Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Energy & Environmental Science. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

> Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Energy & Environmental Science. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Photo-assisted Charge/discharge Li-organic Battery with a Charge-

separated and Redox-active C₆₀@Porous Organic Cage Cathode

Xiang Zhang,^{a+} Kongzhao Su,^{a+} Aya Gomaa Abdelkader Mohamed,^{a,b} Caiping Liu,^a Qingfu Sun,^a Daqiang

Yuan,^{a,b,c} Yumei Wang,^d Wenhua Xue,^d and Yaobing Wang*^{a,b,c}

 ^a CAS Key Laboratory of Design and Assembly of Functional Nanostructures, and Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Nanomaterials, State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, P. R. China. E-mail: wangyb@fjirsm.ac.cn

- ^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China.
- ^c Fujian Science and Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China, Fuzhou 350108, Fujian, P. R. China.
- ^d Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100190, P. R. China.
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Table of Contents

- 1. Material Synthesis
- 2. Characterization
- **3.** Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy
- 4. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels evaluation
- 5. Electrode preparation and battery fabrication
- 6. Electrochemical and photoelectrochemical measurements
- 7. Computational calculation
- 8. Supplementary Figures
- 9. Supplementary Tables
- **10. Supplementary References**

1. Material Synthesis

Synthesis of POC:

POC was synthesized according to previous work.¹ C4RACHO (0.20 mmol, 162 mg) and p-phenylenediamine (0.4 mmol, 43 mg) were added into a 48 mL pressure vial containing 5 mL nitrobenzene and 15 mL chloroform, followed by heating at 65 °C with stirring for 48 hours. Afterward, methanol vapor was slowly diffused into the above mixture, giving red block single crystals at yield of ~78 % for POC. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 1.04 (d, 144H), 1.60 (m, 24H), 2.10 (t, 48H), 4.65 (t, 24H), 7.37 (s, 24H), 7.40 (s, 48H), 9.18 (s, 24H), 10.38 (s, 24H), 16.21 (s, 24H). p.p.m. ESI-TOF-MS calculated for POC, C₃₆₀H₃₈₄N₂₄O₄₈ [M-2H]²⁻ 2905.4178, found 2905.3963.

Synthesis of C₆₀@POC:

 C_{60} @POC was synthesized as follows: C_{60} (0.05 mmol, 36 mg) was firstly dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and chloroform (10 mL) in a 38 mL pressure vial, followed by adding C4RACHO (0.10 mmol, 82 mg) and p-phenylenediamine (0.20 mmol, 21.5 mg). The mixture was sealed and heated to 70 °C with stirring for 48 h. Afterward, methanol vapor was slowly diffused into the above-mentioned mixture, affording dark red precipitate. The precipitate was further dissolved in tetrahydrofuran to filter off the unencapsulated C₆₀. After vacuum drying, about 0.76 g of dark red product for C₆₀@POC was obtained.

2. Characterization:

The morphology and elementary analysis of the samples were obtained by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (JSM 6700F) equipped with an energydispersive spectroscopy analyzer. Elemental mapping images were recorded on FEI talos F200i microscope from Bruker. Cryo-TEM was conducted on Titan Krios G3i 300kV Cryo-EM. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a Burker AVANCE 400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. High-resolution electrospray ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF-MS) spectra were recorded on a MaXis[™] 4G instrument from Bruker. N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements were carried out by using automatic volumetric adsorption equipment (Micromeritics, ASAP2020). UV-Vis-NIR spectra were performed on PerkinElmer Lambda-950 UV-Vis spectrometer using BaSO₄ as reference. Fluorescence emission spectrum were recorded on FLS920 spectrometer (Edinburgh Instruments, UK). Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy (UPS) was performed by ESCALAB 250Xi (ThermoFisher, USA). Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were obtained from Bruker ELEXSYS E500 spectrometer with incident light.

3. Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy

Fs-TA measurements were performed based on a femtosecond regenerative amplified Ti: sapphire laser system from Coherent (800 nm, 100 fs, 6 Mj per pulse, and 1 kHz repetition rate). The 800 nm output pulse from the regenerative amplifier was split into two parts with a beam splitter. The reflected part was used to pump a TOPAS Optical Parametric Amplifier (OPA), which generates a wavelength-tunable laser pulse from 250 nm to 2.5 mm as the pump beam. The transmitted 800 nm beam was attenuated with a neutral density filter and focused into a rotating CaF_2 disk to generate a white light continuum (WLC) from 350 nm to 800 nm

used for the probe beam. The probe beam was focused with an Al parabolic reflector onto the sample. After the sample, the probe beam was collimated and then focused into a fiber-coupled spectrometer and detected at a frequency of 1 kHz. The intensity of the pump pulse used in the experiment was controlled by a variable neutral-density filter wheel. The delay between the pump and probe pulses was controlled by a motorized delay stage. The pump pulses were chopped by a synchronized chopper at 500 Hz.

4. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels evaluation

The HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the materials were evaluated by cyclic voltammograms (CV) measurements. CV was conducted in a three-electrode configuration with a glass carbon electrode (diameter: 4 mm) as the working electrode, a Pt plate as counter electrode, and a silver wire pseudo-reference electrode. The electrolyte was acetonitrile containing with 0.10 M Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF6). The potential range was between -2.3 V and +1.3 V (vs. Fc/Fc⁺) at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The HOMO and LUMO levels of the materials can be determined by the onset potentials of the oxidation/ reduction potentials.

5. Electrode preparation and battery fabrication

Electrochemical performance of POC and C_{60} @POC was investigated in CR2025 coin cells, which comprises a POC or C_{60} @POC cathode, a Li foil anode and a polypropylene seperator (Celgard2400). Cathodes were prepared by making a slurry containing active material, carbon nanotube and poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF) in the ratio of 5 : 4 : 1 in 1methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP). The slurry was then cast onto stainless steel (SUS 304) foil, followed by drying at 60 °C in a vacuum oven for 24 h. The mass loading of the active material in each electrode was ~1.5 mg cm⁻². The electrolyte was 1 M LiTFSI dissolved in a mixture of 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) (1:1 in volume).

Photoelectrochemical performances of POC and C_{60} @POC were investigated in coin cells with nine holes at the cathode shells, which enables the illumination on the cathode. Also, a home-made lithium-ion battery was assembled, where the cathode was a piece of FTO coated by POC, C_{60} @POC and a mixture of of C4RACHO, phenylenediamine, and fullerene with 2 : 4 : 1 molar ratio, and the anode was Li foil supported by copper foil as current collector. The cathode and anode were soaked by the same electrolyte as that in the coin cell, and separated by a polypropylene membrane. Afterward, the above components were bonded together by a clamp, followed by sealing into a glass bottle to ensure insulation from oxygen and water.

6. Electrochemical and photoelectrochemical measurements

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed over the frequency range of 0.1 Hz~1 MHz with an input voltage amplitude of 5 mV. A 300 W Xe lamp (PLSSXE300, Perfectlight, China) equipped with an AM 1.5G filter was used as the light source, and the introduced light power is ~200 mW cm⁻². EIS was recorded on CHI660e electrochemical working station (CH Instrument Inc.), and galvanostatic measurements were conducted on a battery test system (LAND-V34, Land Electronic Co, Ltd, Wuhan). *I-V* curves were obtained by recording voltages at different discharge/charge current (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0 mA cm⁻²), and each discharge/charge current was maintained for 5s. *I- P* curves was obtained by multiplying currents and the corresponding voltages.

7. Computational calculation

To explore the effect of fullerene on cage, the molecular orbital properties of POC and C_{60} @POC with two entrapped C_{60} were investigated by the density functional theory (DFT) calculations. At first, their geometries were fully optimized by using the Dmol3 module program,² in which the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), with the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) functional,³ double numerical plus d-functions (DND) basis set, the Grimme method for DFT-D dispersion corrections⁴ and a high convergence criterion were adopted. And then, the frontier molecular orbitals of POC and C_{60} @POC with two entrapped C_{60} were calculated using the B3LYP functional and 6-31G(d) basis sets implemented in the Gaussian 16 program.^{5,6}

8. Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1 Digital photos of (a) POC and (b) C_{60} @POC powder.



Fig. S2 Liquid phase UV-Vis spectra of C_{60} , POC and C_{60} @POC in CHCl₃.



Fig. S3 SEM image of C_{60} @POC.



Fig. S4 Elemental mapping images of C_{60} @POC.



Elements	Wt.%	At.%
С	92.56	94.02
N	2.82	2.46
0	4.61	3.52
Total:	100.00	100.00

Fig. S5 EDS results of C_{60} @POC.



Fig. S6 (a) Cryo-TEM image of C_{60} @POC. (b) The corresponding interplanar spacing.



Fig. S7 N_2 absorption-desorption curves of POC and $C_{60}@POC.$



Fig. S8 Pore size and pore volume of POC and C_{60} @POC.



Fig. S9 ¹³C NMR of POC (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S10 13 C NMR of C₆₀@POC (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 298 K). *Indicates residual solvent chloroform and tetrahydrofuran.



Fig. S11 ¹H NMR of POC (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 298 K).



Fig. S12 ¹H NMR of C_{60} @POC (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 298 K). Yellow regions indicate changes in chemical shift upon C_{60} encapsulation. *Indicates residual solvent chloroform and tetrahydrofuran.



Fig. S13 ESI-TOF-MS spectrum of POC recorded in CHCl₃.



Fig. S14 ESI-TOF-MS spectra of C_{60} @POC recorded in CHCl₃. a) Full spectrum. b) From 1900

to 2000, m/z. c) From 1400 to 1520, m/z.



Fig. S15 Job's plot at 331 nm for the host-guest formation of POC with C_{60} .



Fig. S16 Structures of C_{60} @POC with one, two or three C_{60} molecules.



Fig. S17 Energy distribution of C_{60} @POC with (a) one C_{60} , (b) two C_{60} , and (c) three C_{60}

molecules.



Fig. S18 Total energy of C_{60} @POC with (a) one C_{60} , (b) two C_{60} , and (c) three C_{60} molecules.



Fig. S19 Tauc-plots of POC and C₆₀@POC.



Fig. S20 FL excitation spectra of C_{60} @POC and POC.



Fig. S22 fs-TA spectra of POC.



Fig. S22 fs-TA spectroscopy of the C_{60} @POC in the NIR region. (a) From 10 fs to 626 fs. (b) From 666 fs to 3.0 ns. The peaks at around 1100 nm indicate the presence of C_{60} radical anion.



Fig. S23 (a) Device for photo-assisted lithium-ion battery. (b) Home-made lithium-ion battery.



Fig. S24 Discharge/charge curves (a) and cyclic discharge capacities (b) of POC and C_{60} @POC.



Fig. S25 Charge and discharge curves of POC with or without illumination. No obvious contribution of light in discharge process can be observed, while the charge platform is dropped by 0.3 V (3.34 - 3.04 V) under light, therefore demonstrating a 5.6% increase of round-trip efficiency.



Fig. S26 Charge and discharge curves of C_{60} @POC under illumination. The photo-assisted discharge/charge capacity is 184/193 mAh g⁻¹, which is 115%/114% of the discharge/charge capacity without illumination.



Fig. S27 (a) *I-V* curve of POC during discharge. (b) *I-P* curve of POC during discharge. In light, the discharge voltage of POC maintains 1.42 V at 3 mA cm⁻² with a 0.52 V of voltage lifting compared to 0.90 V in dark condition. The corresponding output powers of POC in dark/light condition at 3 mA cm⁻² are 2.68 and 4.27 mW cm⁻² (Figure 3d), demonstrating a 59.3% increase in output power under illumination.



Fig. S28 (a) *I-V* curve of POC during charge. (b) *I-P* curve of POC during charge. In light, the charge voltage of POC is 3.59 V at 3 mA cm⁻² with a 0.16 V of voltage decrease compared to 3.75 V in dark condition. The corresponding input powers of POC in dark/light condition at 3 mA cm⁻² are 11.25 and 10.78 mW cm⁻² (Figure 3f), demonstrating a 4.2% decrease in input power under illumination.



Fig. S29 Solar conversion and storage performances of the battery using a mixture of C4RACHO, phenylenediamine, and C_{60} as the cathode. (a, b) *I-V* and *I-P* curves during discharge. (c, d) *I-V* and *I-P* curves during charge.

9. Supplementary Tables

Fragment	Calculated	Experimental
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})1(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1904.63	1903.89
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})3(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1913.64	1913.57
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1918.14	1918.24
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})5(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1922.65	1922.56
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})6(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1927.15	1927.56
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})-H\right]^{4-}$	1932.45	1932.45
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})1(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1936.95	1936.92
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})2(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1941.46	1942.25
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1947.62	1947.25
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})5(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1952.12	1952.58
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(CHCl_{3})6(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1956.63	1956.90
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}5(CHCl_{3})-H\right]^{4-}$	1962.29	1962.91
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}5(CHCl_{3})1(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1966.80	1966.27
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}5(CHCl_{3})2(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-1}$	1971.30	1971.26
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}5(CHCl_{3})4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1980.30	1981.26
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}5(CHCl_{3})6(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{4-}$	1989.32	1988.48

Table S1. Comparison of experimental and calculated mass data of C_{60} @POC.

Fragment	Calculated	Experimental
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}-H\right]^{5-1}$	1450.26	1450.42
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1464.67	1464.19
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}1(CHCl_{3})-H\right]^{5-1}$	1474.13	1473.92
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}1(CHCl_{3})1(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1477.73	1476.43
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}1(CHCl_{3})2(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1481.34	1480.18
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}1(CHCl_{3})3(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1484.94	1484.94
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}1(CHCl_{3})4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1488.54	1488.68
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}2(CHCl_{3})-H\right]^{5}$	1498.01	1497.94
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}2(CHCl_{3})1(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1501.61	1500.70
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}2(CHCl_{3})2(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1505.21	1504.68
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}2(CHCl_{3})4(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-1}$	1512.42	1511.69
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})-H\right]^{5-1}$	1521.88	1521.21
$\left[C_{360}H_{384}N_{24}O_{48}+2C_{60}3(CHCl_{3})2(H_{2}O)-H\right]^{5-}$	1529.09	1530.97

Structure	Total energy	Asorption energy	Rigid asorption energy	Deformation energy	C ₆₀ :dEad/dNi	
1	863.947	-148.588	-53.3834	-95.2042	-148.588	
2	864.148	-148.387	-53.1781	-95.2085	-148.387	
3	864.379	-148.156	-52.9666	-95.1898	-148.156	
4	864.709	-147.826	-52.6334	-95.1926	-147.826	
5	864.917	-147.618	-52.4319	-95.1859	-147.618	
6	865.281	-147.254	-52.0718	-95.1824	-147.254	
7	865.492	-147.043	-51.864	-95.1795	-147.043	
8	865.712	-146.823	-51.6583	-95.1645	-146.823	
9	866.041	-146.494	-51.3034	-95.191	-146.494	
10	866.393	-146.142	-50.9392	-95.2027	-146.142	
11	1726.466	-298.605	-108.174	-190.431	-150.05	
12	1726.882	-298.189	-107.782	-190.406	-149.635	
13	1727.082	-297.989	-107.555	-190.434	-149.491	
14	1727.316	-297.755	-107.344	-190.411	-149.234	
15	1727.551	-297.52	-107.121	-190.399	-148.991	
16	1727.788	-297.283	-106.887	-190.396	-148.832	
17	1728.083	-296.988	-106.588	-190.4	-148.822	
18	1728.316	-296.755	-106.391	-190.364	-148.973	
19	1728.543	-296.528	-106.139	-190.388	-148.867	
20	1728.907	-296.164	-105.782	-190.382	-148.901	
21	2617.975	-419.655	-133.987	-285.668	-121.679	
22	2618.263	-419.367	-133.729	-285.637	-121.392	
23	2618.665	-418.965	-133.317	-285.647	-121.227	
24	2618.883	-418.747	-133.058	-285.689	-121.002	
25	2619.102	-418.528	-132.826	-285.703	-120.331	
26	2619.405	-418.225	-132.532	-285.693	-120.25	
27	2619.715	-417.915	-132.224	-285.691	-119.84	
28	2619.917	-417.712	-132.061	-285.652	-119.902	
29	2620.138	-417.492	-131.799	-285.693	-119.159	
30	2620.436	-417.193	-131.525	-285.669	-119.002	

Table S2. Structure energy of C_{60} @POC.

Sample	$\tau_{s}\left(ps\right)$	$\tau_{CS}\left(ps\right)$	τ_{CR} (ps)	$\tau_{average} \left(ps \right)$
POC	0.49	178.49	3.95	3.56
C ₆₀ @POC	0.71	20.83	171.17	142.60

Table S3. Photoluminescence lifetimes of POC and C_{60} @POC.

	$R_{s}(\Omega)^{a}$		$R_{ct}(\Omega)^{b}$		
	Dark	Light	Dark	Light	
C ₆₀ @POC	8.4	8.1	32.7	16.5	
POC	12.3	10.7	45.2	36.9	

Table S4. Comparison of the resistances of POC and C_{60} @POC in dark/light conditions.

^a Resistance of the electrolyte.

^b Resistance of the charge transfer.

Table S5. Photoluminescence lifetimes of the reported photoelectrode materials for photo-assisted metal-ion battery and the corresponding solar

Photoelectrode	$\tau_{CS}(ps)$	τ_{CR} (ps)	$\tau_{average} (ps)$	Battery System	$\Delta V_{dis.}{}^{a}(V)$	$\Delta V_{cha.}{}^{b}(V)$	$\eta_{conversion}^{c}$ (%)	$\eta_{round-trip}{}^{d}(\%)$	Cycling stability	Refs.
N719 dye/LiFePO ₄	-	-	-	Li	-	-	0.06-0.08	-	15	7
$(C_6H_9C_2H_4NH_3)_2PbI_4$	-	-	-	Li	~ 0.5	-	~ 0.034	-	10	8
TiO ₂ /dye/Cu ₂ S	-	-	-	Li	~ 0.1	~ 0.4	~ 0.1	~ 30.6	10	9
rGO/P3HT/V2O5/Ag	-	-	-	Li	~ 0.15	~ 0.15	0.2	~ 8.0	200	10
Tetrakislawsone	-	-	-	Li	0	0.05	0.7	~ 1.0	~ 500	11
NT-COF	10.8	395.0	-	Li	0.5	0.5	-	38.7	100	12
Li _x TiO ₂ nanoparticle	-	-	97	Li	0.1	0.2	0.5	-	7	13
FTO/rGO/VO ₂ /Ag	-	-	-	Zn	~0.05	~0.05	0.18	~5.0	1000	14
CF/ZnO/MoS ₂	-	-	-	Zn	0.15	0.06	~0.2	~5.0	200	15
C ₆₀ @POC	20.8	171.2	142.6	т:	0.47	0.41	1.0	24.2	100	This
POC	178.5	4.0	3.6		0.29	0.30	0.3	5.6	100	work

to electrochemical energy storage performances.

^a Lifting of discharging voltage in light. ^b Dropping of charging voltage in light. ^c Solar to electrochemical energy conversion and storage efficiency. ^d Extra round-trip

10. Supplementary References

- 1. K. Su, W. Wang, S. Du, C. Ji, M. Zhou and D. Yuan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 18060-18072.
- Materials Studio DMol3 version 7.0, Density Functional Theory Electronic Structure Program, Copyright. a) B. J. Delley, *Chem. Phys.*, 1990, **92**, 508; b) B. J. Delley, *Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **113**, 7756– 7764; c) Accelrys Inc. 2013.
- 3. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865.
- 4. S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem., 2006, 27, 1787.
- 5. A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- 6. Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch et al., Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2019.
- A. Paolella, C. Faure, G. Bertoni, S. Marras, A. Guerfi, A. Darwiche, P. Hovington, B. Commarieu,
 Z. Wang, M. Prato, M. Colombo, S. Monaco, W. Zhu, Z. Feng, A. Vijh, C. George, G. P. Demopoulos, M. Armand and K. Zaghib. *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 14643.
- 8. S. Ahmad, C. George, D. Beesley, J. Baumberg and M. Volder, Nano Lett., 2018. 18, 1856-1862.
- 9. C. Xu, X. Zhang, L. Duan, X. Zhang, X. Li and Wei Lü, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 530-537.
- 10. B. Boruah, B. Wen and M. De Volder, Nano Lett., 2021, 21, 3527-3532.
- K. Kato, A. Puthirath, A. Mojibpour, M. Miroshnikov, S. Satapathy, N. Thangavel, K. Mahankali, L. Dong, L. Arava, G. John, P. Bharadwaj, G. Babu and P. Ajayan, *Nano Lett.*, 2021, 21, 907-913.
- 12. J. Lv, Y. X. Tan, J. Xie, R. Yang, M. Yu, S. Sun, M. D. Li, D. Yuan and Y. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2018, 57, 12716–12720.
- C. Andriamiadamanana, I. Sagaidak, G. Bouteau, C. Davoisne, C. Laberty-Robert and F. Sauvage, *Adv. Sustain. Syst.*, 2018, 1700166.
- 14. B. D. Boruah, A. Mathieson, S. K. Park, X. Zhang, B. Wen, L. Tan, A. Boies, M. D. Volder, Adv.

Energy Mater., 2021, 11, 2100115.

15. B. D. Boruah,* B. Wen, M. D. Volder, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 16616–16624.