm^{-1}$	0.249	0.247
F(000)	568	568
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.250 x 0.090 x 0.070	0.260 x 0.150 x 0.120
Radiation	MoKα ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å)	MoKα ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å)
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/°	4.040 to 25.025	1.803 to 25.022
Index ranges	$-10 \le h \le 11, -6 \le k \le 6,$ $-30 \le 1 \le 30$	$-14 \le h \le 14, -8 \le k \le 8,$ $-18 \le 1 \le 18$
Reflns. Collected	20726	17381
Unique Reflns.	2257	2284
Observed Reflns.	1579	2014
Data/restraints/parameters	2257/1/184	2284/1/184
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.025	1.047
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	R = 0.0418; wR = 0.1036	R = 0.0344; wR = 0.0939
Final R indexes [all data]	R = 0.0659; wR = 0.1192	R = 0.0395; WR = 0.0983

 Table S1: The crystallographic parameters for compound C2 and C3.



Figure S15: Stack plot for C1-C3 recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).

#### **2D NMR analysis**

For C2:



In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **C2**, we observed a doublet and two triplets at  $\delta$  7.94, 7.62 and 7.50 ppm for 2H, 1H and 2H respectively. As there is no other possibility for a doublet and triplet with integration value of 2H, so the signal at  $\delta$  7.94 and 7.50 ppm must be for H<sub>h</sub> and H<sub>i</sub> respectively. Additionally, a correlated spectroscopy (COSY) correlation is observed between triplets at  $\delta$  7.62 and 7.50 ppm, thereby pointing the triplet at  $\delta$  7.62 ppm for H<sub>j</sub>. Based on the correlations observed in HSQC spectrum, the signals arising at  $\delta$  128.9, 133.6 and 129.2 can be assigned to C-10, C-12 and C-11 respectively. Furthermore, the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum shows signals for C-1 and C-2 at  $\delta$  168.8 and 178 ppm respectively for

the C=O and C=S moiety. Moreover, in the HSQC spectrum we did not observe any correlations corresponding to the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals at  $\delta$  149.4, 132.7 and 126.5 ppm, which gave us the impression that these signals might be either for C-4, C-9 or C-3 atom. The HMBC confirmed the signal at  $\delta$  132.7 ppm to be for the C-9 atom as it showed a correlation with the signal for H<sub>i</sub> protons. In the aromatic region, C-4 being the highly deshielded carbon atom on being attached to the hydroxyl group can be assigned to signal appearing at  $\delta$  149.4, which does not show any correlation in HSQC spectrum but shows very good correlations with proton signal appearing at  $\delta$  8.51 ppm in HMBC. Similarly, the C-3 carbon atom can be assigned to the signal appearing at  $\delta$  126.6 ppm, which is further confirmed by the correlation observed in HMBC with the proton signal appearing at  $\delta$  6.32 ppm. Thus, the proton signal at  $\delta$  8.51 and 6.92 ppm can be assigned to H<sub>g</sub> and H<sub>d</sub> proton respectively. Likewise, the proton signal at  $\delta$  7.04 and 6.81 ppm show good HMBC correlation with C-4 and C-3 carbon atoms respectively, helping us to assign them for H<sub>g</sub> and H<sub>d</sub> protons.



Figure S16: <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S17: Expanded <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S18: Expanded HSQC spectrum (600 MHz) of C2 in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S19: <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S20:** Expanded <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO- $d_6$ .

Lastly in the NOESY spectrum, the proton signal at  $\delta$  11.45 ppm shows a correlation with the signals for H<sub>h</sub>, pointing it to be the signal for NH<sub>a</sub>. Similarly, the proton signal at  $\delta$ 10.20 ppm shows a NOESY correlation with signals assigned for H<sub>d</sub> protons, confirming the signal for OH<sub>c</sub>. Naturally, the signal proton signal at  $\delta$  12.92 ppm is assigned to NH<sub>b</sub> proton.



Figure S21:  ${}^{1}\text{H}-{}^{1}\text{H}$  NOESY-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ For C3:



The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **C3** shows a doublet and two triplets at  $\delta$  7.98, 7.67 and 7.54 ppm for 2H, 1H and 2H respectively. As there is no other possibility for a doublet and triplet with integration value of 2H, so the signal at  $\delta$  7.98 and 7.54 ppm must be for H<sub>h</sub> and H<sub>i</sub> respectively. Additionally, a correlated spectroscopy (COSY) correlation is observed between triplets at  $\delta$  7.67 and 7.54 ppm, thereby pointing the triplet at  $\delta$  7.67 ppm for H<sub>j</sub>. A singlet at  $\delta$  7.29 ppm for 1H unambiguously corresponds to H<sub>c</sub> as the signals for other possible singlets

(NH<sub>*a*</sub>, NH<sub>*b*</sub> and -OH<sub>*c*</sub>) are much more deshielded and appear downfield. Similarly, the triplet at  $\delta$  7.21 ppm for 1H can be attributed to signal for H<sub>*f*</sub>.



Figure S22: <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY-NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of complex C3 in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S23:** Expanded <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY-NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of complex **C3** in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

In the NOESY spectrum, the signal at  $\delta$  11.52 ppm shows a correlation with H<sub>h</sub> protons, pointing it to be the signal for NH<sub>a</sub>. Similarly, the signal at  $\delta$  12.59 ppm shows NOESY correlation with signal for NH<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>c</sub>, confirming the signal for NH<sub>b</sub>. The assignment of NH<sub>a</sub> and NH<sub>b</sub> settles the signal at  $\delta$  9.66 ppm to OH<sub>c</sub> proton. At this point the signals at  $\delta$  7.05 and 6.68 ppm can be designated to the H<sub>g</sub> and H<sub>e</sub> protons respectively owing to the NOESY correlation of OH<sub>c</sub> proton with the signal at  $\delta$  6.68 ppm. As the signals for NH<sub>a</sub> and NH<sub>b</sub> could be clearly designated from COSY and NOESY NMR experiments, so we did not proceed towards complex Hetro-nuclear correlation spectroscopy.



Figure S24: <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOESY-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S25:** Expanded <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOESY-NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of complex C2 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S26: Important NOE interactions observed in C2 (top) and C3 (bottom).



**Figure S27:** Stack plot for C1-C3 recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with designation of  $NH_a$  and  $NH_b$  peaks (DMSO- $d_6$ , 298 K).



**Figure S28:** Changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of receptor a) C1, b) C2 and c) C3 (with particular interest to the position of  $NH_a$  and  $NH_b$  protons) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $				<b>C1</b> : R <sub>1</sub> = R <sub>2</sub> = H <b>C2</b> : R <sub>1</sub> = OH, R <sub>2</sub> = H <b>C3</b> : R <sub>1</sub> = H, R <sub>2</sub> = OH		
Chemical shift (ppm) in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>			Chemical shift (ppm) in CDCl <sub>3</sub>			
Receptors	$\delta \mathrm{NH}_a$	$\delta \operatorname{NH}_b$	δОН	$\delta \mathrm{NH}_a$	$\delta \operatorname{NH}_{b}$	δОН
C1	11.53	12.57	х	9.08	12.58	х
C2	11.45	12.93	10.20	DA	12.60	9.17
C3	11.46	12.54	9.59	9.70	12.58	8.59

**Figure S29:** Chemical shift of the  $NH_a$ ,  $NH_b$  and OH resonances for C1-C3 in DMSO- $d_6$  and CDCl<sub>3</sub>. (DA: Do not Appear)



**Figure S30:** Changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of receptor C2 in DMSO- $d_6$  upon sequential addition of D<sub>2</sub>O (absolute intensity).



**Figure S31:** UV-Vis spectra for **C1** ([**C1**] =  $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in presence of different anion (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) as their tetraalkylammonium salt in DMSO as the solvent.



**Figure S32:** a) UV-Vis spectra for **C2** ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in presence of different anions (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) as their tetraalkylammonium salt in DMSO as the solvent; b) Bar diagram representing UV-Vis response of **C2** in presence of different anion at  $\lambda_{max} = 380$  nm.



**Figure S33:** UV-Vis spectra for C3 ([C3] =  $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in presence of different anion (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO as the solvent.



**Figure S34:** Image showing colorimetric response of the three receptors (**C1-C3**) in presence of different anions as their tetraalkylammonium salts in DMSO.



**Figure S35:** a) UV-Vis changes upon gradual addition of fluoride (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) solution in presence of C2 ([C2] = 6.2 x  $10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO; b) Calibration for the titration data of C2 *versus* TBAF in DMSO at  $\lambda_{max} = 380$ nm.



**Figure S36:** Comparative study of UV-Vis spectrum for C1-C3 (6.2 x  $10^{-5}$  M) in presence of a) F<sup>-</sup>, b) CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> and c) CN<sup>-</sup> as their tetraalkylammonium salts (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO.



**Figure S37:** Comparative study of change in absorbance upon addition of different equivalents of TBAF (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) and TBAOH (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) solution to **C2** ([**C2**] = 6.2 x  $10^{-5}$  M) w.r.t absorbance at  $\lambda = 380$  nm in DMSO.



**Figure S38:** Change in the UV-Vis spectra of **C1-C3** (6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M) (a-c) in DMSO solution upon addition of different metal salts (3.8 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M) (NaCl, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, VCl<sub>3</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, SrCl<sub>2</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>) as aqueous solution.



**Figure S39:** Change in the UV-Vis spectra of C1.A<sup>-</sup> ([C1] =  $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M and A = F<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>; [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M, [CN<sup>-</sup>] =  $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M, [CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>] =  $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M) in DMSO solution upon addition of different metal salts (NaCl, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, VCl<sub>3</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, SrCl<sub>2</sub>, BaCl<sub>2</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>) as aqueous solution ( $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M).



**Figure S40:** Change in the UV-Vis spectra of C3.A<sup>-</sup> ([C3] =  $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M and A = F<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>; [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M, [CN<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M, [CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO solution upon addition of different metal salts (NaCl, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, VCl<sub>3</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, SrCl<sub>2</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>) as aqueous solution (3.8 x  $10^{-4}$  M).



**Figure S41:** a) Change in the UV-Vis spectra of **C2.F**<sup>-</sup> ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO solution upon addition of different metal salts (NaCl, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, VCl<sub>3</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, SrCl<sub>2</sub>, BaSO<sub>4</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, PbSO<sub>4</sub>) as aqueous solution; b) Image showing colorimetric response of **C2.F**<sup>-</sup> ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $0.15 \times 10^{-3}$  M) in presence of different metal ions (as their aqueous salt solution) in DMSO.



**Figure S42:** Bar diagram corresponding to the absorbance at 405 nm for C2.F<sup>-</sup> ([C2] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$  M) solution in DMSO solution in presence of different metal ions screened.



**Figure S43:** a) Change in the UV-Vis spectra of **C2** solution ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO upon sequential addition of aqueous NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O ([Ni<sup>2+</sup>] =  $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M) solution and different anions (F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>) as their tetraalkylammonium salt (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO; b) Photograph showing colorimetric change upon sequential addition of various anions as their tetraalkylammonium salt to **C2**:Ni<sup>2+</sup> mixture in DMSO/water; c) Change in the UV-Vis spectra of **C2** solution ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO upon sequential addition of aqueous CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O ([Cu<sup>2+</sup>] =  $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  M) solution and different anions (F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>) as their tetraalkylammonium salt (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO; d) Photograph showing colorimetric change upon sequential addition of various anions as their tetraalkylammonium salt to **C2**:Cu<sup>2+</sup> mixture in DMSO/water.



**Figure S44:** a) Evolution of UV-Vis spectra of **C2** and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [Ni<sup>2+</sup>] =  $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M) mixture in DMSO/water upon gradual addition of F<sup>-</sup> ion ( $50 \times 10^{-3}$ M) in DMSO; b) Evolution of UV-Vis spectra of **C2** and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [Ni<sup>2+</sup>] =  $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M) mixture in DMSO/water upon gradual addition of CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> ion ( $50 \times 10^{-3}$ M) in DMSO.



**Figure S45:** a) Evolution of UV-Vis spectra of **C2** and  $Cu^{2+}$  ([**C2**] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M, [ $Cu^{2+}$ ] = 3.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M) mixture in DMSO/water upon gradual addition of F<sup>-</sup> ion (50 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M) in DMSO; b) Evolution of UV-Vis spectra of **C2** and  $Cu^{2+}$  ([**C2**] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M, [ $Cu^{2+}$ ] = 3.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M)) mixture in DMSO/water upon gradual addition of H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> ion (50 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M) in DMSO.



**Figure S46:** UV-Vis spectra of C2 and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ([C2] = 6.2 x  $10^{-5}$  M, [Cu<sup>2+</sup>] = 3.8 x  $10^{-5}$  M) mixture in DMSO/water upon gradual addition of CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> ion (50 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in DMSO.



**Figure S47:** a) Job's plot for **C2.F**<sup>-</sup> ([**C2**] =  $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M) DMSO/water mixture and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ( $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO; b) Job's plot for **C2.F**<sup>-</sup> ([**C2**] =  $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M) DMSO/water mixture and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ( $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO.



**Figure S48:** Bar graph of anti-interference performance of C2 for  $F^{-}$  in presence of other anion solutions in DMSO.



**Figure S49:** a) Absorption spectrum of **C2** in presence of  $F^-$  in DMSO with the presence of various cations (5 equiv.) and Ni<sup>2+</sup> (5 equiv.) as aqueous salt solutions. The green bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of the indicated metal ions, followed by 5 equiv. of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ion; b) Absorption spectrum of **C2** in presence of  $F^-$  in DMSO with the presence of various cations (5 equiv.) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> (5 equiv.) as aqueous salt solutions. The green bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the green bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of other metal ions (5 equiv.); the blue bars represent the absorption intensity of **C2** in the presence of the indicated metal ions, followed by 5 equiv. of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion.



**Figure S50:** UV-Vis response upon sequential addition of aqueous metal chloride salt solutions  $([Ni^{2+}] = 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \text{ and } [Cu^{2+}] = 3.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  to **C2.F**<sup>-</sup>  $([C2] = 6.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}, [F^{-}] = 1.53 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$  mixture in a) Chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) b) Acetonitrile (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) and c) methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH).



**Figure S51:** a) EPR spectra (100K, DMSO) [black: addition of  $CuCl_2$  (aq) to **C2** solution; red: addition of  $CuCl_2.2H_2O$  (aq) to **C2** solution followed by TBAF in DMSO; b) EPR spectra (100K, DMSO) [black: addition of NiCl\_2 (aq) to **C2** solution; red: addition of NiCl\_2.6H<sub>2</sub>O (aq) to **C2** solution followed by TBAF in DMSO.



**Figure S52:** Titration of C1 (5.62 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-2 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO- $d_6$ , 298 K).



**Figure S53:** Titration of C2 (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-2 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298K)



**Figure S54:** Titration of C3 (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-2 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO- $d_6$ , 298 K).



**Figure S55:** Expanded spectrum (with emphasis on the  $H_g$ ,  $H_e$ ,  $H_d$  and  $H_f$  protons) of titration of **C2** (7.32 x 10<sup>-2</sup> M) with TBAF (0-2 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S56:** Expanded spectrum (with emphasis on  $-OH_c$  proton) of titration of **C2** (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-0.8 eq.)recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO- $d_6$ , 298 K).



**Figure S57:** TBAF (2 x  $10^{-3}$  M) in presence of C2 (1 eq.) recorded by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S58:** Expanded spectrum (with emphasis on the H<sub>c</sub> and H<sub>e</sub> protons) of titration of **C3** (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-2 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S59:** Expanded spectrum (with emphasis on  $-OH_d$  proton) of titration of C2 (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) with TBAF (0-0.8 eq.) recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S60:** Response of C2 (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) (with emphasis on H<sub>g</sub>, H<sub>e</sub>, H<sub>d</sub> and H<sub>f</sub> protons) in presence of F<sup>-</sup>, CN<sup>-</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> recorded by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K).



**Figure S61:** UV-Vis response after sequential addition of H<sub>2</sub>O to the **C2.F**<sup>-</sup> ([**C2**] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [F<sup>-</sup>] =  $1.53 \times 10^{-3}$  M) complex followed by addition of aqueous metal chloride salt ([Ni<sup>2+</sup>] =  $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M and [Cu<sup>2+</sup>] =  $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$  M) solution.



**Figure S62:** UV-Vis spectrum after sequential addition of TBAOH ( $[OH^-] = 1.53 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ ) solution to **C2** ( $[C2] = 6.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ ) in DMSO followed by addition of aqueous metal salt (NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O /CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O) solution.



**Figure S63:** a) Study on effect of pH on fluoride sensing by C2 in presence of aqueous NiCl<sub>2</sub> in HEPES buffer (DMSO:Water = 7:3, pH = 7.2) at  $\lambda_{max} = 415$  nm; b) Study on effect of pH on fluoride sensing by C2 in presence of aqueous CuCl<sub>2</sub> in HEPES buffer (DMSO:Water = 7:3, pH = 7.2) at  $\lambda_{max} = 425$  nm.



**Figure S64:** Cyclic Voltammogram recorded in *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>/DMSO: a) **C2** in DMSO; b) **C2** + TBAF in DMSO; c) **C2** + TBAF + NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O in DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O mixture; d) **C2** + TBAF + CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O in DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O mixture.



Figure S65: Changes in the DPV (vs Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup>) curves w.r.t. the ligand based peak of C2 in presence of *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M) upon sequential addition TBAF solution followed by aqueous metal salt solution to a C2 solution in DMSO. Changes in the curves (Black: C2 solution in DMSO; red: C2 + TBAF in DMSO; blue: C2 + TBAF + NiCl<sub>2</sub> in DMSO/water mixture; pink: C2 + TBAF + NiCl<sub>2</sub> in DMSO/water mixture).



Figure S66: IR spectrum of C2 and [Ni(C2)<sub>2</sub>] collected in ATR mode.



Figure S67: ESI mass spectra of [Ni(C2)<sub>2</sub>].



Figure S68: ESI mass spectra of [Cu(C2)<sub>2</sub>].



**Figure S69:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of *in situ* metal complex formed for C2 (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) in presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions (0.5 equiv.) and F<sup>-</sup> (0.5 equiv.) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> at 298K.



**Figure S70:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of *in situ* metal complex formed for **C2** (7.32 x  $10^{-2}$  M) in presence of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions (0.5 equiv.) and F<sup>-</sup> (0.5 equiv.) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> at 298K.



Figure S71: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the isolated yellow Cu(II) complex in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> at 298K.



**Figure S72:** a) Calibration curve for determining the concentration of fluoride (as NaF in H<sub>2</sub>O) in a sample  $\lambda_{max} = 406$  nm. [**C2**] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M; [Cu<sup>2+</sup>] = 7.5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M; b) Calibration curve for determining the concentration of fluoride (as NaF in H<sub>2</sub>O) in a sample at  $\lambda_{max} = 420$  nm. [**C2**] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M; [Ni<sup>2+</sup>] = 7.5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M.



**Figure S73:** a) UV-Vis spectra of  $(C2 + F + Ni^{2+})$  ([C2] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M, [F<sup>-</sup>] = 1.53 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M,  $[Ni^{2+}] = 7.5 \times 10^{-4} M$ ) showing initial increase in the absorbance at  $\lambda_{max} = 404$  nm upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (upto 10% of total volume); b) UV-Vis spectra of (C2 + F<sup>-</sup>+ Ni<sup>2+</sup>) ([C2] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M, [F<sup>-</sup>] = 1.53 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M, [Ni<sup>2+</sup>] = 7.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M) showing subsequent decrease in the absorbance (represented by dotted lines) at  $\lambda_{max} = 404$  nm after addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (10% onwards).



**Figure S74:** UV-Vis spectra of  $(C2 + F^- + Cu^{2+})$  ([C2] = 6.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M, [F<sup>-</sup>] = 1.53 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M, [Cu<sup>2+</sup>] = 3.8 x 10<sup>-5</sup> M) showing decrease in the absorbance at  $\lambda_{max} = 374$  nm upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O.



**Figure S75:** a) UV-Vis spectra upon sequential addition of groundwater samples (GW) to C2 ([C2] =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in DMSO in presence of aqueous Ni<sup>2+</sup> ([Ni<sup>2+</sup>] =  $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M) ions and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions ([Cu<sup>2+</sup>] =  $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$  M). b) Colour change observed upon addition of 20 µL groundwater samples (GW) to C2 in DMSO in presence of aqueous Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions.

### Table S2: Literature reports on transition metal based fluoride sensor

S.	Metal receptor system	Fluoride	LOD	Solvent used	Reference
No.		Salt		for study	
1	Copper(II) bis(terpyridine) complex	TBAF	5.07 μM	Acetonitrile	<ul> <li>P. K. Kar and co- workers, <i>Photochem.</i></li> <li><i>Photobiol. Sci.</i>, 2018,</li> <li>17, 815-821.</li> </ul>
2	Co(II) Hexacarboxamide Cryptand Complex	TBAF	2-5 ppm	DMF	C. C. Cummins and co- workers, <i>Inorg. Chem.</i> 2017, <b>56</b> , 7615–7619.
3	Zn(II) tripodal complex	TBAF/ NaF	$4.84 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}$	Water	N. Singh and co- workers, <i>Dalton Trans.</i> , 2015, <b>44</b> , 12589-12597.
4	2,4- dihydroxybenzaldoxime complex of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II)	TBAF	4.86 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> M	DMSO	J. B. Baruah and co- workers, <i>RSC Adv.,</i> 2015, <b>5</b> , 82144-82152.
5	Ru(II) complex	TBAF	-	Acetonitrile	G. K. Lahiri and co- workers, <i>Dalton Trans.</i> , 2012, <b>41</b> , 4484-4496.
6	Fe(III) complex	NaF	140 μΜ	DMSO/Water (3:7)	<ul> <li>Z. Shen and co- workers, <i>Tetrahedron</i>,</li> <li>2011, <b>67</b>, 7909-7912.</li> </ul>
7	Co(II) thiazoline based complex	NaF	-	DMF/water (9:1)	CS. Ha and co- workers, <i>Dalton Trans.</i> , 2009, <b>47</b> , 10422-10425.
8	Zr(IV) EDTA flavonol complex	NaF	3 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> M	Water	T. M. Suzuki and co- workers, J. Chem. Soc.,

					Perkin Trans. 2, 2002,
					759–762.
0				THE	
9	Zn(11) I erpyridine–I riaryib	IBAF	-	IHF	M. H. Lee and co-
	orane Conjugates				workers,
					Organometallics, 2014,
					<b>33</b> , 753–762.
10	Ru(II)-bipy based complex	TBAF	1 ppm-10	Acetonitrile	Z. Bai and co-workers,
			ppm		Dalton Trans., 2006,
					<b>30</b> , 3678–3684.
11	amino-naphthoquinone	TBAF	0.006	DMF/water	K. P. Elango and co-
	based Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II),		μM	(3.7)	workers, RSC Adv.,
	and Zn(II) complexes			(3.7)	2016, <b>6</b> , 91265–91274.
12	Cu(I) Schiff base complex	ΤΒΔΕ/	0.12 µM	DMSO/Water	S. P. Mahanta and co-
12	Cu(1) Senin base complex	I DAI 7	$0.12 \mu W$		S. I. Wanana. and Co-
		NaF			workers, <i>New J. Chem.</i> ,
					2018, <b>42</b> , 3758-3764.
13	Cu(I) DPQ complex	TBAF/	0.15 ppm	DMSO/Water	S. P. Mahanta.and co-
		NaF			workers, New J. Chem.,
		1 tul			2019, <b>43</b> , 3447-3453
14	Al(III) Schiff base complex	TBAF/	2 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>	Water	P. Mal and co-workers,
		VE	М		Dalton Trans 2021
		КГ			Daiton Trans., 2021,
					50, 3027-3036
15	This work	TBAF/	1.07 ppm	DMSO/Water	
		NaF			

**Theoretical Studies:** 



Figure S76: DFT optimized structure of a) C1, b) C2, c) C3.



Figure S77: HOMO and LUMO of C1, C2 and C3.



Figure S78: Structures for interaction of F<sup>-</sup> with a) C1, b) C2 and c) C3



Figure S79: UV-Vis spectrum of C2.F<sup>-</sup> complex simulated from the TD- DFT calculation.

395.96	0
355.26	0
345.92	0
333.61	0
326.3	0.0002
290.82	0
284.03	0
279.83	0
277.93	0.4567
267.41	0
265.6	0
259.29	0.09
254.09	0
249.28	0.6141
246.02	0.0846
245.55	0
239.13	0.0403
233.83	0
230.91	0
222.99	0.0531
213.4	0.0844
211.07	0
209.21	0.0124
207.6	0
204.23	0.1537

**Table S3:** TD f –values of the obtained UV-Vis spectrum for C2.F<sup>-</sup> complex.



Figure S80: DFT optimized structure of a)  $[Ni(C2)_2]$  and b)  $[Cu(C2)_2]$  complex.



Figure S81: a) HOMO and b) LUMO of  $[Ni(C2)_2]$  complex



Figure S82: a) HOMO and b) LUMO of [Cu(C2)2] complex



Figure S83: UV-Vis spectrum of a)  $[Ni(C2)_2]$  and b)  $[Cu(C2)_2]$  complex simulated from the TD-DFT calculation

716.67	0.0008
686.64	0.0017
642.72	0.002
610.41	0.0186
589.84	0.0002
588.32	0.0012
565.22	0.0011
562.08	0.0002
557.99	0.007
549.41	0.0015
547.57	0.0004
526.71	0.0013
499.89	0.1401
481.3	0.0054
474.69	0.0006
471.64	0.0048

467.79	0.0023
450.87	0.012
447.62	0.0042
445.4	0.0076
441.6	0.0089
439.88	0.0003
439.54	0.0001
432.61	0.0011
429.2	0.0056
424.29	0.0076
415.56	0.0217
414.71	0.0026
407.51	0.003
405.27	0.0005
397.46	0.0219
395.47	0.0309
392.64	0.004
388.57	0.0418
378.09	0.0034
375.58	0.028
372.69	0.022
371.77	0.0026
369.37	0.1499
366.63	0.0006
365.55	0.0017
360.86	0.0742
359.2	0.0037
358.33	0.0455

Table S4:TD f –values of the obtained UV-Vis spectrum for  $[Ni(C2)_2]$ 

671.97	0.0001
505.85	0.0231
469.63	0.0014
449.48	0.1634
361.49	0.0235
360.72	0.0003
354.92	0.0031
354.51	0.0743
348.87	0.0001
346.18	0.0069
338.52	0.0159
332.68	0.009
328.3	0.0013

315.59	0.0841
315.43	0.0915
302.04	0.1404
300.72	0.0627
300.56	0.0018
296.36	0.0091
295.74	0.0123
293.57	0.0025
291.48	0.0453
289.31	0.0058
288.13	0.0081
287.81	0.0609
283.54	0.0297
282.11	0.0196
278.39	0.1647
274.89	0.0612
274.6	0.0216
273.26	0.003
268.35	0.0223
267.61	0.0041
266.56	0.0696
265.76	0.0068
263.19	0.0266
261.18	0.0793
260.28	0.0457
258	0.0297
254.75	0.0167
254.65	0.009
250.64	0.0708

Table S5:TD f –values of the obtained UV-Vis spectrum for  $[Cu(C2)_2]$ 

Z-matrix of the Ligand C1



С	-5.767244000	-0.274963000	0.090635000
С	-5.036419000	0.852362000	0.496190000
С	-3.636432000	0.833208000	0.458242000
С	-2.960970000	-0.319169000	0.013103000
С	-3.696043000	-1.453777000	-0.374229000
С	-5.094704000	-1.429349000	-0.340979000
С	-1.471789000	-0.414705000	-0.043540000
N	-0.778611000	0.780040000	-0.128689000
С	0.621015000	1.010659000	-0.117941000
N	1.367259000	-0.111822000	-0.052440000
С	2.774446000	-0.309205000	0.007973000
С	3.743613000	0.705087000	-0.077599000
С	5.103271000	0.363586000	-0.007706000
С	5.511030000	-0.967799000	0.143728000
С	4.538320000	-1.977837000	0.226129000
С	3.181757000	-1.652431000	0.159013000
0	-0.882343000	-1.526699000	-0.030479000
S	1.109633000	2.644895000	-0.185242000
Η	-6.851349000	-0.256351000	0.119757000
Н	-5.552981000	1.738004000	0.848538000
Н	-3.094016000	1.704034000	0.814860000
Н	-3.159073000	-2.340288000	-0.692459000
Н	-5.657356000	-2.304305000	-0.646581000
Н	-1.301568000	1.640501000	-0.229774000
Η	0.816435000	-0.978943000	-0.035345000
Η	3.446316000	1.737031000	-0.195652000
Η	5.844984000	1.152532000	-0.073543000
Н	6.565288000	-1.216172000	0.197569000

Н 4.834701000 -3.014503000 0.344227000

Н 2.433598000 -2.437806000 0.225892000

Z-matrix of the Ligand C2



С	3.091787000	-0.268864000	-0.009935000
С	3.807712000	-1.412493000	0.386130000
Η	3.254867000	-2.285466000	0.714610000
С	5.206529000	-1.414615000	0.347189000
Η	5.754092000	-2.296865000	0.659433000
С	5.898933000	-0.277411000	-0.098648000
Η	6.983117000	-0.279407000	-0.132172000
С	5.187197000	0.858822000	-0.512740000
Η	5.718389000	1.731396000	-0.875989000
С	3.786922000	0.865757000	-0.469268000
Η	3.258790000	1.742582000	-0.832455000
С	1.599177000	-0.338926000	0.051805000
С	-0.456433000	1.140216000	0.125920000
С	-2.661825000	-0.055650000	0.002700000
С	-3.593123000	0.992862000	0.035038000
Η	-3.248036000	2.013412000	0.111206000
С	-4.968910000	0.714976000	-0.030874000
Η	-5.673712000	1.538194000	-0.005373000
С	-5.430822000	-0.601544000	-0.128212000
Η	-6.493517000	-0.809904000	-0.180321000
С	-4.508999000	-1.660463000	-0.159078000
Н	-4.852784000	-2.688467000	-0.234323000

С	-3.144256000	-1.382849000	-0.093310000
Η	-2.503409000	-3.269869000	-0.185588000
Η	1.476073000	1.720523000	0.236368000
Η	-0.766528000	-0.860863000	0.035197000
N	0.932237000	0.873102000	0.134865000
Ν	-1.248877000	0.050862000	0.056482000
0	-2.159675000	-2.365181000	-0.116037000
0	0.988800000	-1.434463000	0.045115000
S	-0.887950000	2.794702000	0.202316000

## Z-matrix of the Ligand C3



С	3.316521000	-0.272441000	-0.013162000
С	3.943287000	0.904745000	-0.464467000
Η	3.364495000	1.750675000	-0.823822000
С	5.341206000	0.981169000	-0.504959000
Η	5.820670000	1.885446000	-0.862385000
С	6.118106000	-0.113545000	-0.095692000
Η	7.200474000	-0.050312000	-0.126593000
С	5.494288000	-1.292670000	0.342161000
Η	6.093081000	-2.142193000	0.650888000
С	4.097787000	-1.374515000	0.377661000
Η	3.598125000	-2.280901000	0.700682000
С	1.832389000	-0.426798000	0.045314000
С	-0.312853000	0.919950000	0.122326000
С	-2.411883000	-0.483371000	-0.014704000
С	-3.409758000	0.497645000	0.042298000

Η	-3.176998000	1.547688000	0.140679000
С	-4.753202000	0.100550000	-0.029850000
С	-5.119963000	-1.245827000	-0.156005000
Η	-6.165443000	-1.536045000	-0.211917000
С	-4.106864000	-2.215669000	-0.210121000
Η	-4.370942000	-3.262694000	-0.308969000
С	-2.763920000	-1.847722000	-0.140883000
Η	-1.988408000	-2.606095000	-0.185875000
N	1.094261000	0.740561000	0.134085000
N	-1.016009000	-0.231554000	0.048685000
0	1.286604000	-1.560719000	0.030051000
0	-5.691713000	1.123938000	0.032451000
S	-0.863749000	2.531133000	0.199766000
Η	-6.605664000	0.796027000	-0.018921000
Η	1.585245000	1.619180000	0.239995000
Η	-0.432376000	-1.076710000	0.031075000

Z-matrix of the Ligand C1.F



С	-5.741675000	-0.414017000	-0.013436000
С	-4.982123000	0.757740000	0.127165000
С	-3.583197000	0.700945000	0.104802000
С	-2.939016000	-0.542434000	-0.060218000
С	-3.703540000	-1.716423000	-0.199100000
С	-5.100246000	-1.652499000	-0.176505000
С	-1.458224000	-0.687432000	-0.090254000

Ν	-0.718118000	0.483307000	-0.009257000
С	0.646243000	0.594031000	0.014475000
N	1.403530000	-0.506063000	-0.086262000
С	2.837277000	-0.556578000	-0.064903000
С	3.602514000	0.210141000	-0.956364000
С	4.999823000	0.112155000	-0.920973000
С	5.626996000	-0.759029000	-0.017024000
С	4.851840000	-1.536299000	0.857302000
С	3.454497000	-1.433686000	0.838951000
0	-0.892512000	-1.809457000	-0.181813000
S	1.285167000	2.222825000	0.215971000
Н	-6.825148000	-0.361853000	0.005232000
Η	-5.475803000	1.714244000	0.255508000
Η	-3.028157000	1.626665000	0.222059000
Η	-3.188097000	-2.662356000	-0.322528000
Η	-5.684922000	-2.559237000	-0.284471000
Η	-1.148215000	1.445343000	0.080866000
Η	0.874920000	-1.385978000	-0.149681000
Η	3.116298000	0.864613000	-1.670887000
Η	5.594924000	0.708635000	-1.603313000
Η	6.708551000	-0.834651000	0.003308000
Н	5.331399000	-2.212790000	1.555899000
Н	2.847308000	-2.018489000	1.521941000
F	-0.934504000	2.920656000	0.265572000

## Z-matrix of the Ligand C2.F



С	-3.270912000	-0.318288000	0.010571000
С	-3.851746000	-1.542321000	-0.367389000
Η	-3.203804000	-2.352152000	-0.683738000
С	-5.241132000	-1.701267000	-0.326272000
Η	-5.685794000	-2.644136000	-0.623680000
С	-6.057136000	-0.642492000	0.103028000
Η	-7.133966000	-0.767027000	0.138538000
С	-5.479491000	0.573676000	0.499296000
Η	-6.107120000	1.385056000	0.850094000
С	-4.089613000	0.738393000	0.453744000
Η	-3.665648000	1.674914000	0.805046000
С	-1.783537000	-0.222192000	-0.054813000
С	0.093983000	1.472669000	-0.122168000
С	2.395264000	0.495969000	-0.007023000
С	3.229756000	1.621453000	0.009815000
Η	2.806126000	2.613743000	-0.027325000
С	4.620511000	1.448831000	0.074077000
Η	5.253534000	2.329528000	0.087340000
С	5.221993000	0.158650000	0.122260000
Η	6.300943000	0.071083000	0.172654000
С	4.433069000	-0.971728000	0.105143000
Η	4.846410000	-1.974080000	0.139639000
С	2.996209000	-0.843734000	0.039134000

Η	2.908353000	-3.289799000	0.063008000
Η	-1.887795000	1.842887000	-0.224173000
Η	0.619318000	-0.503755000	-0.055569000
N	-1.249900000	1.063112000	-0.129605000
N	1.005024000	0.459711000	-0.060507000
0	2.213942000	-1.870015000	0.019050000
0	-1.049262000	-1.235737000	-0.060148000
S	0.380612000	3.150067000	-0.192460000
F	3.550336000	-4.022976000	0.095631000

Z-matrix of the Ligand C3.F



С	3.289230000	0.135483000	-0.149639000
С	3.781376000	-1.167605000	-0.362564000
Η	3.104057000	-2.011641000	-0.460184000
С	5.158342000	-1.373389000	-0.504312000
Η	5.539284000	-2.373322000	-0.677154000
С	6.042739000	-0.284491000	-0.436115000
Η	7.109172000	-0.447961000	-0.546709000
С	5.549733000	1.015351000	-0.238252000
Η	6.234103000	1.854690000	-0.192895000
С	4.174047000	1.229082000	-0.101186000
Η	3.772652000	2.225353000	0.045915000
С	1.836783000	0.410535000	0.000549000
С	-0.330735000	-0.846912000	0.462783000
С	-2.492014000	0.376493000	0.050430000
С	-3.385170000	-0.687135000	-0.128745000

Η	-3.071948000	-1.719030000	-0.071318000
С	-4.734203000	-0.399247000	-0.381833000
С	-5.205669000	0.918086000	-0.454695000
Η	-6.254612000	1.124173000	-0.648885000
С	-4.295014000	1.970526000	-0.271219000
Η	-4.643131000	2.995888000	-0.326262000
С	-2.947936000	1.713088000	-0.021950000
Η	-2.247804000	2.531033000	0.113377000
N	1.075912000	-0.577903000	0.554698000
N	-1.099123000	0.238686000	0.298628000
0	1.380469000	1.532835000	-0.409740000
0	-5.567161000	-1.499018000	-0.552696000
S	-0.752172000	-2.493456000	0.574037000
Η	-6.491078000	-1.245772000	-0.718981000
Η	1.574083000	-1.378441000	0.928085000
Η	-0.629722000	1.141728000	0.446065000
F	0.338516000	2.360379000	1.096783000

## Z-matrix of the Ligand [Ni(C2)2]



С	6.452574000	-1.721063000	0.477097000
С	7.766549000	-1.903639000	0.009873000
Н	8.072354000	-1.402564000	-0.901724000
С	8.656071000	-2.717091000	0.720014000
Н	9.665990000	-2.861339000	0.353171000

С	8.241524000	-3.342451000	1.906728000
Η	8.931514000	-3.970602000	2.459555000
С	6.937320000	-3.145646000	2.386346000
Η	6.622344000	-3.610910000	3.313377000
С	6.042074000	-2.337379000	1.674864000
Η	5.052609000	-2.166866000	2.089449000
С	5.557075000	-0.840257000	-0.325816000
С	3.138025000	-0.298801000	-0.726120000
С	2.604763000	1.636532000	-2.195587000
С	2.913383000	1.966055000	-3.523759000
Η	3.753979000	1.485585000	-4.016658000
С	2.130442000	2.902737000	-4.206059000
Η	2.360329000	3.156829000	-5.234677000
С	1.039013000	3.503373000	-3.548079000
Η	0.422623000	4.224059000	-4.076145000
С	0.740216000	3.183092000	-2.221507000
Η	-0.100681000	3.622814000	-1.697051000
С	1.530085000	2.250848000	-1.510573000
Η	3.874063000	-1.800848000	0.417881000
Η	4.476997000	0.861042000	-1.639139000
N	4.189672000	-1.033111000	-0.160879000
N	3.467797000	0.723965000	-1.505677000
0	1.314001000	1.956969000	-0.206300000
0	6.004974000	0.022124000	-1.119815000
S	1.562777000	-0.977243000	-0.371040000
С	-6.452584000	-1.721039000	-0.477151000
С	-7.766583000	-1.903592000	-0.009983000
Η	-8.072393000	-1.402573000	0.901642000
С	-8.656116000	-2.716953000	-0.720215000
Η	-9.666054000	-2.861184000	-0.353417000
С	-8.241555000	-3.342245000	-1.906961000
Н	-8.931554000	-3.970326000	-2.459857000

С	-6.937326000	-3.145464000	-2.386519000
Η	-6.622339000	-3.610676000	-3.313572000
С	-6.042070000	-2.337287000	-1.674949000
Η	-5.052585000	-2.166788000	-2.089488000
С	-5.557066000	-0.840334000	0.325849000
С	-3.138017000	-0.298893000	0.726135000
С	-2.604725000	1.636338000	2.195730000
С	-2.913292000	1.965829000	3.523923000
Η	-3.753841000	1.485311000	4.016856000
С	-2.130363000	2.902536000	4.206201000
Η	-2.360211000	3.156597000	5.234835000
С	-1.038993000	3.503236000	3.548178000
Η	-0.422607000	4.223937000	4.076228000
С	-0.740251000	3.183000000	2.221583000
Η	0.100601000	3.622768000	1.697095000
С	-1.530116000	2.250737000	1.510665000
Η	-3.874059000	-1.800900000	-0.417905000
Η	-4.476961000	0.860793000	1.639342000
Ν	-4.189665000	-1.033143000	0.160828000
Ν	-3.467757000	0.723759000	1.505845000
0	-1.314106000	1.956913000	0.206368000
0	-6.004943000	0.021962000	1.119954000
S	-1.562759000	-0.977291000	0.370902000
Ni	-0.000018000	0.686831000	0.000017000

# Z-matrix of the Ligand [Cu(C2)2]



С	-5.969826000	-2.213077000	-0.585624000
С	-7.239526000	-1.994017000	-1.149620000
Н	-7.676640000	-1.003889000	-1.085628000
С	-7.918307000	-3.043479000	-1.778446000
Η	-8.893214000	-2.868764000	-2.219157000
С	-7.337516000	-4.320240000	-1.838377000
Н	-7.863513000	-5.134323000	-2.325096000
С	-6.079247000	-4.546921000	-1.259528000
Н	-5.636588000	-5.536024000	-1.289320000
С	-5.394756000	-3.497713000	-0.634147000
Н	-4.439978000	-3.709883000	-0.161559000
С	-5.300799000	-1.050125000	0.065398000
С	-3.063069000	-0.211301000	0.828327000
С	-2.910569000	2.005973000	1.912524000
С	-3.397569000	2.489435000	3.135615000
Η	-4.242157000	1.996611000	3.608613000
С	-2.785163000	3.590422000	3.742601000
Η	-3.155051000	3.965297000	4.690260000
С	-1.678998000	4.197575000	3.115607000
Η	-1.190383000	5.044155000	3.587568000
С	-1.202716000	3.723550000	1.891652000
Н	-0.344888000	4.169924000	1.400981000

С	-1.824150000	2.627267000	1.247516000
Н	-3.431117000	-1.922170000	-0.186424000
Н	-4.609312000	1.015717000	1.139047000
N	-3.919685000	-1.131466000	0.212873000
N	-3.598043000	0.914228000	1.283991000
0	-1.427382000	2.189208000	0.035063000
0	-5.939282000	-0.040765000	0.448506000
S	-1.404154000	-0.757658000	0.974849000
С	5.969719000	-2.213246000	0.585556000
С	7.239375000	-1.994255000	1.149670000
Н	7.676547000	-1.004149000	1.085721000
С	7.918029000	-3.043760000	1.778559000
Н	8.892904000	-2.869108000	2.219367000
С	7.337157000	-4.320488000	1.838435000
Н	7.863061000	-5.134602000	2.325201000
С	6.078931000	-4.547095000	1.259464000
Н	5.636215000	-5.536174000	1.289217000
С	5.394566000	-3.497845000	0.634017000
Н	4.439811000	-3.709927000	0.161344000
С	5.300784000	-1.050293000	-0.065547000
С	3.063057000	-0.211367000	-0.828433000
С	2.910700000	2.005964000	-1.912512000
С	3.397821000	2.489572000	-3.135497000
Η	4.242415000	1.996758000	-3.608497000
С	2.785520000	3.590674000	-3.742374000
Η	3.155494000	3.965663000	-4.689954000
С	1.679357000	4.197809000	-3.115356000
Η	1.190828000	5.044492000	-3.587222000
С	1.202980000	3.723641000	-1.891495000
Η	0.345174000	4.170014000	-1.400788000
С	1.824302000	2.627225000	-1.247471000
Η	3.431093000	-1.922290000	0.186279000

Η	4.609381000	1.015529000	-1.139211000
N	3.919656000	-1.131578000	-0.213017000
N	3.598097000	0.914132000	-1.284084000
0	1.427455000	2.189099000	-0.035072000
0	5.939340000	-0.041006000	-0.448714000
S	1.404105000	-0.757648000	-0.974926000
Cu	-0.000036000	0.958594000	0.000027000