

## **Supporting Information**

### **High-Throughput Molecular Simulations Reveal the Origin of Ion Free Energy Barriers in Graphene Oxide Membranes**

Christopher D. Williams\*, Paola Carbone and Flor R. Siperstein

Department of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, School of Engineering,  
The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom.

#### **Data Tables**

**Table S1.** Permeation free energy barriers,  $\Delta F_i$ , and pull energies,  $U_{\text{pull}}$ , for each  $K^+$  permeation pathway in GO membranes with a water content of 15% (average interlayer spacing,  $d = 0.79$  nm). The final column shows the convergence of free energy barrier with number of pathways obtained by selecting the minimum energy pathway and averaging over the five membranes. Units:  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

<i>i</i>	1		2		3		4		5		$\Delta F$
	$\Delta F_i$	$U_{\text{pull}}$									
1	193.7	10.1	104.5	3.4	151.9	6.4	77.7	3.0	42.6	8.9	114.1
2	53.6	7.7	86.8	4.1	162.8	6.0	59.8	2.9	204.6	12.0	79.0
3	129.2	8.6	67.6	4.1	174.7	6.9	206.0	4.5	50.4	10.4	75.1
4	208.9	8.4	57.8	3.4	169.8	6.3	132.1	3.7	101.4	12.8	73.2
5	113.2	8.9	245.4	6.6	46.0	5.4	124.5	3.2	129.6	12.5	52.0
6	78.0	7.9	450.2	8.5	134.5	6.7	205.8	5.2	157.2	8.5	52.0
7	161.7	11.5	104.6	3.5	89.4	6.4	261.7	5.6	69.3	9.4	52.0
8	71.5	8.5	112.7	3.9	78.9	7.7	58.9	2.3	71.1	9.0	51.8
9	125.5	10.6	88.3	3.9	359.5	11.6	137.8	3.7	89.0	7.6	51.8
10	140.5	9.6	89.5	3.5	172.1	8.8	41.7	2.3	42.4	7.7	48.3
11	224.6	9.9	136.7	5.6	178.3	6.0	137.5	3.3	72.9	8.9	48.3
12	169.8	9.3	96.5	4.8	463.9	10.2	66.3	3.0	145.5	8.9	48.3
13	171.1	11.6	98.8	3.6	109.3	6.1	169.7	5.8	71.4	8.7	48.3
14	194.5	8.8	193.7	5.7	53.9	5.8	50.4	2.4	160.8	9.5	48.3
15	146.3	8.5	107.5	7.2	119.0	7.0	346.6	10.4	95.7	15.0	48.3

**Table S2.** The two free energy barriers,  $\Delta F_i$ , for each water content and each of the five membrane models. Units: kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

H <sub>2</sub> O content (%)	1	2	3	4	5
<b>10</b>	49.1	107.0	66.4	66.1	56.7
<b>15</b>	53.6	78.0	57.8	104.5	46.0
<b>20</b>	40.1	57.5	56.4	35.9	46.6
<b>25</b>	30.4	46.1	22.4	17.3	24.3
<b>30</b>	47.9	22.1	64.4	33.6	47.4
<b>35</b>	22.4	25.1	11.2	37.2	10.7
<b>40</b>	13.6	45.6	19.6	16.7	38.3
					14.7
					25.7
					12.7
					19.3
					23.2

**Table S3.** Average  $n_{\text{KOW}}$  from the lowest energy pathway from each of the five membrane models at each water content.

H <sub>2</sub> O content (wt%)	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
<i>d</i> (nm)	0.76	0.79	0.87	0.96	1.06	1.19	1.35
1	3.9	4.0	5.0	5.9	5.8	6.0	6.3
2	4.3	4.3	5.3	5.7	5.1	6.1	6.0
3	3.9	4.9	5.2	5.8	5.4	6.3	6.3
4	4.0	4.4	4.8	5.2	5.7	6.0	5.9
5	3.6	4.7	4.9	5.5	5.7	6.2	6.1
average	3.9	4.5	5.1	5.6	5.6	6.1	6.1
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	54	62	70	77	77	84	84

**Table S4.** Maximum  $n_{\text{KOW}}$  from the 50 umbrella sampling windows for the lowest energy pathway for each of the five membrane models at varying water content.

<b>H<sub>2</sub>O content (wt%)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>d (nm)</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.35</b>
1	5.7	4.8	6.4	6.3	6.6	6.5	6.7
2	4.9	5.8	6.6	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.7
3	5.2	6.5	6.1	6.7	6.1	6.5	6.7
4	5.9	5.8	6.4	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.8
5	5.0	5.9	6.4	6.3	6.4	6.6	6.8
average	5.4	5.8	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.7
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	74	79	88	88	88	90	93

**Table S5.** Minimum  $n_{\text{KOW}}$  from the 50 umbrella sampling windows for the lowest energy pathway for each of the five membrane models at varying water content.

<b>H<sub>2</sub>O content (wt%)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>d (nm)</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.35</b>
1	1.0	2.7	3.1	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.7
2	3.1	2.7	3.1	4.1	3.8	4.2	3.1
3	2.8	3.6	3.2	4.9	3.9	5.4	5.1
4	1.4	3.3	2.4	3.4	3.6	4.1	4.4
5	1.6	3.1	2.7	3.6	4.3	4.1	4.4
average	2.0	3.1	2.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	27	42	40	56	55	60	60

**Table S6.** Average  $n_{\text{KO}}$  for the lowest energy pathway, averaged over all 50 umbrella sampling windows for each of the five membrane models at varying water content.

<b>H<sub>2</sub>O content (wt%)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>d (nm)</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.35</b>
1	6.8	6.9	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.4
2	6.5	7.2	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.4	7.5
3	7.0	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.6
4	7.0	6.9	7.9	7.3	7.2	7.5	7.4
5	7.0	7.3	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.3
average	7.1	7.1	7.6	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.4
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	95	97	105	102	103	104	102

**Table S7.** Maximum  $n_{\text{KO}}$  from the 50 umbrella sampling windows for the lowest energy pathway for each of the five membrane models at varying water content.

<b>H<sub>2</sub>O content (wt%)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>d (nm)</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.35</b>
1	8.7	9.0	8.5	8.7	8.5	8.6	8.4
2	8.9	9.5	8.6	8.8	8.7	8.5	9.6
3	8.6	8.6	8.4	8.6	9.5	8.4	9.3
4	8.8	8.5	9.2	9.2	9.0	8.7	8.2
5	8.8	9.3	9.2	8.5	8.6	9.4	8.6
average	8.8	9.0	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.7	8.8
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	121	124	121	121	122	120	121

**Table S8.** Minimum  $n_{\text{KO}}$  from the 50 umbrella sampling windows for the lowest energy pathway for each of the five membrane models at varying water content.

<b>H<sub>2</sub>O content (wt%)</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>d (nm)</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.35</b>
1	6.3	5.7	5.6	7.1	6.6	6.8	6.9
2	5.8	5.9	6.7	7.0	7.0	6.2	6.7
3	6.3	5.3	6.4	7.3	6.7	7.3	6.9
4	6.1	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.0
5	6.0	6.4	7.1	7.1	6.4	6.9	6.8
average	6.1	5.9	6.4	6.9	6.7	6.8	6.9
% of bulk $n_{\text{KOW}}$	84	81	89	96	92	94	94