Supporting Information (SI)

Controllable Encapsulation of Silver Nanoparticles by Porous Pyridine-Based Covalent Organic Frameworks for Efficient CO₂ Conversion Using Propargylic Amines

Yize Zhang,^a Xingwang Lan,^{*a,b} Fanyong Yan,^b Xingyue He,^a Juan Wang,^a Luis Ricardez-Sandoval,^c Ligong Chen,^d Guoyi Bai^{*a}

^aKey Laboratory of Chemical Biology of Hebei Province, College of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei, 071002, P.R. China.

^bTianjin Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Engineering Process Engineering, Tiangong University, Tianjin, 300387, PR China

^cDepartment of Chemical Engineering, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada.

^dSchool of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, P. R. China.

Corresponding Authors

E-mail: hxlxw@sina.cn (X. Lan), baiguoyi@hotmail.com (G. Bai)

Table of Contents

1. Experimental section	S1
1.1 Materials	S1
1.2 Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenyl)triazine (TAPT)	S1
1.3 Synthesis of 4,4'-pyridine-2,6-diyldibenzaldehyde (2,6-FPP)	S1
1.4 Synthesis of 4,4'-pyridine-3,5-diyldibenzaldehyde (3,5-FPP)	S2
1.5 Synthesis of propargylic amines	S3
1.6 Procedure for the cycling test	S3
1.7 Characterization	
2. Characterization data of substrates and products	S4
3. Supplementary results and discussion	S8
Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT as well as their	corresponding
monomers	S9
Figure S2. ¹³ C CP-MAS NMR spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT	S9
Figure S3. Raman spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT as well as their correspond	ing monomers.
	S10
Figure S4. XPS spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT: (a) survey spectrum, (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s	S10
Figure S5. XPS spectra of 3,5-FPP-TAPT: (a) survey spectrum, (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s	S11
Figure S6. TGA analysis of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT.	S11
Figure S7. SEM images of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT	S12
Figure S8. (a) N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms for the 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAP	T at 77 K; (b)
their pore size distribution determined by the NLDFT method	S12
Figure S9. PXRD patterns of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT.	S13
Figure S10. PXRD patterns of Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT	S14
Figure S11. EDS image of Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT	S15
Figure S12. EDS image of Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT	S15
Figure S13. FT-IR spectra of fresh and used Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT	S16
Figure S14. Hot filtration experiment using Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAP	T under room
temperature for 10 h	S16

Figure S15. TEM images and particle size distribution of used (a-c) Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and (d-f) Ag@3,5-
FPP-TAPTS17
Figure S16. The GC-MS spectra of ¹³ C-labeled 2a and unlabeled 2a produced from the carboxylative
cyclization of 1a using ¹³ CO ₂ as substrateS18
Figure S17. ¹ H NMR spectral changes on different systems in CDCl ₃
Figure S18. CO ₂ sorption isotherms of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT at 298 KS20
Figure S19. Continuous-flow setup (graphic and photographic representations) for the the carboxylative
cyclization of <i>N</i> -benzylprop-2-yn-1-amine (1a) with CO ₂ S20
Table S1. Comparison with previously reported catalytic systems.
REFERENCES
Copies of NMR spectra

1. Experimental section

1.1 Materials

Trifluoroacetic acid (99%), 4-formylphenylboronic acid (99%), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (99%), 2,6dibromopyridine (98%), and 3,5-dibromopyridine (98%) were purchased from Energy chemical Co., Ltd. Propargyl bromide (98%) and benzylamine derivatives (99%) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Mesitylene (98%) and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) (98%) were purchased from *J&K* Scientific Co., Ltd. 4-Aminobenzonitrile (98%) was provided by Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Other chemical reagents were purchased from local chemical suppliers. All chemical reagents were directly used without further purification.

1.2 Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris-(4-aminophenyl)triazine (TAPT)



TAPT was synthesized according to previous report with minor modification.^[1] Typically, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (2 mL, 22.2 mmol) was dropwise added in a 50 mL reaction flask containing 4-aminobenzonitrile (772 mg, 6.53 mmol) at 0 °C. After the addition, the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for a 24 h under Ar atmosphere. After completion, 20 mL H₂O was added to the mixture and then neutralized with 2M NaOH aqueous solution to form a precipitate. The collected yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with H₂O (2 × 50 mL), and then dried under vacuum at 60 °C. Finally, light yellow powder was obtained, affording to TAPT. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 8.36 (d, *J*=8.0, 6H), 6.7 (d, *J*=8.4, 6H), 5.9 (s, 6H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 170.07, 153.49, 130.66, 123.42, 113.61.

1.3 Synthesis of 4,4'-pyridine-2,6-diyldibenzaldehyde (2,6-FPP)



The 2,6-FPP was synthesized according to previous report with minor modification.^[2] Typically, a 50 mL reaction flask was charged with 2,6-dibromopyridine (237 mg, 1.0 mmol), 4-formylphenylboronic acid (350 mg, 2.33 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.0 g, 7.2 mmol), THF (10 mL) and H₂O (2.0 mL). The mixture was bubbled with nitrogen for 10 min, and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (100 mg, 0.086 mmol) was added in the mixture, which was then stirred for 36 h at 70 °C under Ar atmosphere. After completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and following that 20 mL H₂O was added to the mixture, which was then extracted with CHCl₂ (3×20 mL). The collected organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to obtain the crude product. The crude product was further purified by column chromatography (PE/DCM = 2:1, then neat DCM) and finally obtain a white solid (215 mg, 75 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 10.11 (s, 2H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.95 (dd, *J* = 8.4 Hz, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 191.99, 155.68, 144.53, 138.08, 136.60, 130.19, 127.57, 120.37.

1.4 Synthesis of 4,4'-pyridine-3,5-diyldibenzaldehyde (3,5-FPP)



The 2,6-FPP was synthesized according to previous report with minor modification.^[2] Typically, a 50 mL reaction flask was charged with 2,6-dibromopyridine (237 mg, 1.0 mmol), 4-formylphenylboronic acid (350 mg, 2.33 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.0 g, 7.2 mmol), THF (10 mL) and H₂O (2.0 mL). The mixture was bubbled with nitrogen for 10 min, and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (100 mg, 0.086 mmol) was added in the mixture, which was then stirred for 36 h at 70 °C under Ar atmosphere. After completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and following that 20 mL H₂O was added to the mixture, which was then extracted with CHCl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The collected organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to obtain the crude product. The crude product was further purified by column chromatography (neat DCM, then DCM/CH₃OH = 100/1) and finally obtain a white solid (207mg, 72 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 10.11 (s, 2H), 8.94 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 8.15 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz,

4H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), δ_C (ppm): 191.65, 147.94, 136.06, 135.63, 133.33, 130.57, 127.93.

1.5 Synthesis of propargylic amines



Propargylic amines 1a-1i were synthesized according to previous report with minor modification.^[3] In a typical experiment, propargyl bromide (3 mL, 39.3 mmol) was added dropwise with 30 min to amines (0.162 mol) in a 50 mL round bottom flask at 0 °C. Then after that, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After completion, the mixture was diluted with 40 mL ethyl ether, and then the organic phase was washed with NaHSO₄ saturated solution (3 × 40 mL). After collected organic phase dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, the organic phase was concentrated and further purified by column chromatography (PE/EA = 7/1, then PE/EA = 3/1), yellow oil was finally obtained.



Propargylic amines **1j** and **1k** were synthesized according to previous report with minor modification.^[4] In a typical experiment, the reaction flask containing CuI (114 mg, 0.6 mmol) was charged with alkyne (2.0 mmol), acetone (116 mg, 2.0 mmol), and *n*-butylamine (146 mg, 2.0 mmol) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 12 h. After the reaction was completed, the crude product was directedly purified by column chromatography (PE/EA = 20/1, then PE/EA = 3/1) and a yellow oil was finally obtained.

1.6 Procedure for the cycling test

After the reaction, the catalyst was collected by filtration and washed with CH_3OH (3 × 5 mL), and then dried under vacuum at 60 °C. And then the recovered catalyst was directly used as the recycled catalyst in next cycling test.

1.7 Characterization

The Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was a Nicolet iS10 spectrometer. ¹³C cross-polarization magic angle spinning (CP-MAS) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 400M NMR Spectrometer. Raman spectra were collected on the LabRAM HR Evolution with 633 nm laser. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were obtained in a Thermo ESCALAB 250 Xi Thermogravimetric (TGA) spectrometer. analysis was performed on STA449C/QMS403C/TENSOR27. The binding energies were referenced to the C 1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance Powder X-ray diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation. The loading content of Ag species in the catalysts was determined by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; Varian Vista MPX). The morphologies of the samples were investigated on a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi SU8010) and a transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F). The CO₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 298 K using an Autosorb-iQ-MP.

2. Characterization data of substrates and products

1a: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.36-7.25 (m, 5H), 3.88 (s, 2H), 3.43 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.73 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 139.36, 128.44, 128.41, 127.16, 82.05, 71.57, 52.25, 37.31.

1b: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.41 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H) , 1.63 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 158.82, 131.38, 129.72, 129.67, 113.81, 113.81, 82.10, 71.64, 55.32, 51.61, 37.14.

1c: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.29-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.01 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 3.40 (d, J = 2.4Hz, 2H), 2.27 (t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 160.81, 135.09, 130.02, 129.94, 115.32, 115.11, 81.93, 71.68, 51.40, 37.18.



1d: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.39-7.24 (m, 5H), 4.02(q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (s, 1H), 1.37(d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 144.24, 128.51, 127.23, 126.88, 82.11, 71.33, 56.31, 35.85, 23.87.



1e: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.32-7.219 (m, 5H), 3.43 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.82 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.2 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 1.36 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 139.69, 128.70, 128.49, 126.23, 81.95, 71.41, 49.73, 38.09, 36.10.



1f: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 3.46 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (tt, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.87-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.02 (m, 6H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 82.52, 70.99, 54.93, 35.09, 33.01, 26.08, 24.81.

1g: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 3.45 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.54-1.48 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.34 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 82.27, 71.20, 48.37, 38.17, 31.91, 20.42, 13.99.

1h: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.26 (dd, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.00-6.97 (m, 2H), 4.125 (s, 2H), 3.49 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.74 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 142.95, 126.71, 125.54, 124.85, 81.77, 71.87, 46.75, 37.08.



1i: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.22 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 146.71, 129.24, 118.74, 113.61, 80.92, 71.35, 33.70.



1j: black oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.40 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H), 2.78 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 6H), 1.40-1.37 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 131.58, 128.20, 127.74, 123.48, 94.68, 81.90, 50.26, 44.08, 32.66, 29.63, 20.60, 14.04.



1k: black oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.39-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.00-6.96 (m, 2H), 2.77 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.53-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 6H), 1.39-1.37 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 163.42, 160.95, 133.44, 133.36, 119.56, 119.52, 115.53, 115.31, 94.25, 80.91, 50.31, 44.07, 32.62, 29.61, 20.60, 14.02.



2a: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.37-7.26 (m, 5H), 4.74 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 4.24 (dd, J = 2.4 Hz, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 155.65, 148.92, 134.95, 128.98, 128.26, 128.18, 86.80, 47.85, 47.22.



2b: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.20 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.72 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 4.23 (dd, J = 2.4 Hz, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 159.52, 155.55, 149.02, 129.59, 126.95, 114.27, 86.66, 77.42, 77.10, 76.78, 55.31, 47.21, 47.06.



2c: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.29-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 4.27 (dd, J = 2.0 Hz, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 163.80, 161.34, 155.60, 148.83, 130.90, 130.87, 130.01, 129.93, 116.00, 115.79, 86.93, 47.16.



2d: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.40-7.30 (m, 5H), 5.26 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.76 (m, 1H), 1.60 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 155.09, 149.21, 138.78, 128.84, 128.15, 126.97, 86.58, 51.30, 43.63, 16.39.



2e: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.22-7.20 (m, 5H), 4.69 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 3.55 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 155.44, 149.00, 137.92, 128.75, 128.58, 126.78, 86.45, 48.34, 45.10, 33.87.



2f: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 4.72 (dd, J = 2.8 Hz, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 2.4 Hz, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.76-3.70 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.81 (m, 4H), 1.68 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 1.38-1.29 (m, 4H), 1.14-1.01 (m, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 154.88, 149.62, 86.21, 52.31, 44.07, 30.23, 25.24, 25.19.



2g: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 4.72 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, J = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.58-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.31 (m, 2H),

0.95 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.38-1.29 (m, 4H), 1.14-1.01 (m, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 155.59, 149.20, 86.37, 47.78, 43.46, 29.28, 19.77, 13.64.



2h: pale yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.30-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.98 (m, 2H), 4.74 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.27 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 155.17, 148.80, 137.05, 127.47, 127.17, 126.27, 86.96, 47.06, 42.20.



2j: yellow oil. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.58 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (s, 1H), 3.21 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.70-1.64 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 6H), 1.41-1.35 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 154.19, 153.49, 133.66, 128.46, 128.27, 126.71, 100.38, 62.18, 40.41, 31.52, 27.62, 20.24, 13.76.



2k: yellow solid. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm): 7.56 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 3.20 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.70-1.62 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 6H), 1.40-1.33 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm): 162.66, 160.21, 154.08, 153.12, 153.10, 129.92, 129.84, 115.45, 115.24, 99.31, 62.15, 40.42, 31.51, 27.60, 20.24, 13.75.

3. Supplementary results and discussion



Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT as well as their corresponding monomers.



Figure S2. ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S3. Raman spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT as well as their corresponding

monomers.



Figure S4. XPS spectra of 2,6-FPP-TAPT: (a) survey spectrum, (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s.



Figure S5. XPS spectra of 3,5-FPP-TAPT: (a) survey spectrum, (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s



Figure S6. TGA analysis of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S7. SEM images of (a) 2,6-FPP-TAPT and (b) 3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S8. (a) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms for the 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT at 77K; (b) their pore size distribution determined by the NLDFT method.



Figure S9. PXRD patterns of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT. Comparison of the experimental PXRD of 2,6-FPP-TAPT profile and the stimulated stack profiles of AA, AB, and ABC modes. (e) Ideal unit cell representation and the supercell of 2,6-FPP-TAPT in the P1 space group by the universal force field.

PXRD pattern of 2,6-FPP-TAPT revealed only moderate crystallinity with reflections at 3.37°, 7.3°, 8.5°, 11.7°, and 14.8°. We chose the 2,6-FPP-TAPT to compare its experimental PXRD pattern with their simulated patterns based on the AA, AB, ABC stacking modes. The position and intensity of experimentally observed curve cannot match with the AA and AB- modes, thus excluding their existence. Impressively, the ABC mode was the better match with the experimental profile although some deviations were still observed.



Figure S10. PXRD patterns of Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT.

The Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT were also tested by PXRD measurement. As shown in Figure S10, the PXRD patterns of Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT exhibit almost no change compared with the 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT. Also, no diffraction peaks corresponding to Ag NPs are observed, which can be possibly ascribed to too high dispersion or ultrasmall size of Ag NPs because of the confinement of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and 3,5-FPP-TAPT by the interaction between them. The PXRD results are consistent with TEM observations.



Element	Line Type	k Factor	Absorption Correction	Wt%	Wt% Sigma
С	K series	2.769	1.00	91.89	0.81
Ν	K series	3.515	1.00	7.02	0.77
Ag	K series	10.869	1.00	1.09	0.26

Figure S11. EDS image of Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT



Element	Line Type	k Factor	Absorption Correction	Wt%	Wt% Sigma
С	K series	2.769	1.00	92.13	0.68
Ν	K series	3.515	1.00	6.30	0.59
Ag	K series	10.869	1.00	1.57	0.39

Figure S12. EDS image of Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S13. FT-IR spectra of fresh and used Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S14. Hot filtration experiment using Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT under room temperature for 10 h.



Figure S15. TEM images and particle size distribution of used (a-c) Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT and (d-f)

Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT.



Figure S16. The GC-MS spectra of ¹³C-labeled **2a** and unlabeled **2a** produced from the carboxylative cyclization of 1a using ¹³CO₂ as substrate.



Figure S17. ¹H NMR spectral changes on different systems in d_6 -DMSO.

As shown in Figure S17, in comparison to the ¹H NMR spectrum of the liquid **1a**, ¹H signal of the N-H group slightly shifted from δ 2.45 to 2.48 ppm after adding the Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT; however, no significant change was observed in the characteristic signal shape, thus demonstrating that Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT interacted with **1a** by Ag^{...}NH hydrogen-bonding interaction⁴⁴ but without any activation. By contrast, the ¹H signal of the N-H group became dwarf even disappeared but no apparent shift was observed when adding DBU, indicating that the N-H group of **1a** was activated by DBU, which then reacted with CO₂ into the carbamate.



Figure S18. CO₂ sorption isotherms of 2,6-FPP-TAPT and Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT at 298 K.



Figure S19. Continuous-flow setup (graphic and photographic representations) for the carboxylative cyclization of *N*-benzylprop-2-yn-1-amine (**1a**) with CO_2 .

Entry	Cat. (mmol)	Substrate (mmol)	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	TON	TOF (h ⁻¹)	Ref.
1	CuI (0.1)	1	50	4	98	10	2	[5]
2	AgOAc(0.01)	0.5	25	7	95	48	7	[6]
3	AgNO ₃ (0.005)	1	60	2	94	188	94	[7]
4	CoBr ₂ (0.05)	0.5	80	9	99	10	1	[8]
5	$ZnCl_2(TBD)_2$ (0.05)	1	60	12	96	19	2	[9]
6	[DBUH][MIm] (1)	0.5	60	6	90	-	-	[10]
7	[Bmim][OAc] (0.25)	0.5	100	12	84	-	-	[11]
8	Ag ₂₇ -MOF (0.008)	0.8	25	6	97	97	16	[12]
9	TOS-Ag4 (0.008)	0.8	25	24	99	100	4	[13]
10	Zn ₁₁₆ (0.0027)	1	70	12	99	370	31	[3]
11	PdSCS (0.008)	0.8	80	16	99	100	6	[14]
12	Ag@TpPa-1 (0.008)	2.6	60	18	96	312	17	[15]
13	Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT (0.00026)	0.5	50	2	>99	1928	964	this work

 Table S1. Comparison with previously reported catalytic systems.

14	Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT	0.5	50	2	91	1754	877	this work
	(0.00026)	0.5						
15	Ag@2,6-FPP-TAPT	0.5	25	10	>99	1928	193	this work
	(0.00026)	0.5						
16	Ag@3,5-FPP-TAPT	0.5	25	10	76	1465	147	this work
	(0.00026)	0.5						UIIS WULK

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Gomes, P. Bhanja, A. Bhaumik, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 10050-10053.
- [2] M. Otte, M. Lutz, R. J. M. K. Gebbink, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 1657-1661.
- [3] C. S. Cao, S. M. Xia, Z. J. Song, H. Xu, Y. Shi, L. N. He, P. Cheng, B. Zhao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 132, 8664-8671.
- [4] H. Wang, J. Ying, M. Lai, X. Qi, J.-B. Peng, X.-F. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 1693-1703.
- [5] Y. Zhao, J. Qiu, L. Tian, Z. Li, M. Fan, J. Wang, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2016, 4, 5553-5560.
- [6] S. Yoshida, K. Fukui, S. Kikuchi, T. Yamada, Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 786-787.
- [7] M. Yoshida, T. Mizuguchi, K. Shishido, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 15578-15581.
- [8] Z.-H. Zhou, S.-M. Xia, S.-Y. Huang, Y.-Z. Huang, K.-H. Chen, L.-N. He, J. CO₂ Util. 2019, 34, 404-410.
- [9] X. Liu, M. Y. Wang, S. Y. Wang, Q. Wang, L. N. He, ChemSusChem. 2017, 10, 1210-1216.
- [10] J. Hu, J. Ma, Q. Zhu, Z. Zhang, C. Wu, B. Han, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5399-403.
- [10] J. Hu, J. Ma, Z. Zhang, Q. Zhu, H. Zhou, W. Lu, B. Han, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 1219-1225.
- [12] M. Zhao, S. Huang, Q. Fu, W. Li, R. Guo, Q. Yao, F. Wang, P. Cui, C. H. Tung, D. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59, 20031-20036.
- [13] Z. Chang, X. Jing, C. He, X. Liu, C. Duan, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1384-1391.
- [14] P. Brunel, J. Monot, C. E. Kefalidis, L. Maron, B. Martin-Vaca, D. Bourissou, *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7, 2652-2660.
- [15] S. Ghosh, R. A. Molla, U. Kayal, A. Bhaumik, S. M. Islam, Dalton Tran. 2019, 48, 4657-4666.

Copies of NMR spectra

















45 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 f1 (ppm)











140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -5 fl (ppm)





















