# **Supporting Information**

Dual-confinement Strategy to Construct Cobalt based Phosphides Nanocluster within Carbon Nanofiber for Bifunctional Water Splitting Electrocatalyst

Jie Chen, a,b,\* Fuying Huang, a,b Sunzai Ke, a Jiaxin Shen, a Yancai Li, a,b Fengying Zheng,<sup>a,b</sup> Shunxing Li<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

a College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Environment, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou, 363000, China.

b Fujian Province Key Laboratory of Modern Analytical Science and Separation Technology, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou, 363000, China

E-mail: chenj@mnnu.edu.cn; shunxing  $li$ @aliyun.com; Fax: +86-596-

2591395; Tel: +86-596-2591395.

#### **Experimental**

#### **Reagents and chemicals**

Polyacrylonitrile (PAN,  $Mw = 150,000$ ) and Nafion solution was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd. 2-Methylimidazole (MeIM), cobalt nitrate hexahydrate  $(Co(NO_3)_2.6H_2O)$ , nickel (II) acetylacetonate  $(Ni(acac))$  and copper (II) acetylacetonate  $(Cu(acac))$  were purchased from Aladdin. N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and sodium hypophosphite ( $NAH_2PO_2$ ) were purchased from Shantou Xilong Chemical Industry Incorporated Co., Ltd. Commercial Pt/C (20 wt% Pt on Vulcan  $XC72$ ) and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All the reagents have reached the degree of analytical reagent. Ultrapure water (Millipore Milli-Q grade) with a resistivity of 18.2  $MΩ$  was used in all the experiments.

#### **Synthesis of ZIF-67**

In a typical preparation,  $0.45$  g  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  was dissolved in 3 mL of water, then 5.5 g MeIM was dissolved in 20 mL of water. Those two solutions were mixed  $(Co^{2+}$ : MeIM : H<sub>2</sub>O = 1 : 58 : 1100) and stirred for 6 h at room temperature, then the resulting purple precipitates were collected by centrifuging, washed with water and methanol subsequently for 3 times, and finally vacuum dried at 80 ℃ for 24 h.

#### **Synthesis of Ni-ZIF-67 and Cu-ZIF-67**

In a typical synthesis, 100 mg ZIF-67 powders were mixed with 50 ml

ethanol by ultra-sonification for 30 min to form a homogeneous dispersion.

20 mg Ni(acac)<sub>2</sub> or Cu(acac)<sub>2</sub> were then added into the dispersion, with rigorously stirring until the ethanol evaporated out, forming Ni-ZIF-67 and Cu-ZIF-67 mixtures, respectively. The mixture was finally vacuum dried at 80 ℃ for 24 h.

#### **Synthesis of ZIF-PAN fiber**

PAN and ZIF-67 were adopted as the carbon and cobalt precursors, respectively, and DMF was chosen as the solvent. First, 0.1 g ZIF-67 was added to 5 ml DMF and stirred for 2 h to form a homogenous solution. Then, 0.5 g PAN was added into the solution and stirred for 2h in a water bath at 80 ℃. The as-prepared precursor solution was transferred into a 10 ml syringe with a needle (outer diameter  $= 0.7$ mm). An electrospinning unit (Lvna Tech. Co., China) with a high voltage of 13.5 kV was applied, and a constant distance of 15 cm was regulated between the needle and rotation collector. The electrospun composite was collected on an aluminum foil in the collector with a flow rate of  $2 \text{ ml } h^{-1}$ . The composite was then peeled off from the collector and stabilized at 60 ℃ for overnight in a vacuum oven. The as-synthesized ZIF-PAN fiber was placed at the middle of a porcelain boat and  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_2$  (mass ratio 1:10) was placed at the upstream side. The porcelain boat was put in a tube furnace and heated to 350 °C with a ramp rate of 2 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> and kept for 2 h. Then the ZIF-

PAN fiber was carbonized at 700 ℃ for another 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of  $5^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>. The final product was named  $CoP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$ . For comparison, ZIF-67 was also treated using the same process and named  $CoP_x-CPHs$ . Similarly, the bimetallic  $CoNiP_x$  and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>$  samples were prepared using the Ni-ZIF-67 and Cu-ZIF-67 as the precursors.

#### **Materials characterization**

The morphology and microstructure of the catalysts were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai G20) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, FEI Tecnai F20) operated at 200 kV. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Rigaku D/max 2500 diffract meter with Cu K radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.54056 Å). The Co, Ni and Cu contents of above samples were determined by ICP-MS (PerkinElmer NexION 300X), and the C, N, P contents were analyzed by CHN elemental analysis (Vario MACRO). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were performed by an ESCALAB 250 Xi XPS system of Thermo Scientific, where the analysis chamber was  $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$  mbar and the X-ray spot was 500 nm.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

The electrochemical measurements were carried on an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660E, CH Instruments, Shanghai) using a three-electrode

analysis system. For the preparation of the working electrode,  $4 \text{ mg } CoP_{x}$ -CPHs was dispersed in 1 mL DMF by sonication (To ensure the same amount of active substance, the loading of  $CoP_x-CNFs$  was 20 mg), then 20 µL of 5 wt% Nafion solution was added until a homogeneous suspension formed. Next,  $10 \mu L$  of the above suspension was drop-casted onto a glassy carbon electrode ( $d = 3$  mm,  $S = 0.07065$  cm<sup>2</sup>) to give a mass loading of about 0.5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. An Ag/AgCl (0.3 M KCl) electrode was used as the reference electrode and graphite rod as the counter electrode. The potential measured against an Ag/AgCl electrode was converted to the potential versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according the formulation: E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. Ag/AgCl) +  $0.059 \times pH + 0.210$ . Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) polarization curves for HER and OER were both carried out with a scan rate of 10 mV  $s^{-1}$  in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated condition, and all the polarization curves were without iR-correction. EIS was performed at open circuit potential and 200 mV overpotential within the frequency range of 0.1 to 100 kHz and an a.c. voltage of 10 mV. Because of the less content of the second metal, the loading of bimetallic  $CoNiP_x$ and  $CoCuP_x$  samples were the same as  $CoP_x$  samples (4 mg for  $CoNiP_x$  and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>$  CPHs, 20 mg for  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>$  and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>$  CNFs). A two-electrode water electrolyze device was assembled using CoNiPx-CNFs paper  $(1 \times 2)$ cm) prepared from the ZIF-PAN film after the phosphidation and carbonization treatment as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for both OER and HER. The loading mass of this self-supported  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$  electrode was 10 mg cm-2 . The electrocatalytic activity was examined by measuring the LSV curve in  $1.0 M KOH$  solution with a scan rate of  $10 mV s^{-1}$ .

To prepare Pt/C and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  electrode, 4 mg Pt/C or  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  powder and 20 µL 5 wt% Nafion solution were dispersed in 1 mL DMF solvent by 30 min sonication to form an ink. Then 10 µL catalyst ink was loaded on glassy carbon electrode and air-dried at room temperature.

The faradaic efficiency was calculated using the formula  $\eta$  =  $n(gas)_{\text{generation}}/n(gas)_{\text{theory}}$ . The hydrogen and oxygen generated from cathode and anode could be collected and measured in 1 h of durability test at current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The theoretical  $O_2$  yields were calculated as follows:  $n(O_2) = Q/(nF)$ , where  $n(O_2)$  is the number of moles of oxygen produced, Q is the charge passed through the electrodes, F is the Faradaic constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), and n is the number of electrons transferred during water splitting (4 mol of electrons per mole of  $O_2$ ); the theoretical  $H<sub>2</sub>$  yields were calculated in the same way as  $O<sub>2</sub>$  yields except 4 mol of electrons were transferred per  $2 \text{ mol of } H_2$ .

The turnover frequency (TOF) of  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$  electrocatalyst was calculated according to the following equation:  $TOF = j \times S/(4 \times F \times n)$ , where j is the current density obtained at overpotential of 300 mV, S is the surface area of the electrode, F is the Faraday efficiency  $(96485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})$  and n is the number of moles of the  $Ni_xCo_yP_z$  (x=0.1, y=0.9, z=3) on the electrodes.



**Figure S1.** SEM image of Co-ZIF-67 polyhedron.



**Figure S2.** SEM images of ZIF-PAN fiber in the (a) large and (b) small scales.



**Figure S3.** SEM image of CoPx-CPHs.



**Figure S4.** (a) TG and (b) DTG curves of ZIF and ZIF-PAN samples.



**Figure S5.** (a) SEM image of Ni-ZIF-67 polyhedron. TEM images of (b)  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$  and (c)  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CPHs$ . HRTEM images of (d)  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-$ CNFs and (e)  $CoNiP_x-CPHs$ . (f) XRD patterns of  $CoNiP_x-CNFs$  and CoNiPx-CPHs.



**Figure S6.** (a) SEM image of Cu-ZIF-67 polyhedron. TEM images of (b)  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$  and (c)  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>-CPHs$ . HRTEM images of (d)  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>-$ CNFs and (e)  $CoCuP_x$ -CPHs. (f) XRD patterns of  $CoCuP_x$ -CNFs and CoCuPx-CPHs.



**Figure S7.** Electrochemical characterizations of  $CoNiP<sub>x</sub>$  and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>$ electrocatalysts for HER activity. (a) Polarization curves obtained in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs, CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CPHs, CoCuP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>$ -CPHs samples. (b) Tafel plots of the corresponding samples. (c) Polarization curves recorded in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs and  $CoCuP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs$  before and after 5000 cycles from 0.5 to -0.5 V vs RHE at  $100$  mV s<sup>-1</sup> under acid condition. (d) The overpotential of the corresponding electrodes obtained at current density of 10 mA cm-2 in 0.5  $M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ .



**Figure S8.** The time-dependent chronoamperometry test of CoNiPx-CNFs electrocatalyst at applied potential of 1.56 V (vs. RHE) in 1.0 M KOH.



**Figure S9.** (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of CoNiPx-CNFs electrocatalyst after 24 h chronoamperometry test.



**Figure S10.** Faradaic efficiency of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> production for overall water splitting.



**Figure S11.** XPS spectra of (a) C 1s and (b) N 1s for P-CNFs, CoNiP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs and CoNiPx-CPHs.



Figure S12. XPS spectra of (a) Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and (c) P 2p for CoP<sub>x</sub>-CNFs and CoPx-CPHs.



**Figure S13.** XPS spectra of (a) Co  $2p_{3/2}$ , (b) Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  and (c) P  $2p$  for CoCuPx-CNFs and CoCuPx-CPHs.

<b>Samples</b>	Element content $(\% )$						
	Co <sup>a</sup>	Ni <sup>a</sup>	Cu <sup>a</sup>	P <sub>b</sub>	C <sup>b</sup>	N <sup>b</sup>	
$CoP_x$ -CNFs	2.47	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	4.52	62.31	11.85	
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	2.62	0.30	$\boldsymbol{0}$	4.64	64.85	10.88	
$CoCuPx-CNFs$	2.57	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.24	4.57	65.18	11.26	
$CoP_x-CPHs$	11.16	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	10.08	48.06	15.78	
$CoNiPx-CPHs$	10.89	1.75	$\overline{0}$	9.34	48.55	14.65	
$CoCuPx-CPHs$	11.24	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1.78	9.67	47.83	14.81	

**Table S1.** The characteristic data of the Co-based phosphide samples

a Co, Ni and Cu loading amount in different samples were determined by the mass of Co, Ni and Cu element with ICP-MS analysis.

b P, C, and N content were measured by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and CHN element analysis.

## **Table S2.** The electrocatalytic performance comparison



of the Co-based phosphide samples

a The impedance was obtained via the fitting data using the ZView software.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>BET</b> surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Pore diameter (nm)	
$CoP_x$ -CNFs	208.4	3.2	
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	215.7	3.0	
$CoCuPx-CNFs$	207.2	3.1	
$CoPx-CPHs$	238.6	3.5	
$CoNiPx-CPHs$	229.5	3.8	
$CoCuPx-CPHs$	244.8	3.3	

**Table S3.** The surface area and pore structure comparison of the Co-based phosphide samples

Catalysts	$\eta_{10}$ (mV)	Mass loading	Substrate	Electrolytes	References
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	105	$0.5 \,\mathrm{mg \, cm^{-2}}$	<b>GCE</b>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	This work
$Ni_{0.67}Co_{1.33}P/N-$ <b>CNFs</b>	100	$0.287$ mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	<b>GCE</b>	$0.5 M H_2SO_4$	S <sub>1</sub>
NiCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>x</sub> /CF	104	5.9 mg $cm^{-2}$	carbon felt	$0.5 M H_2SO_4$	S <sub>2</sub>
CoP-CNTs	139	$0.27 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>
CoP/Co <sub>2</sub> P	99	$0.36 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	$0.5 M H_2SO_4$	S <sub>4</sub>
$CoP-400$	113	$0.43 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	$0.5 M H_2SO_4$	S <sub>5</sub>

**Table S4.** Comparison of HER performance of CoNiPx-CNFs with those

reported Co-based phosphide electrocatalysts in acid electrolytes

**Table S5.** Comparison of HER performance of CoNiPx-CNFs with those

Catalysts	$\eta_{10}$ (mV)	Mass loading	Substract	Electrolytes	References
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	154	$0.5 \,\mathrm{mg} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	This work
$Cu_{0.3}Co_{2.7}P/NC$	220	$0.4 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>RDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>6</sub>
CoP-NW array	209	$0.92 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	carbon cloth	$1.0$ M KOH	S7
Co <sub>2</sub> P/CoNPC	208	$0.39 \,\mathrm{mg} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>8</sub>
$Co2P/CNT-900$	132	$0.75 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>9</sub>
$Co_{0.68}Fe_{0.32}P$	116	$0.75 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>RRDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>10</sub>

reported Co-based phosphide electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolytes

Catalysts	$\eta_{10}$ (mV)	Mass loading		Substract Electrolytes	References
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	269	$0.5 \,\mathrm{mg \, cm^{-2}}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	This work
CoP/NCNHP	310	$0.39$ mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>11</sub>
$Co-P/NC$	319	$0.283$ mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	<b>RDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>12</sub>
$Fe1Co2-P/C$	362	$0.17 \,\mathrm{mg} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	<b>RDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>13</sub>
NiCoP/C	330	$0.25 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>RDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S14
Co <sub>2</sub> P/CoNPC	328	$0.39 \,\mathrm{mg} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>8</sub>

**Table S6.** Comparison of OER performance of CoNiPx-CNFs with those

reported Co-based phosphide electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolytes

Catalysts	$\eta_{10}$ (V)	Mass loading	Substract	Electrolytes	References
$CoNiPx-CNFs$	1.56	$10 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	self-support	1.0 M KOH	This work
$CoP/GO-400$	1.70	$0.28 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	<b>RDE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S15
Co <sub>2</sub> P/CoNPC	1.64	$0.39 \,\mathrm{mg} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>8</sub>
$Fe-CoP/Ti$	1.60	1.03 mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	Ti foil	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>16</sub>
$Ni_{0.67}Co_{1.33}P/N-$ <b>CNFs</b>	1.56	$0.287$ mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	<b>GCE</b>	1.0 M KOH	S1
Fe-CoP HTPAs	1.59	Not available	Ni foam	1.0 M KOH	S <sub>17</sub>

**Table S7.** Comparison of overall water splitting of CoNiPx-CNFs with those reported Co-based phosphide electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolytes

### **References**

- [S1] Q. Mo, W. Zhang, L. He, X. Yu, Q. Gao, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2019, 244, 620-627.
- [S2] R. Zhang, X. Wang, S. Yu, T. Wen, X. Zhu, F. Yang, X. Sun, X. Wang, W. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1605502.
- [S3] C. Wu, Y. Yang, D. Dong, Y. Zhang, J. Li, *Small*, 2017, 13, 1602873.
- [S4] L. Chen, Y. Zhang, H. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Li, C. Duan, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 21019-21024.
- [S5] H. Li, X. Zhao, H. Liu, S. Chen, X. Yang, C. Lv, H. Zhang, X. She, D. Yang, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1802824.
- [S6] J. Song, C. Zhu, B. Z. Xu, S. Fu, M. H. Engelhard, R. Ye, D. Du, S. P. Beckman, Y. Lin, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1601555.
- [S7] J. Tian, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 7587-7590.
- [S8] H. Liu, J. Guan, S. Yang, Y. Yu, R. Shao, Z. Zhang, M. Dou, F. Wang, Q. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, 32, 2003649.
- [S9] D. Das, K. K. Nanda, *Nano Energy*, 2016, 30, 303-311.
- [S10] F. Li, Y. Bu, Z. Lv, J. Mahmood, G.‐F. Han, I. Ahmad, G. Kim, Q. Zhong, J.‐B. Baek, *Small*, 2017, 13, 1701167.
- [S11] Y. Pan, K. Sun, S. Liu, X. Cao, K. Wu, W. C. Cheong, Z. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Liu, D. Wang, Q. Peng, C. Chen, Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 2610-2618.
- [S12] B. You, N. Jiang, M. Sheng, S. Gul, J. Yano, Y. Sun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 7636-7642.
- [S13] W. Hong, M. Kitta, Q. Xu, *Small Methods*, 2018, 2, 1800214.
- [S14] P. He, X. Y. Yu, X. W. Lou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 3897.
- [S15] L. Jiao, Y. X. Zhou, H. L. Jiang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 1690-1695.
- [S16] C. Tang, R. Zhang, W. Lu, L. He, X. Jiang, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1602441.
- [S17] E. Hu, J. Ning, D. Zhao, C. Xu, Y. Lin, Y. Zhong, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1704233.