Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

#### Supplemental Material for "MoS<sub>2</sub> and Janus (MoSSe) Based 2D van der Waals Heterostructures: Emerging Direct Z-scheme Photocatalysts"

Arunima Singh<sup>\*</sup>, Manjari Jain, Saswata Bhattacharya<sup>\*</sup> Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India

### I. ELECTROSTATIC POTENTIAL



Fig.S 1: Schematic of electrostatic potential as obtained corresponding to  $MoS_2/WS_2$  vdW HTS.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Arunima. Singh@physics.<br/>iitd.ac.in [AS], saswata@physics.iitd.ac.in [SB]

### **Averaged Electrostatic Potential**



Fig.S 2: Averaged electrostatic potential corresponding to TMDs viz.  $TiS_2$  (upper panel),  $HfS_2$  (middle panel) and  $ZrS_2$  (lower panel) for I, II and III configurations.



Fig.S 3: Averaged electrostatic potential corresponding to TMOs viz. HfO<sub>2</sub> (upper panel), T-SnO<sub>2</sub> (middle panel) and T-PtO<sub>2</sub> (lower panel) for I, II and III configurations.



Fig.S 4: Electrostatic potential of  $T-PtO_2$  for I, II and III configurations, showing dipole direction at the interface.



Fig.S 5: Electrostatic potential corresponding to  $ZrS_2$  (upper panel) and  $HfS_2$  (lower panel) for I, II and III configurations.



Fig.S 6: Electrostatic potential corresponding to  $TiS_2$  (upper panel),  $HfO_2$  (middle panel) and  $SnO_2$  (lower panel) for I, II and III configurations.

# **II. LATTICE PARAMETERS**

$BX_2$	$MoS_2$	$WS_2$	$\mathrm{ZrS}_2$	$\mathrm{HfS}_2$	$\mathrm{Ti}\mathrm{S}_2$	$\mathrm{HfO}_{2}$	$T-PtO_2$	$T-SnO_2$
1	6.321	6.321	7.120	7.019	6.660	6.243	6.296	6.450

## **III. BAND GAPS OF CONFIGURATIONS**

TABLE II: Band gaps of the monolayers and their corresponding vdW HTSs.

PV.	Band Gap (eV) (Indirect/Direct)						
	Monolayer	Ι	II	III			
$MoS_2$	-/2.257	-	-	-			
MoSSe	-/2.172	-	-	-			
$WS_2$	-/2.447	1.636/2.038	1.879/1.885	1.589/1.928			
$\mathrm{ZrS}_2$	1.829/1.892	0.752/0.809	0.123/0.177	0.676/0.729			
$HfS_2$	1.937/2.019	0.746/0.863	0.079/0.185	0.663/0.773			
$\mathrm{TiS}_2$	1.672/1.769	0.414/0.418	0.047/0.056	0.520/0.525			
$HfO_2$	3.516/3.520	0.486/0.499	0.072/0.090	0.440/0.453			
T-PtO <sub>2</sub>	3.304/3.313	1.323/1.525	0.595/0.846	1.178/1.423			
$T-SnO_2$	4.143/4.297	1.130/1.285	0.460/0.882	1.069/1.473			



Fig.S 7: (Color online) Bandstructures corresponding to the supercell of MoS<sub>2</sub>/BX<sub>2</sub> HTSs (configuration I) where MoS<sub>2</sub>/ZrS<sub>2</sub> has similar bandstructure as that of MoS<sub>2</sub>/HfS<sub>2</sub>. The bandstructures corresponding to the MoSSe based vdW HTSs (configurations II and III) are similar with slight change in energetics. The red and green points correspond to the conduction band minimum and valence band maximum.



Fig.S 8: (Color online) Planar averaged charge density



Fig.S 9: Absorption spectra of Janus (MoSSe) and monolayer TMDs i.e MoS<sub>2</sub>, WS<sub>2</sub>, TiS<sub>2</sub>, HfS<sub>2</sub> and ZrS<sub>2</sub>

### VII. EXCITON BINDING ENERGY

We have performed mBSE calculations, with an intention to simply compare the exciton binding energy  $(E_B)$  of vdW HTSs with MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoSSe. We have included four valence and conduction bands for mBSE on top of hybrid functional. However, there is a huge scope in understanding the excitonic transitions in these systems with different computational approaches. Presently, considering the Z-scheme application, the smaller  $E_B$  of vdW HTSs as compared to MoS<sub>2</sub> (or MoSSe) would allow more  $e^- - h^+$  recombination in vdW HTS thereby facilitating MoS<sub>2</sub> (or MoSSe) for HER.

TABLE III: Exciton binding energies of vdW HTSs, MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoSSe monolayers

	$\mathrm{MoS}_2$	MoSSe	$MoSSe/HfS_2$	$MoSSe/TiS_2$	$MoS_2/SnO_2$	$MoSSe/ZrS_2$	$MoSSe/ZrS_2$	$MoSSe/SnO_2$
$E_B (eV)$	1.5	1.8	0.44	0.37	1.3	0.42	0.41	1.3

#### VIII. CARRIER MOBILITY

$$\mu = \frac{2e\hbar^3 C}{3k_B T |m^*|^2 E_1^2} \tag{1}$$

In this expression C is defined as  $C = [\partial^2 E / \partial \delta^2] / S^0$ . E refers to the total energy of the system,  $\delta$  is the applied uniaxial strain, and  $S^0$  is the area of the optimized vdW HTS. m<sup>\*</sup> is the effective mass, expressed as m<sup>\*</sup> =  $\hbar^2 (\partial E^2 / \partial k^2)^{-1}$ . T represents temperature, and  $E_1$  is the deformation potential constant that is defined as  $\Delta E = E_1(\Delta l/l_0)$ . Here,  $\Delta E$  is the energy shift of the band edge position with respect to the lattice strain  $\Delta l/l_0$ . The energies of the band edges (CBm or VBM) are obtained with vacuum level as the reference.