Support Information of "Fluorescence and biological stabilization of phosphorous-functionalized mesoporous silica nanospheres modified with a bis(8-hydroxyquinoline) Zn complex"

Norio Saito^{1*}; Daichi Noda²; Yucheng Shang²; Shota Yamada²; Motohiro Tagaya²

¹Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan.

²Department of Materials Science and Technology, Nagaoka University of Technology, 1603-1 Kamitomioka, Nagaoka, Niigata 940-2188, Japan.

- 1. Materials and Methods
- **1.1 Preparation of the PMPS nanospheres**
- 1.2 Chemisorption of Znq₂
- 1.3 Stability tests in simulated body fluids
- 1.4 Other characterization methods

2. Results and Discussion

Figure S1: N₂ adsorption (close circle) and desorption (opne circle) isotherms of (a) 0.15Z/MPS,
(b) 0.3Z/MPS, (c) 0.45Z/MPS, (d) 0.6Z/MPS, (e) 0.75Z/MPS, (f) 0.15Z/PMPS, (g) 0.3Z/PMPS,
(h) 0.45Z/PMPS, (i) 0.6Z/PMPS and (j) 0.75Z/PMPS.

Figure S2: Low-angle PXRD patterns of (a) *nZ*/MPS and (b) *nZ*/PMPS. A broad diffraction peak observed in a range of $2\theta = 1-4^{\circ}$ indicates presence of ordered porous structure with an interplanar d_{100} spacing.

Figure S3: (a) Variation in the molecular occupancy of Znq_2 for *nZ*/MPS and *nZ*/PMPS as a function of its equilibrium adsorption concentration. (b) Relation between the calculated molecular occupancy and BET surface area.

Figure S4: Fluorescence spectra of the Znq₂ solution and powder excited with $\lambda_{ex} = 356$ nm.

Figure S5: N₂ adsorption (closed circle) and desorption (open circle) isotherms of (a) 0.75Z/MPS

and (b) 0.75Z/PMPS, and (c) their S_{BET} values as a function of the SBF soaking time.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1 Preparation of the PMPS nanospheres

According to our previous report [1], the PMPS particles were synthesized. The copolymer comprising poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) and poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) with the formula of PEO₂₀PPO₇₀PEO₂₀ (43.1 µmol), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (670 µmol), ethanol (19 mL), ultrapure water (40 mL) and 25 wt% aqueous ammonium solution (5.8 mL) were vigorously mixed at 40 °C. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (4.30 µmol) and diethyl(2-bromoethyl)phosphonate of 0 or 25.8 µmol were dissolved in the surfactant-dispersed solution. Here, the feed molar ratio of P to Si (P/Si) was 0 and 6, which were named as MPS and PMPS, respectively. The mixed solution was sealed in a stainless-steel hydrothermal synthesis reactor and hydrothermally treated at 100 °C with stirring. The white-colored precipitation was centrifuged, washed by ultrapure water, dried, and then calcined at 550 °C.

1.2 Chemisorption of Znq₂

Adsorption of Znq₂ into the prepared mesoporous particles was conducted by admixture of them (dried at 393K for 2 h under dry air) with the ethanol solution of Znq₂ with different initial concentrations (0.15, 0.3, 0.45, 0.6, 0.75 mM: n) at room temperature for 3 h. The resulting particles were physically washed by ultrapure water and dried under reduced pressure for 1 day and were designated as nZ/MPS or nZ/PMPS. The adsorbed amount of Znq₂ on the particles was determined from the changes in the concentration of Znq₂ for the original complex solution before and after the adsorption experiment. The concentration of Znq₂ was determined from the absorbance spectra of Znq₂ in the ethanol solvent, recorded with a V-750 ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) photospectrometer (JASCO, Japan). The adsorption amount at the equilibrium state (W) was

calculated using the equation (1) based on the adsorption isotherms. According to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm formula [2], the equation of state for the one-component adsorption can be represented as follows:

$$C / W = 1 / (K_{eq} \cdot W_{max}) + (1 / W_{max}) C$$
(1)

where C, K_{eq} and W_{max} means the Znq₂ concentration in the equilibrium state, the adsorption equilibrium constant and the maximum adsorption amount, respectively. The K_{eq} and W_{max} were determined from the slope of a C/W versus C plot. From the adsorbed amounts, the occupation molecular area of Znq₂ in the mesopores was assumed and compared to the theoretical occupancy of the immobilized Znq₂ evaluated from the gas-adsorption surface area analysis described below.

1.3 Stability tests in simulated body fluids

Chemical stability of the mesoporous particles was evaluated by immersing them into the body simulated fluid (SBF) solution. In this experiment, 0.1 g of the sample (0.75Z/MPS or 0.75Z/PMPS) was immersed in 3.75 mL of SBF containing 1.5 times ion concentrations (*i.e.*, 1.5 SBF) for 2, 6, 12, 24, 48 h at 37 °C. 1.5 SBF (Na⁺, 213 mM; K⁺, 7.5 mM; Mg²⁺, 2.5 mM; Ca²⁺, 3.8 mM; Cl⁻, 222 mM; HCO₃⁻, 6.3 mM; HPO₄²⁻, 1.5 mM; SO₄²⁻, 0.75 mM; Tris, 75 mM) was prepared according to the previous report [3], and the pH value was adjusted to be 7.4. The particles after the immersion times of Y h (Y = 0, 2, 6, 12, 24, 48) were centrifuged and dried at 65 °C, and defined as 0.75Z/MPS-Y for the 0.75Z/MPS particles, and as 0.75Z/PMPS-Y for the 0.75Z/PMPS particles, respectively. For evaluating the eluted silicate ion concentration, the supernatant liquids were quantitatively analyzed using molybdenum-blue colorimetric method [4] using the UV-vis

photospectrometer. The calibration curve of the silicate ions dissolved in sodium carbonate solution at the absorbance at 590 nm were used for the standard data. The absorbance at no silicate ions in SBF was subtracted as the background from the resultant absorbance.

1.4 Other characterization methods

Characterization of the chemical bonding states was performed upon Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer FT/IR-4600ST (JASCO, Japan). For the analysis of the FT-IR spectra, the band peak due to siliceous bonding was deconvoluted by peak fitting with the Gaussian function using the SOLVER option in the software (Excel 2013). Then, center of wavenumber (x) for each deconvoluted peak was optimized in the range of $x\pm 5$ cm⁻¹, and full width at half maximum (FWHM) of each peak was also optimized under a restriction to unify them. Surface area of the prepared particles was determined from nitrogen (N₂) adsorption and desorption instrument BELSORP-mini II (MicrotracBEL Corp., Japan). In the N₂ adsorption and desorption experiments, Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) [5] surface areas and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) [6] pore sizes were calculated. The morphologies of the particles were observed using a FE-SEM SU8000 (Hitachi High-Technologies, Japan) and were defined as the averaged particle sizes and variation coefficients. The average size of the mesopores was characterized using an X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectrometer equipped with a CuK α X-ray radiation source. Photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy of the samples was recorded with a FP-8500 (JASCO, Japan) with an excitation wavelength at 356 nm.

2. Results and discussion



Figure S1. N₂ adsorption (close circle) and desorption (opne circle) isotherms of (a) 0.15Z/MPS, (b) 0.3Z/MPS, (c) 0.45Z/MPS, (d) 0.6Z/MPS, (e) 0.75Z/MPS, (f) 0.15Z/PMPS, (g) 0.3Z/PMPS, (h) 0.45Z/PMPS, (i) 0.6Z/PMPS and (j) 0.75Z/PMPS.



Figure S2. Low-angle PXRD patterns of (a) *nZ*/MPS and (b) *nZ*/PMPS. A broad diffraction peak observed in a range of $2\theta = 1-4^{\circ}$ indicates presence of ordered porous structure with an interplanar d_{100} spacing.



Figure S3. (a) Variation in the molecular occupancy of Znq_2 for nZ/MPS and nZ/PMPS as a function of its equilibrium adsorption concentration. (b) Relation between the calculated molecular occupancy and BET surface area.



Figure S4. Fluorescence spectra of the Znq₂ solution and powder excited with $\lambda_{ex} = 356$ nm.



Figure S5. N₂ adsorption (closed circle) and desorption (open circle) isotherms of (a) 0.75Z/MPS and (b) 0.75Z/PMPS, and (c) their S_{BET} values as a function of SBF soaking time.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Yamada, Y. Shang, I. Yamada, M. Tagaya, Advanced Powder Technology, 2019, 30, 1116.
- [2] I. Abe, Oleo Sci., 2002, 2, 275.
- [3] T. Kokubo, H. Takadama, Biomaterials, 2006, 27, 2907.
- [4] Y. Shang, S. Yamada, Y. Chai, M. Tagaya, Key Eng. Mater., 2018, 782, 59.
- [5] S. Brunauer, P.H. Emmett, E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1938, 60, 309.
- [6] E.P. Barrett, L.G. Joyner, P.P. Halenda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1951, 73, 373.