## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Sulfur Doped FeO<sub>x</sub> Nanosheet Arrays Supported on Nickel Foam

## for Efficient Alkaline Seawater Splitting

Weiju Hao<sup>a</sup>, jinli Fan<sup>a</sup>, Xia Xu<sup>a\*</sup>, Yiran Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Haiyang Lv<sup>a</sup>, Shige Wang<sup>a</sup>, Shengwei Deng<sup>c</sup>, Shuo Weng<sup>a\*</sup>, Yanhui Guo<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200093, China.

<sup>b</sup>Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou,

310014, China

\* Corresponding authors.

E-mail: ecustwengs@163.com

gyh@fudan.edu.cn



Figure S1. The loading amount of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> catalyst material on NF at 3 h, 6 h and 12 h, respectively.



**Figure S2.** FESEM images of the  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF composite with hydrothermal for (a) 3 h, (b) 6 h and (c) 12 h.



Figure S3. LSV curves of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF for HER (a) and OER (b) in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M KOH with different hydrothermal time.



Figure S4. The influence of the amount of thiourea doping on the morphology. (a) Without S; (b) Fe:S=1:1; (c)Fe:S=1:2.



Figure S5. The influence of the amount of thiourea doping on the composition of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF. (a) Fe:S=1:1; (b) Fe:S=1:2.



**Figure S6**. Polarization curves of  $FeO_x$ -Ni@NF for HER (a) and OER (b) in 1.0 M KOH with different sulfur source doping amount.



Figure S7. FESEM (a-d) and EDS (e) mapping images of  $FeO_x$ -Ni@NF electrode.



Figure S8. Nitrogen isotherms of the  $FeO_x$ -Ni@NF and  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrodes in nitrogen.



Figure S9. The survey XPS spectrum of the  $FeO_x$ -Ni@NF and  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF composite.



Figure S10. Cyclic voltammograms of (a)  $FeO_x$ -Ni@NF and (b)  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode in the non-Faradaic current range at scan rates of 10, 30, 50, 70 and 90 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for HER.



Figure S11. The LSV curves of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode before and after 1000 CV cycles for HER.



**Figure S12.** Cyclic voltammograms of (a)  $\text{FeO}_x$ -Ni@NF and (b)  $\text{FeO}_x$ -Ni\_3S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode in the non-Faradaic current range at scan rates of 30, 50, 70, 90 and 110 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for OER.



**FigureS13.** The LSV curves of FeO<sub>x</sub>-Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode before and after 1000 CV cycles for OER.



Figure S14. Collection of hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) in water splitting in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M NaCl at the 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Figure S15. Amount of gas theoretically calculated and experimentally measured versus time for  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF ||  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF.



Figure S16. Long-term stability test of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode at current densities of 500 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, 1000 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 500 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for overall water splitting in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M NaCl over 72 h.



Figure S17. The SEM of FeO<sub>x</sub>-Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode for HER (a, b) and OER (c, d) at 200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M NaCl after 100 h.



Figure S18. The XRD of FeO<sub>x</sub>-Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode for HER and OER at 200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M NaCl after 100 h.



Figure S19. High-resolution XPS of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrodes for HER and OER at 200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1.0 M KOH+0.5 M NaCl after 100 h. (a) Fe2p; (b) Ni2p; (c) O1s and (d) S1s.

Catalyst	State	Atomic Ratio Fe : S
FeO <sub>x</sub> -Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @NF		1:4.9
	Post-HER	1:4.1
	Post-OER	1:3.4

Table S1. ICP-AES analysis of the  $FeO_x\text{-}Ni_3S_2@NF$  electrode

Catalysts	j (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )		Taf slope	References
	10	100	(mV dec <sup>-1)</sup>	
FeO <sub>x</sub> -Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @NF	52	178	57	This Work
Ni Foam/P-CoMoO <sub>4</sub> -	94	197	93	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1903674
350				
H-MoS2/MoP	92	-	59.8	Small <b>2020</b> , 16, 2002482
NiO/Ru@PNS	39	-	75	J. Mater. chem. A, 2019, 7, 2344-
				2350
H-NiFe LDH	59	-	62.3	Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, 12, 572-
				581
Pt/np-Co <sub>0.85</sub> Se	55	-	35	Nature Communications, 2019, 10,
				1743
MoS <sub>2</sub> -MoP/NC	35	69	30	Nano Energy, <b>2020</b> ,78, 105253
Co, Mo <sub>2</sub> C-CNF	128	-	60	Chemical Engineering Journal 2020,
				125481
MoP/0.5CM-CDs1100	70	-	77.49	Nano Energy <b>2020</b> , 72, 104730
CC@N-CoP	42	-	41.2	Adv. Mater. 2018, 1800140
Mo2N /CeO2@NF	26	-	37.8	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020,
				12, 26, 29153-29161
b-S-Ni <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>4</sub> Se-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	89	-	61	Nano Energy <b>2020</b> , 74, 104787
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-Ni <sub>12</sub> P <sub>5</sub> / NF	76	147	68	Small <b>2020</b> , 06770
VS <sub>2</sub> -Mo-10	243	-	52.6	Chemical Engineering Journal 2020,
				396 125227
$Re_{1-x}Mo_xSe_2$	77	-	42	ACS Nano 2020, 14, 9, 11995–12005
$Mo_6Te_6/MoS_{2(1-x)} Te_{2x}$	320	-	55.7	Small <b>2020</b> , 2004296
N-LDH/2D-Pt	31	-	32.3	ACS Nano 2020, 14, 8, 10578–10588
Ru SAs–Ni <sub>2</sub> P	57	-	75	Nano Energy <b>2020</b> ,105467
Fe-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @FeNi <sub>3</sub>	105	-	69	Chemical Engineering Journal 2020,
				396, 125315
(Ni <sub>0.75</sub> Fe <sub>0.25</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P@GCs	83	-	70.4	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019,7, 20357-
				20368
CoNi/CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /NF	82	189	45	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018,6, 19221-
				19230
NiO/Ru@PNS	39	-	75	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019,7, 2344-
				2350

**Table S2.** Comparison the HER performance of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode with otherelectrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH.

Catalysts	OER ( 10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Tafel Slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	References
FeO <sub>x</sub> - Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @NF	196	62	This work
CoNi-OH	270	73.5	Chem. Eng. J. <b>2020</b> , 401,126092
Ru-HPC	310	60.7	Nano Energy 2019, 58, 1-10
FeNi <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	214	42	ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5431-5441
NiFeMo	238	35	ACS Energy Lett. <b>2018</b> , 3, 546- 554
NiCoP@NC NA/NF	215	70.5	Adv. Funct. Mater. <b>2019</b> , 29, 1906316
δ-FeOOH NSs/NF	265	36	Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1803144
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-VP <sub>2</sub>	220	49	Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1901174
Ni/Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> @N F	270	53	Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 1906915
Ni-Fe-Mo/NF	255	35	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1902034
Ni-ZIF/Ni- B@NF	234	76	Adv. Energy Mater. <b>2020</b> , 10, 1902714
Ni/FeOOH@N F	239	70.5	J. Mater. Chem. A, <b>2020</b> , 8, 12603–12612
YP-Co(OH)F	238	67	Small 2019, 15, 1904105
Co6W6C@NC	286	53.96	Small 2020, 16, 1907556
Ni-Ni3C/CC	299	43.8	Small <b>2020</b> , 16, 2001642
FexNi <sub>3-</sub> <sub>x</sub> S2@NF	252	64	Adv. Energy Mater. <b>2020</b> , 10, 2001963
NiFe LDH	187	34.42	Energy Environ. Sci., <b>2019</b> , 12, 572-581
2D NiCoFe/NF	240	58	Nanoscale, <b>2018</b> , 10, 12975– 12980

**Table S3.** Comparison the OER performance of  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF electrode with otherelectrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH.

Catalysts	Curre	nt Density	References
	(mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )		
	10	100	
FeO <sub>x</sub> -Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @NF	1.41	1.61	This Work
CoNi/CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /Ni	1.57	1.75	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6,19221
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /Ni	1.54		J. Am. Chem. Soc. <b>2019</b> , 141, 10417–10430
NiFeMo/NF	1.45		ACS Energy Lett. 2018, 3, 546-554
FePO <sub>4</sub> /NF	1.54	1.72	Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1704574
Ni-NiOH <sub>2</sub> /NF	1.59		Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 1906915
NiFeMoOOH/NF	1.5	1.63	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1902034
Ni-ZIF/Ni-B@NF	1.54		Adv. Energy Mater. 2020, 10, 1902714
NiP <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>2</sub> P@Ni	1.54		J. Am. Chem. Soc. <b>2019</b> , 141, 10417–10430
YP-Co(OH)F	1.54		Small <b>2019</b> , 15, 1904105
Co <sub>6</sub> W <sub>6</sub> C@NC	1.585		Small <b>2020</b> , 16, 1907556
Ni–Ni <sub>3</sub> C/CC	1.64		Small <b>2020</b> , 16, 2001642
Ni/Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /NF	1.59		Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 1906915
NiFe-Mo/OOH@NF	1.5	1.63	Adv. Sci. 2020, 7, 1902034
h-NiS <sub>x</sub> /NF	1.54	1.72	Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1704574
δ-FeOOH NSs/NF	1.62		Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1803144
NixCo <sub>2-x</sub> P@NC	1.56		Adv. Funct. Mater. 2019, 29, 1906316
Fe-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	1.54		ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5431-5441
Ni3N-VN/NF	1.51		Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1901174
Ni/Mo <sub>2</sub> C(1:2)-NCNFs	1.64		Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1803185

**Table S4.** The overall-water splitting performance for  $FeO_x$ -Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@NF and other electrodes with NF-base electrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH.