Supplementary Information

Amino acid-derived bisphenolate palladium

complexes as C-C coupling catalysts

Eszter Fazekas, David T. Jenkins, Andrew A. Forbes, Brendan Gallagher, Georgina M. Rosair, Ruaraidh D. McIntosh^{*}

Institute of Chemical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, EH14 4AS (UK)

Contents

- 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of pro-ligands L1-L6
- 2. ESI High Resolution Mass Spectrometry data of pro-ligands L1-L6
- 3. X-ray structures of pro-ligands L1-L6
- 4. Data for the racemisation studies of pro-ligands L2, L3, L5 and L6
- 5. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of Pd complexes C1-C7
- 6. ESI High Resolution Mass Spectrometry data of Pd complexes C1-C7
- 7. Typical ¹H NMR spectra of Suzuki-Miyaura and Mizoroki-Heck crude reaction mixtures
- 8. Crystallographic data and refinement details for ligands L1-L6 and complexes C1-C6
- 9. References

1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of pro-ligands L1-L6







Figure S4. ¹³C NMR spectrum of L2 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S6. ¹³C NMR spectrum of L3 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).

L3

S4



Figure S8. 13 C NMR spectrum of L4 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S10. 13 C NMR spectrum of L5 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S12. ¹³C NMR spectrum of L6 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).

2. ESI High Resolution Mass Spectrometry data of pro-ligands L1-L6

 Qual Browser - [Simulated mass list]

 Image: Second secon

Figure S13. HRMS data of L1.

L2

<u>L1</u>

Qual Browser - alanjune2019_190613091616, soton_zw_163c7_190613110041 - [Simulated mass list]
The Edk View Display Grid Actions Tools Window Help S MURRET IIII A SUBBRE THE SUBBRE TO SUBBRE SUB 2.0 - 88 Elemental composition search on mass 555.42 BP 🗋 😨 📩 🏦 HS 1/2= 550.42-560.42 m/z Theo. Mass Delta RDB Composition (ppm) equiv. 555.42310 555.42714 -4.04 10.0 C₃₄ H₅₄ N₅ 2³Na₁ 555.42821 -5.11 8.0 C₃₅ H₅₇ O₄N₁ -5 39 9.5 C₃₆ H₅₆ O₁N₂ 2³Na -5 -39 9.5 C₃₆ H₅₆ O₁N₂ 2³Na nental composition m/z= 550.42-560.42 Single mass Composition Mass: 555.4231 -Max results 20 - Calculate Idx Formula RDB Delta ppm -5.39 9.5 C₃₆H₅₆O₁N₂²³Na₁ 7.19 10.0 C₃₅H₅₄O₁N₃²³Na₁ 7.46 8.5 C₃₄H₅₅O₄N₂ 555.41591 555.41564



L3



Figure S15. HRMS data of L3.



Figure S16. HRMS data of L4



Figure S17. HRMS data of L5.

L6

L5



Figure S18. HRMS data of L6.

3. X-ray structures of pro-ligands L1-L6



Figure S19. X-ray structures of L1, L2 and L3 (from left to right).



Figure S20. X-ray structures of L4, L5 and L6 (from left to right).

4. Data for the racemisation studies of chiral pro-ligands



Figure S21. Comparison of the methylene resonances (4.08 ppm) in the ¹H NMR spectra of racemic (top) and enantiopure (bottom) pro-ligand **L2** in the presence of 5 equivalents of (R)-(–)-1-(9-anthryl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol shift reagent (300 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S22. HPLC chromatogram of racemic pro-ligand **L5** on CHIRALPAK IA column, hexane:2-propanol 95:5, flow rate: 1.0 mL min⁻¹, detection UV 215 nm, 298 K) t_R of isomers: 5.52 min and 6.16 min.



Figure S23. HPLC chromatogram of (L)-**L5** on CHIRALPAK IA column, hexane:2-propanol 95:5, flow rate: 1.0 mL min⁻¹, detection UV 215 nm, 298 K) t_R of major isomer: 5.87 min, t_R of minor isomer: 6.72 min.

5. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of Pd complexes C1-C7



Figure S25. ¹³C NMR spectrum of C1 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S26. ¹H NMR spectrum of C2 (300 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S27. ¹³C NMR spectrum of C2 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S29. $^{\rm 13}C$ NMR spectrum of C3 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S31. 13 C NMR spectrum of C4 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S33. ¹³C NMR spectrum of C5 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S35. ¹³C NMR spectrum of C6 (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).



Figure S37. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **C7** (75 MHz, CDCl₃ at 298 K).

6. ESI High Resolution Mass Spectrometry data of Pd complexes C1-C7

C1



Figure S38. HRMS data of C1.

C2



Figure S39. HRMS data of C2.

С3



Figure S40. HRMS data of C3.

C4

s [3	Cat view Depa	ing ing		₩ndow Hep	178 (MA) (X)	• • •		F INCLES &		
e Di Eleme	mtal composition			Elemental of	composition	search o	n nass 7	722.33		
Single mass Mass: 722.3348				n/z	m/z Theo. Mass Delta RDB Composition (ppm) equiv.					
Mag	; results 10 🛨		Çakculate	722.33480	722.33711 722.33817	-2.31	9.5 13.0	C ₃₈ H ₅₉ O ₄ N ₁ 23Na ₁ 106Pd ₁ C ₃₈ H ₅₆ O ₃ N ₄ 106Pd ₁		
ldx	Formula	RD6	Delta ppm		722.33844	-3.64	14.5	C39 H55 N5 23Na1 106 Pd1		
1	C38 H89 O4	9.5	-3.192		722.33951	-4.71	12.5	Can Hea Oa Ni 106 pdi		
2	Cas Hos Ca	13.0	-4.864					040 m30 04 m 1 m 01		



C5



Figure S42. HRMS data of C5.

C6



Figure S43. HRMS data of C6.

C7

	le I	Edit View Displa	w Grid	Actions Tools V	Window Help	17a (m. 1.1.1)	• • •		F 202200 9	
39) E	Di	ntal composition			Elemental of m/z= 740.4	composition 1-750.41	search o	n mass 7	745.41	
-9	ingle M	ass: 745.4140	•		n/z	Theo. Mass	Delta (ppm)	RDB equiv.	Composition	
	Mag	; results 10 🛨		Çalculate	745.41400	745.41329	0.71	10.0	C41 H66 O1 N3 23Na1 106Pd1 Can H67 O4N2 106Pd1	
	ldx	Formula	PD6	Delta ppm						
	1	C41 H66 O1	10.0	0.959						
	2	C40 M67 O4	8.5	1.327						

Figure S44. HRMS data of C7.

7. Typical ¹H NMR spectra of Suzuki-Miyaura and Mizoroki-Heck crude reaction mixtures

Suzuki-Miyaura reactions:



Figure S45. Typical ¹H NMR spectrum (298 K, CDCl₃, 300 MHz) of a Suzuki-Miyaura reaction mixture indicating the CH₃ shifts of starting material (4'-bromoacetophenone, 2.59 ppm, red), product (4-acetylbiphenyl, 2.64 ppm, blue) and internal standard (1,3,5-trimetoxybenzene, 3.77 ppm, green).¹

Substrate scope:

All aryl-halides tested in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions with phenylboronic acid using catalyst **C4** afforded known biaryl species with reported full characterisations. Conversions were calculated *via* ¹H NMR, integrating the internal standard peak (1,3,5-trimetoxybenzene, 3.77 ppm) against product peaks matched with literature values for bromo-4-nitrobenzene,² 4-bromoaniline,³ 4-bromo-2-fluoronitrobenzene,⁴ 1-bromo-2-methoxynaphthalene,⁵ 1-bromo-4-^tBu-benzene,⁶ 1-bromotoluene, 2-bromotoluene and 3-bromotoluene.⁷

Asymmetric reaction:



Scheme S1. Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of 1-napthaleneboronic acid and 1-bromo-2methoxynaphthalene.

Mizoroki-Heck reactions:



Scheme S2. Mizoroki-Heck reaction of 4'-bromoacetophenone and styrene.



Figure S46. Typical ¹H NMR spectrum (298 K, CDCl₃, 300 MHz) of a Heck-Mizoroki reaction mixture indicating the CH₃ shifts of starting material (4'-bromoacetophenone, 2.59 ppm, red), product (4-acetylstilbene, 2.61 ppm, blue) and internal standard (1,3,5-trimetoxybenzene, 3.77 ppm, green).⁸

8. Crystallographic data and refinement details for ligands L1-L6 (Table S1) and Complexes C1-C6 (Table S2)

Identification code	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6
CCDC number	2070846	2070847	2070849	2070850	2070851	2070853
Empirical formula	C _{34.5} H ₅₄ NO ₄ Cl	C ₃₅ H ₅₅ NO ₄	C ₄₁ H ₅₉ NO ₄	$C_{23}H_{31}CI_2NO_4$	$C_{23}H_{31}NO_4$	C ₃₀ H ₃₇ Cl ₂ NO ₄
Formula weight	582.23	553.8	629.89	456.39	385.49	546.5
Temperature/K	100	100	100	100	100	100
Crystal system	monoclinic	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P21/c	P212121	P212121	C2/c	P-1	P21/c
a/Å	14.4965(2)	9.08240(10)	10.4115(2)	22.1011(3)	10.5479(2)	14.3845(4)
b/Å	19.9546(3)	11.7694(2)	11.7529(2)	12.1705(2)	14.5535(3)	16.8171(4)
c/Å	12.0794(2)	31.5208(5)	31.8349(5)	17.0857(3)	15.4442(3)	11.7263(3)
α/°	90	90	90	90	70.3610(10)	90
β/°	103.8657(8)	90	90	92.1340(10)	70.5070(10)	99.9650(10)
γ/°	90	90	90	90	76.5600(10)	90
Volume/Å ³	3392.41(9)	3369.40(9)	3895.49(12)	4592.55(13)	2085.52(7)	2793.86(13)
Z	4	4	4	8	4	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.14	1.092	1.074	1.32	1.228	1.299
µ/mm ¹	1.269	0.543	0.525	0.312	0.667	2.375
F(000)	1268	1216	1376	1936	832	1160
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.28 \times 0.22 \times 0.06$	$0.4 \times 0.36 \times 0.12$	$0.25 \times 0.18 \times 0.14$	0.3×0.2×0.2	0.32 × 0.2 × 0.16	$0.32 \times 0.04 \times 0.04$
Radiation	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)	CuKα (λ = 1.54184)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)
20 range for data coll./°	6.28 to 140.358	5.608 to 144.242	8.018 to 136.742	5.922 to 54.202	6.328 to 145.126	6.238 to 153.202
Index ranges	$-17 \le h \le 16, -24 \le k \le 24,$	$-11 \le h \le 11, -14 \le k \le 14,$	$-12 \le h \le 12, -14 \le k \le 14,$	-27 \leq h \leq 28, -15 \leq k \leq 15, -	-13 \leq h \leq 13, -17 \leq k \leq 16, -	-17 \leq h \leq 17, -20 \leq k \leq 20, -
	-14≤ ≤14	-38≤ ≤38	-36≤1≤38	21≤ ≤21	19≤1≤18	14≤ ≤14
Reflections collected	46320	47117	48693	42089	24899	33650
Independent reflections	6446 [R _{int} = 0.0405,	6637 [R _{int} = 0.0279,	7128 [R _{int} = 0.0419,	5056 [R _{int} = 0.0306, R _{sigma} =	8049 [R _{int} = 0.0550, R _{sigma} =	5696 [R _{int} = 0.0739, R _{sigma} =
	R _{sigma} = 0.0216]	R _{sigma} = 0.0151]	R _{sigma} = 0.0219]	0.0158]	0.0542]	0.0472]
Data/restraints/parameters	6446/0/448	6637/0/377	7128/1/441	5056/12/311	8049/0/521	5696/0/341
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.052	1.036	1.087	1.045	1.078	1.046
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R ₁ = 0.0415, wR ₂ = 0.1016	$R_1 = 0.0262, wR_2 = 0.0693$	R ₁ = 0.0567, wR ₂ = 0.1615	R ₁ = 0.0417, wR ₂ = 0.1168	R ₁ = 0.0494, wR ₂ = 0.1295	$R_1 = 0.0631$, $wR_2 = 0.1566$
Final R indexes [all data]	R ₁ = 0.0477, wR ₂ = 0.1065	R ₁ = 0.0265, wR ₂ = 0.0696	R ₁ = 0.0583, wR ₂ = 0.1632	R ₁ = 0.0493, wR ₂ = 0.1237	R ₁ = 0.0716, wR ₂ = 0.1405	R ₁ = 0.0814, wR ₂ = 0.1719
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å ⁻³	0.30/-0.25	0.27/-0.16	1.34/-0.21	0.38/-0.59	0.22/-0.25	0.37/-0.82

Table S1. Crystallographic data and refinement details for ligands L1-L6.

Identification code	C1	C2	С3	C4	C5	C6	
CCDC number	2063653	2063654	2060147	2060195	2060200	2060206	
Empirical formula	$C_{40}H_{66}N_2O_4Pd$	$C_{41}H_{69.5}N_2O_4Pd$	$C_{36.66}H_{59.32}N_2O_4Pd$	$C_{39}H_{56}N_2O_4Pd$	$C_{42}H_{61}N_3O_4Pd$	$C_{46}H_{62}N_2O_4Pd$	
Formula weight	745.34	760.88	698.5	723.25	778.33	813.37	
Temperature/K	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	
Space group	Pn	C2/c	P-1	P21/c	Pna2 ₁	P21	
a/Å	9.9356(4)	27.3384(7)	10.8890(2)	23.5009(5)	18.1718(8)	13.3749(3)	
b/Å	26.4605(11)	9.7533(2)	11.7145(3)	5.88070(10)	11.3807(4)	10.4918(2)	
c/Å	15.5658(6)	31.2674(8)	15.3877(3)	28.0066(6)	20.0056(7)	16.3394(3)	
α/°	90	90	103.1830(10)	90	90	90	
β/°	105.0420(10)	96.1430(10)	104.3440(10)	106.1280(10)	90	112.5780(10)	
γ/°	90	90	99.5060(10)	90	90	90	
Volume/Å ³	3952.0(3)	8289.3(3)	1799.70(7)	3718.23(13)	4137.3(3)	2117.13(7)	
Z	4	8	2	4	4	2	
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.253	1.219	1.289	1.292	1.25	1.276	
µ/mm ¹	0.509	3.908	4.456	4.336	3.94	3.867	
F(000)	1592	3260	743	1528	1648	860	
Crystal size/mm ³	0.3×0.2×0.2	0.22 × 0.08 × 0.04	0.18×0.06×0.04	0.38×0.04×0.04	0.38 × 0.10 × 0.06	0.1×0.03×0.03	
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)	
20 range for data coll./°	5.354 to 52.802	5.686 to 119.832	6.178 to 149.518	6.57 to 149.684	10.18 to 150.324	10.86 to 149.778	
Index ranges	$-12 \le h \le 12, -33 \le k \le 33, -$ $19 \le l \le 19$	-29 ≤ h ≤ 30, -10 ≤ k ≤ 10, - 33 ≤ l ≤ 34	-13 ≤ h ≤ 13, -13 ≤ k ≤ 14, - 19 ≤ l ≤ 19	-29≤h≤29, -7≤k≤7, - 34≤l≤35	-22 ≤ h ≤ 22, -14 ≤ k ≤ 14, - 25 ≤ l ≤ 25	-16 ≤ h ≤ 15, -13 ≤ k ≤ 13, - 20 ≤ l ≤ 20	
Reflections collected	146440	44289	141515	81773	55090	56149	
Indonandant reflections	15877 [R _{int} = 0.0551, R _{sigma} =	6054 [R _{int} = 0.0382, R _{sigma} =	7359 [R _{int} = 0.0707, R _{sigma} =	7626 [R _{int} = 0.0323,	8373 [R _{int} = 0.0409,	8347 [R _{int} = 0.0358,	
independent reflections	0.0239]	0.0249]	0.0224]	R _{sigma} = 0.0167]	R _{sigma} = 0.0277]	R _{sigma} = 0.0312]	
Data/restraints/parameters	15877/7/905	6054/1/462	7359/0/414	7626/0/428	8373/7/498	8347/1/492	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.057	1.039	1.058	1.05	1.054	1.035	
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R ₁ = 0.0293, wR ₂ = 0.0646	$R_1 = 0.0271$, $wR_2 = 0.0639$	$R_1 = 0.0429$, w $R_2 = 0.0870$	R ₁ = 0.0217, wR ₂ = 0.0567	$R_1 = 0.0199$, w $R_2 = 0.0515$	$R_1 = 0.0179$, w $R_2 = 0.0444$	
Final R indexes [all data]	R ₁ = 0.0314, wR ₂ = 0.0656	$R_1 = 0.0316$, $wR_2 = 0.0664$	$R_1 = 0.0483$, $wR_2 = 0.0900$	R ₁ = 0.0229, wR ₂ = 0.0576	$R_1 = 0.0201$, $wR_2 = 0.0516$	R ₁ = 0.0180, wR ₂ = 0.0444	
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å ⁻³	0.56/-0.47	0.43/-0.56	1.25/-1.37	0.39/-0.72	0.37/-0.99	0.35/-0.49	

10. References

- 1. A. K. Bowser, A. M. Anderson-Wile, D. H. Johnston and B. M. Wile, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2016, **30**, 32-39.
- 2. S.-D. Cho, H.-K. Kim, H.-s. Yim, M.-R. Kim, J.-K. Lee, J.-J. Kim and Y.-J. Yoon, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 1345-1352.
- 3. E. Alacid and C. Nájera, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 5011-5014.
- 4. D.-D. Li, W.-L. Chen, X.-L. Xu, F. Jiang, L. Wang, Y.-Y. Xie, X.-J. Zhang, X.-K. Guo, Q.-D. You and H.-P. Sun, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **118**, 1-8.
- 5. H. Li, Y. Wu and W. Yan, J. Organomet. Chem., 2006, **691**, 5688-5696.
- 6. H. Li, C.-L. Sun, M. Yu, D.-G. Yu, B.-J. Li and Z.-J. Shi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 3593-3597.
- 7. D. Zhang, L. Le, R. Qiu, W.-Y. Wong and N. Kambe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 3104-3114.
- 8. L.-C. Liu, Y.-H. Tzeng, C.-H. Hung and H. M. Lee, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, 3601-3611.