> Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2009

> > Supporting information for

Field-induced mononuclear cobalt(II) single-molecule magnet (SMM) based on a benzothiadiazole-*ortho*-vanillin ligand

Nataliya Plyuta,^a Svitlana Petrusenko,^b Vladimir N. Kokozay,^b Thomas Cauchy,^a Francesc Lloret,^c Miguel Julve,^c Joan Cano^{*c} and Narcis Avarvari^{*a}

^a Univ Angers, CNRS, MOLTECH-Anjou, SFR MATRIX, F-49000 Angers, France. E-mail: <u>narcis.avarvari@univ-angers.fr</u>

^b Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska str. 64/13, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine

^c Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol)/Departament de Química Inorgànica, Universitat de València, C/ Catedrático José Beltrán 2, 46980 Paterna (Valencia) (Spain). E-mail: <u>Joan.Cano@uv.es</u>

Experimental section

General comments. All the reactions were carried out under ambient conditions with HPLC-grade solvents. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX 300 spectrometer operating at 300 and 75 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) downfield from external TMS. The following abbreviations (δ) are used: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, massif. MALDI-TOF MS spectra were done on a Bruker Biflex-IIITM apparatus, equipped with a 337 nm N₂ laser. Elemental analysis were recorded using a Flash 2000 Fisher Scientific Thermo Electron analyzer. The IR spectra were performed on an ATR Bruker Vertex 70 spectrophotometer in the range 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Signal intensities (height) are denoted by the following abbreviations: vs-very strong, s-strong, m-medium and w-weak.

X-Ray structure determinations

Details about data collection and solution refinement are given in Table S1. Single crystals of the compounds were mounted on glass fibre loops using a viscous hydrocarbon oil to coat the crystal and then transferred directly to a cold nitrogen stream for data collection. Data collection were mostly performed at 150 K on an Agilent Supernova with Cu K α (λ = 1.54184 Å). The structures were solved by direct methods with the SIR92 program and refined against all F^2 values with the SHELXL-97 program¹ using the WinGX graphical user interface.² All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions (riding model) and included in the structure factor calculations but not refined.

Crystallographic data for the two structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, deposition numbers CCDC 2128849 (HL) and 2128850 ([CoL₂]·CH₂Cl₂). These data can be obtained free of charge from CCDC, 12 Union road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (e-mail: <u>deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u> or <u>http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

Compound	HL	[CoL ₂]·CH ₂ Cl ₂
Empirical formula	$C_{14}H_{11}N_3O_2S$	$C_{29}H_{22}CI_2CON_6O_4S_2$
Molecular weight	285.32	712.47
Т (К)	150.00(10)	149.3(4)
Wavelength (Å)	1.54184	1.54184
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P21/C	P-1
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.5784(4)	11.0894(7)
b (Å)	5.23110(10)	12.3519(8)
<i>c</i> (Å)	19.7962(7)	13.0378(8)
α (deg)	90	90.231(5)
<i>в</i> (deg)	105.161(3)	111.019(6)
γ (deg)	90	114.765(6)
V (ų)	1257.23(7)	1488.43(18)
Ζ	4	2
<i>D</i> _c (g cm ⁻³)	1.507	1.590
Abs. coeff. (mm ⁻¹)	2.343	7.887
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.15 x 0.09 x 0.07	0.10 x 0.05 x 0.04
heta (min/max)	3.641/72.176	3.694/73.777
Transmission (min/max)	0.80686/1.00000	0.63379/1.00000
Data collected/unique	4305/2405	10877/5673
Data/restraints/parameters	2405/0/183	5673/0/399
<i>R</i> (int)	0.0240	0.0800
GOF on F ²	1.051	1.028
final R indices ^a $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0293/0.0736	0.0734/0.1852
R indices (all data)	0.0342/0.0767	0.0964/0.2075
Largest maximum/minimum peak in final difference (e A- ³)	0.263/-0.271	1.669/-1.822
CCDC number	2128849	2128850

Table S1 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for HL and $[CoL_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$

 ${}^{a}R(F_{o}) = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||/\Sigma |F_{o}|; R_{w}(F_{o}^{2}) = [\Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}]/\Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]]^{1/2}.$

Compound HL



Fig. S1 Molecular structure of HL in the solid state highlighting the dimer formation upon the O1…H2'–C4' type interaction. [Symmetry code: (') = 1-x, 1-y, 1-z].



Fig. S2 Packing diagram of HL in the crystallographic *ac* plane.



Fig. S3 View of the packing of HL.

Table S2 Hydrogen bonds and	O H-C type interaction parameter	ers (Å, °) of compound HL
-----------------------------	--	---------------------------

	A…H – D	d(A…H), Å	d(A…D) , Å	∠ (D – H…A), °
	N(1)…H(4) – C(7)	2.242	2.929	130.12
HL	N(3)…H(8) – O(1)	1.871	2.597	146.91
	$O'(1) \cdots H(2) - C(4)^*$	2.578	3.245	129.03
***	0(1)(2) = 0(4)	2.378	3.243	129.05

*Symmetry code: ^a = 1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*.

DFT calculations on compound HL



Fig. S4 The two equilibrium geometries A and B of HL in the *E* configuration.

Table S3 Converged Cartesian coordinates of calculated A-HL and B-HL

		A-HL		B-HL				
S	4.0618	-1.9887	0	С	-2.8237	2.0102	-0.8391	
Ν	2.5431	-1.3821	0.0001	С	-1.9431	1.0409	-0.3256	
С	2.6565	-0.0574	0	С	-2.4424	-0.1864	0.1516	
С	4.0321	0.3932	-0.0001	С	-3.8368	-0.4179	0.1128	
Ν	4.9156	-0.601	0	С	-4.6781	0.5561	-0.3931	
С	4.3517	1.772	-0.0001	С	-4.1737	1.7722	-0.8697	
С	3.3156	2.6571	-0.0001	С	-0.53	1.3113	-0.2993	
С	1.9659	2.2295	-0.0001	N	0.3228	0.47	0.1635	
С	1.5883	0.9062	0	С	1.6687	0.7917	0.2503	
Ν	0.2288	0.6369	0	С	2.6308	-0.2291	-0.0279	
С	-0.2773	-0.5519	0.0001	С	4.0406	0.0527	0.0807	
С	-1.7024	-0.7564	0.0001	С	4.4883	1.3353	0.4822	
С	-2.5914	0.3367	0.0001	С	3.5429	2.2781	0.7579	
С	-3.983	0.0908	0	С	2.1521	2.0137	0.6493	
С	-4.4467	-1.2119	-0.0002	N	4.8107	-0.9909	-0.2184	
С	-3.559	-2.295	-0.0001	S	3.7989	-2.2142	-0.6023	
С	-2.2063	-2.0705	0	N	2.3583	-1.4709	-0.4089	
0	-2.169	1.5935	0.0004	0	-1.6602	-1.1408	0.634	
0	-4.7617	1.1944	0	0	-4.2327	-1.6162	0.5902	
С	-6.1563	1.0097	-0.0002	С	-5.6097	-1.9058	0.5729	
Н	5.388	2.0837	-0.0002	н	5.5487	1.534	0.5688	
Н	3.5168	3.7223	-0.0002	н	3.8512	3.2659	1.0821	
Н	1.1763	2.972	-0.0001	н	1.4499	2.7921	0.9266	
Н	0.3528	-1.4414	0.0001	н	-0.2031	2.2733	-0.7126	
Н	-1.5052	-2.8982	0	н	-2.4165	2.9466	-1.2061	
Н	-3.9514	-3.3047	-0.0003	н	-4.8573	2.5152	-1.262	
Н	-5.5121	-1.4037	-0.0003	н	-5.7459	0.3807	-0.4241	
Н	-6.4929	0.4706	0.8931	н	-6.0102	-1.9035	-0.4474	
Н	-6.4927	0.4707	-0.8935	н	-6.1795	-1.1974	1.1853	
н	-6.592	2.0072	0.0001	н	-5.7117	-2.9043	0.9941	
н	-1.1691	1.5489	0.0006	н	-0.7214	-0.8159	0.5671	

Complex [CoL₂]·CH₂Cl₂



Fig. S5 Molecular structure of $[CoL_2]$ ·CH₂Cl₂ in the solid state (a) and highlight on the coordination sphere of cobalt(II) (b).



Fig. S6 A view of the packing diagram of $[CoL_2]$ ·CH₂Cl₂ showing the π - π type interactions (dashed lines).



Fig. S7 Perspective view of the supramolecular assembly in $[CoL_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$: $\pi \cdots \pi$ phenolate-BTD rings 3.55 Å (pink), $\pi \cdots \pi$ phenolate-BTD rings 3.52 Å (blue), $\pi \cdots \pi$ TD-TD rings 3.67 Å (violet) and C–H···Cl type (light blue) interactions in the crystal packing.

N1-Co1	2.221(4)	O3-Co1	2.004(3)	01-Co1	1.997(3)
N4-Co1	2.248(4)	N6-Co1	2.088(4)	N3-Co1	2.103(4)
01-Co1-O3	96.04(14)	N6-Co1-N1	86.75(16)	N6-Co1-N3	159.13(15)
01-Co1-N6	105.46(14)	N3-Co1-N1	78.02(16)	01-Co1-N1	167.22(15)
O3-Co1-N6	89.91(14)	01-Co1-N4	88.04(14)	03-Co1-N1	87.59(15)
N6-Co1-N4	77.64(15)	03-Co1-N4	167.54(14)	01-Co1-N3	89.21(14)
N3-Co1-N4	88.33(15)	N1-Co1-N4	90.98(16)	O3-Co1-N3	103.46(14)

Table S4 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for $[CoL_2]$ ·CH₂Cl₂

Table S5 Parameters of the O-H-Cl type interaction (Å, °) for [CoL₂]·CH₂Cl₂

D-HA	d(DA)	d(HA)	angle (D-HA)
C(10)-H(10)Cl'(1)	3.8280(56)	3.0331(26)	144.400(296)

Table S6 *π*-*π* stacking interactions involving Cg1(C15C16C17C18C19C20), Cg2 (C22C23C24C25C26C27), Cg3 (C1C2C3C4C5C6), Cg4 (C8C9C10C11C12C13), Cg5 (S2N5C16C15N4), Cg6 (S2N5C16C15N4)

π - π^{a}	$d(Cg-Cg)^b$	α^{c}	Cg-plane ^d	Slip(Å) ^e	Symmetry ^f	Colour ^g
Cg1-Cg2	3.55	8.12	3.38/3.45	1.08/0.84	- <i>x</i> , 1- <i>y</i> , 1- <i>z</i>	pink
Cg3-Cg4	3.52	8.76	3.33/3.34	1.13/1.10	-x, -y, -z	blue
Cg5-Cg6	3.67	0.000	3.53	1.00	1-x, 1-y, 1-z	violet

^aDistance between the centroids of the *I* and *Y* rings in Å; ^bDihedral angle between the *I* and *Y* planes in degrees; ^cPerpendicular distance in Å of the centroid Cg(I) on the mean plane of the *Y* ring ^dDistance in Å between the centroid Cg(I) and perpendicular projection of the centroid Cg(Y) on the *I* ring; ^fSymmetry applied on the plane Cg(I) to obtain Cg(Y); ^gColour used to distinguish intermolecular interactions (dotted lines) in Figure S7.

Ab initio calculations on the *zfs* tensor



Fig. S8 Splitting of d orbitals and electronic configuration for the quartet ground state obtained from a CASSCF/NEVPT2 calculation on the experimental geometries of **1**. Molecular orbitals are displayed considering a cut-off equal to 0.01 e bohr⁻³. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. S9 Relative orientation of the experimental coordination sphere geometry of **1** and the calculated *D* tensor (x = cyan, y = green, z = magenta). Colour code: dark blue, cobalt; light blue, nitrogen; red, oxygen; grey, carbon; yellow, sulphur. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table S7 Energy of the calculated quartet (Q_i) and triplet (D_i) excited states and their contributions to the *D* and *E* values for **1** obtained from CASSCF/NEVPT2 calculations. D_{SS} is the spin-spin contribution to axial *zfs* parameter, and D_Q and D_D are the sum of spin-orbit contributions coming from quartet and doublet excited states

Col							Co	2	
State	Energy ^a	S	D^{a}	E^{a}	State	Energy ^a	S	D^{a}	E^{a}
$D_{\rm SS}$		4	+0.786	+0.238	D ₅	19433.5	2	+2.693	+0.108
$D_{ m Q}$		4	-57.332	-14.859	D_6	19840.1	2	-0.176	+0.327
$D_{ m D}$		2	+4.943	+0.137	D_7	19933.0	2	-0.497	+0.580
\mathbf{Q}_1	746.4	4	-77.962	-0.997	D ₈	20476.7	2	-0.095	+0.173
Q_2	1970.6	4	+12.191	-15.096	D9	22901.4	2	-1.413	-1.409
Q ₃	8697.9	4	+2.953	-4.386	D ₁₀	23505.8	2	+0.346	+0.102
Q ₄	9100.6	4	+0.471	+0.581	D ₁₁	23667.6	2	-0.095	+0.085
Q ₅	10015.0	4	+5.064	+5.106	D ₁₂	26142.5	2	-0.072	+0.061
Q_6	18075.2	4	+0.013	-0.015	D ₁₃	28030.6	2	+0.412	-0.032
Q ₇	21912.5	4	+0.014	-0.045	D ₁₄	28815.4	2	-0.112	-0.145
Q_8	23113.1	4	-0.005	+0.002	D ₁₅	29693.2	2	-0.414	+0.503
Q 9	23559.5	4	-0.071	-0.009	D ₁₆	29726.4	2	-0.023	-0.017
\mathbf{D}_1	11027.0	2	+4.767	+1.041	D ₁₇	30130.7	2	-0.010	+0.005
D_2	12267.0	2	-1.093	-1.217	D ₁₈	30577.6	2	+0.079	+0.198
D_3	18700.4	2	-0.001	+0.001	D ₁₉	32313.5	2	-0.365	-0.349
D_4	18856.1	2	+0.034	-0.002	D ₂₀	32430.2	2	+0.978	+0.124

^aValues in cm⁻¹.

Magnetic measurements



Fig. S10 Frequency dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) components of the magnetic susceptibility of **1** under a static magnetic field H_{dc} = 2500 G with an oscillating field ±0.5 G and at the indicated frequencies



Fig. S11 Arrhenius plots in the form of ln (τ) as a function of 1/*T* for **1** under static magnetic field of 1000 (left) and 2500 (right): (o) experimental data; (–) fit by eq. (3).



Fig. S12 Frequency dependence of χ_{M}' (left) and χ_{M}'' (middle), Cole-Cole plots (right) of **1** under applied *dc* static fields of 1.0 (a), 2.5 (b), and 5.0 kOe (c) with ± 0.005 kOe oscillating field in the temperature range 2.0–6.0 K (blue to red gradient). Solid lines are the best-fit curves simulated by using the generalized Debye model (see text).



Fig. S13 Arrhenius (left) and $\ln(\tau)$ vs $\ln(T)$ (right) plots for the calculated magnetic relaxation times (τ) of **1** under *dc* static fields of 1.0 (blue), 2.5 (red), and 5.0 kOe (green) for the two competing relaxation processes, one of them predominating at low temperatures (a) and other at higher ones (b). Standard deviations appear as vertical error bars. Solid lines are the best-fit curves simulated by combinations of quantum-tunnelling, Orbach and Raman mechanisms. More details are given in the main text.

H_{dc}^{a}	Process ^b	$ au_{ m 0,QT} imes 10^{4 m c}$	$\tau_1 \times 10^{11\text{c}}$	U _{eff1} d	$ au_2 imes 10^6$ c	U _{eff2} ^d
1000	HT	3.1 ± 0.3	7 ± 3	37.5 ± 1.4		
	LT				10.0 ± 2.2	2.5 ± 0.4
2500	нт	2.67 ± 0.07	18 ± 4	35.0 ± 0.6		
	LT				12.3 ± 0.8	2.00 ± 0.12
5000	HT		42 ± 11	33.4 ± 1.0	17.1 ± 2.3	1.84 ± 0.24
	LT					

 Table S8 Selected fits of ac-magnetic data at different applied dc fields of 1 obtained from the Arrhenius plots

^aValues in kOe; ^bOcurring at high (HT) or low (LT) temperatures; ^{c,d}Values in cm⁻¹.

Table S9 Selected fits of ac-magnetic data at different applied dc fields of **1** obtained from the $ln(\tau)$ vs ln(T) plots

H_{dc}^{a}	Process ^b	$ au_{0, ext{QT}} imes 10^{4 ext{ c}}$	$C_1 imes 10^{4 c}$	n1	$C_2 imes 10^{-3 c}$	n 2
1000	HT	3.4 ± 0.3	22 ± 14	11.5 ± 0.4		
	LT				4.1 ± 1.0	1.85 ± 0.23
2500	HT	2.82 ± 0.11	20 ± 8	11.6 ± 0.3		
	LT				9.2 ± 0.5	1.09 ± 0.05
5000	HT		600 ± 40	9.2 ± 0.4	10.2 ± 2.1	0.71 ± 0.21
	LT					

^aIn kOe; ^bOcurring at high (HT) or low (LT) temperatures; ^cIn s⁻¹ K⁻ⁿ.

NMR spectra



Fig. S14 ¹H NMR spectrum of compound HL in CDCl₃.



Fig. S15 ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound HL in CDCl₃.

¹ G. M. Sheldrick, *Programs for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*, ed. 1996.

² L. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1999, **32**, 837.