## Nanodelivery Vehicles Induce Remote Biochemical Changes in vivo

Kristen N. Sikora, Laura J. Castellanos-García, Joseph M. Hardie, Yuanchang Liu, Michelle E. Farkas, Vincent M. Rotello, Richard W. Vachet\*

Corresponding Author \*Richard W. Vachet: e-mail, rwvachet@chem.umass.edu; phone, (+1) 413-545-2733; fax, (+1) 413-545-4490. Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, United States

## **Table of Contents**

**Figure S-1:** ICP-MS measured gold concentrations in TNF-α NPSC, scrambled NPSCs, and Arg-AuNP tissue digests

**Table S-1:** TNF- $\alpha$  NPSC, scrambled NPSC, and arginine AuNP-injected mouse spleen lipid changes

**Table S-2:** TNF- $\alpha$  NPSC, scrambled NPSC, and arginine AuNP-injected mouse liver lipid changes

**Table S-3:** Physical characterization of nanomaterials obtained by DLS

Figure S-2: Effect of different AuNP concentrations on lipid ionization in MALDI-MS

**Figure S-3:** Representative LA-ICP-MS images of gold and iron distributions in spleen tissue from mice injected with scrambled NPSCs

**Figure S-4:** Representative LA-ICP-MS images of gold and iron distributions in liver tissue from mice injected with scrambled NPSCs

**Figure S-5:** Representative MALDI-MS images of glucosylceramide distributions in the liver upon injection of nanomaterials into mice

**Figure S-6**: LA-ICP-MS gold and MALDI-MS glucosylceramide co-registration analysis in TNF-α NPSCs, scrambled NPSCs, and arginine AuNP-injected mouse liver tissues.



**Figure S-1.** ICP-MS analysis of gold in digested tissues from mice injected with anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs, scrambled NPSCs, and Arg-AuNPs. The ICP-MS data for the anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs and scrambled NPSCs was also reported previously.<sup>1</sup> All indicated concentrations are average values from three mice. Error is reported as standard deviation. Note that the injected concentration of gold for the Arg-AuNPs was 10-fold higher than the gold injected in the NPSC-injected mice.

**Table S-1.** MALDI-MSI detected lipid changes in splenic tissues of mice injected with anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs, scrambled siRNA NPSCs, or arginine AuNPs as compared to control mouse splenic tissues. Lipid responses in the TNF- $\alpha$  NPSC column are highlighted as follows: green for "predicted" responses, red for "unexpected" responses, and white for no observed response, based on TNF- $\alpha$  knockdown. Lipids are highlighted in scrambled NPSCs or arginine AuNP column if they share a response with the TNF- $\alpha$  column. (Abbreviations: LPC – lysophosphatidylcholine; PC – phosphatidylcholine; Cer – ceramide; SM – sphingomyelin; PE – phosphatidylethanolamine; CAR – carnitine; "p-" – plasmalogen)

		ROC AUC Values from Comparisons to Control Tissues		
Lipid I.D.	Detected m/z	TNF-α NPSCs <sup>a</sup>	Scrambled NPSCs <sup>a</sup>	Arginine AuNPs
CAR (16:0)	400.6	0.293	0.508	0.689
CAR (18:1)	426.4	0.318	0.420	0.533
	496.3	0.715	0.510	0.620
LPC (16:0)	519.3	0.715	0.513	
LPC (18:0)	524.4	0.683	0.515	0.497
LPC (18:2)	520.3	0.501	0.553	0.502
PC (30:0)	706.5	0.55	0.515	0.569
PC (p-32:0)	756.5	0.706	0.652	0.463
PC (34:0)	762.6	0.785	0.773	0.603
PC (p-34:0)	746.6	0.692	0.686	0.602
PC (34·1)	760.6	0.531	0.501	0.466
F C (34.1)	798.5			
PC (p-34:1)	744.6	0.616	0.683	0.662
PC (34:2)	780.5	0.446	0.478	0.426
	786.5	0.727	0.543	0.608
ΓΟ (p-30.3)	802.5			
$PC(p_{-36})$	788.6	0.684	0 689	0 544
г с (р-зо.4 <i>)</i>	804.5	0.004	0.003	0.044

	770.6			
PC (p-36:2)	792.6	0.739	0.510	0.627
	808.6			
	790.6	•		
PC (36:0) <sup>d</sup>	812.6	0.717	0.715	0.509
	828.6			
PC (p-38:6) <sup>d</sup>	790.6	0.717	0.719	0.549
PC(p, 39:5)	792.6	0.77	0.400	0.504
FC (p-36.3)	830.5	0.77	0.490	0.001
	794.6			
PC (p-38:4)	816.6	0.717	0.633	0.546
	832.6			
DO(n, 40.5)	820.6	0.01	0.610	0.527
PC (p-40:5)	858.6	0.81	0.610	
2H OH Cer (d18:1/20:0)	650.5	0.301	0.437	0.596
SM (d19·1/17·0)	739.6	0.737	0.557	0.584
Sivi (018.1/17.0)	755.6			
SM (d18:1/20:0)	759.6	0.767	0.627	0.403
SM (d18·1/21·1)	771.6	0 722	0.691	0 501
Sivi (010.1/21.1)	809.7	0.722	0.001	0.591
SM (d18:1/23:2)	820.6	0.283	0.627	0.531
SM (d18:1/24:0)	853.8	0.74	0.342	0.469
PE (26:4)	608.4	0.745	0.669	0.592
PE (p-34:3)	698.5	0.664	0.531	0.697
DE(n, 24:2)	700.5	0.476	0.583	0 441
' L (P-0+.2 <i>)</i>	722.5			0.771
PE (p-34:1)	732.5	0.661	0.523	0.398
PE (p-36:4)	724.5	0.661	0.469	0.508

PE (p-36:3)	726.5	0.693	0.626	0.566
PE (38:2)	794.6	0.653	0.302	0.514
LPC (p-18:0)	508.2	0.306	0.342	0.459
LPC (20:4)	544.3	0.345	0.488	0.350
PC (32·0)	734.6	0 724	0 547	0.656
10(02.0)	772.7	0.724	0.047	0.000
PC (34:3)	756.7	0.718	0.682	0.563
2H Cer (d18:1/25:1)	681.7	0.331	0.527	0.547
2H Cer (d18:1/20:1)	632.7	0.343	0.565	0.498
PE (p-34:1)	583.5	0.324	0.468	0.501
PE (p-36:4)	583.5	0.324	0.468	0.396
PE (38:1)	671.5	0.546	0.583	0.527
PE (p-40:5)	671.5	0.546	0.583	0.496
Glucosylceramide	806.5	0.756	0.774	0.691
SM (d18:1/24:3)	809.6	0 706	0 788	0.670
Civi (010.1/24.0)	847.7	0.100	0.700	0.070

<sup>a</sup> ROC AUC values for the anti-TNF-α NPSCs and scrambled siRNA NPSCs are similar to those reported previously.<sup>1</sup>



**Figure S-2.** MALDI-MS signals of lipids in the presence of the AuNPs used in this study. Representative lipids of different polarities (positive – PC; neutral – PG; negative – PS) were measured in combination with DHB as a matrix and increasing concentrations of AuNPs. Lipid ion intensities were normalized to the ion intensity of the [M+H]<sup>+</sup> peak of the DHB matrix in each spectrum. Each lipid ion intensity was calculated from the average of ten measurements acquired from three sample replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation of 30 measurements. The AuNP concentrations that were used covered the range of AuNP concentrations that were detected in different tissues. The concentrations were calculated by dividing the Au concentrations (as measured by ICP-MS) by the average number of Au atoms in each NP (~200).<sup>2</sup> Even at AuNP concentrations 10-fold higher than those detected in NPSC tissues (represented by the red line at ~600 nM, see Figure S1) the AuNPs did not significantly affect lipid ionization, suggesting that all lipid level increases are unrelated to the presence of AuNPs. Significance was determined by pairwise t-tests of each of the signals, and every pair of signals for a given lipid was found to be different at a 99% confidence interval.





**Table S-2.** MALDI-MSI detected lipid changes in liver tissues of mice injected with anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs, scrambled siRNA NPSCs, or arginine AuNPs as compared to control mouse liver tissues. Lipid responses in the TNF- $\alpha$  NPSC column are highlighted as follows: green for "predicted" responses, red for "unexpected" responses, and white for no observed response, based on TNF- $\alpha$  knockdown. Lipids are highlighted in scrambled NPSCs or arginine AuNP column if they share a response with the TNF- $\alpha$  column. (Abbreviations: LPC – lysophosphatidylcholine; PC – phosphatidylcholine; Cer – ceramide; SM – sphingomyelin; PE – phosphatidylethanolamine; CAR – carnitine; "p-" – plasmalogen)

		ROC AUC Values from Comparisons to Control Tissues		
Lipid I.D.	Detected m/z	TNF-α NPSCs	Scrambled NPSCs	Arginine AuNPs
CAR (16:0)	400.6	0.293	0.378	0.420
	496.3	0.715	0.593	0.504
LFC (10.0)	519.3	0.715		0.364
LPC (18:2)	520.3	0.501	0.433	0.474
PC (30:0)	706.5	0.550	0.366	0.370
PC (34:0)	762.6	0.779	0.723	0.644
	760.6	0.531	N/A	N/A
PC (34:1)	798.5			
PC (p-34:1)	744.6	0.616	0.561	N/A
PC (34:3)	756.6	0.446	0.438	0.432
DC(p, 26:5)	786.5	0 727	0 447	0.425
PC (p-30.5)	802.5	0.727	0.447	0.425
DC(n, 26:4)	788.6	0.694	0 5 8 2	0 606
PC (p-36:4)	804.5	0.684	0.583	0.000
	770.6	•		
PC (p-36:2)	792.6	0.739	0.643	0.519
	808.6			
PC (36:0)	790.6	0.717	0.566	0.502

	812.6			
	828.6			
PC (p-38:6) <sup>d</sup>	790.6	0.717	0.566	0.502
	794.6			
PC (p-38:4)	816.6	0.717	0.456	0.377
	832.6			
$DO(n, 40; \epsilon)$	820.6	0.01	0.000	0.070
PC (p-40:5)	858.6	0.81	0.390	0.378
2H OH Cer (d18:1/20:0)	650.5	0.301	0.453	0.431
SM (d19:1/21:1)	771.6	0 700	0.540	0 500
Sivi (016.1/21.1)	809.7	0.722	0.519	0.522
LPC (18:0)	524.4	0.334	0.465	0.445
LPC (p-18:0)	508.2	0.306	0.416	0.527
PC (32:0)	734.6	0.724	0.740	0.554
FC (32.0)	772.7			
PC (p-32:0)	756.7	0.506	0.544	0.555
PC (p-34:0)	746.6	0.598	0.381	0.359
PC (34:2)	780.5	0.718	0.639	0.617
PC(p, 38:5)	792.6	0.633	0.437	0.205
1 O (p-00.0)	830.5	0.000	0.407	0.000
2H Cer (d18:1/20:1)	632.7	0.343	0.487	0.492
PE (p-34:1)	583.5	0.560	0.450	0.478
PE (38:1)	671.5	0.301	0.326	0.344
PE (p-40:5)	671.5	0.546	0.326	0.344
Glucosylceramide	806.5	0.728	0.762	0.796
SM (d18·1/17·0)	739.6	0.506	0.611	0.512
	755.6	0.300	0.011	0.012

CM (449-4/24-2)	809.6	0.706	0.705	0 704
SM (018:1/24:3)	847.7	0.706	0.795	0.784



**Figure S-4**. Representative optical and LA-ICP-MS images of scrambled-NPSCtreated mouse liver. (a) Optical camera images from Teledyne CETAC LSX-213 G2 laser ablation system. (b) Reconstructed 50 µm LA-ICP-MS image of gold heat map. (c) Reconstructed 50 µm LA-ICP-MS image of gold, single color scale. (d) Gold (green) and iron (red) LA-ICP-MS overlay images. (e) Reconstructed 50 µm LA-ICP-MS image of iron, single color scale. **Table S-3.** Physical characteristics of anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs, scrambled NPSCs, and Arg-AuNPs measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS).

Particle Type	Hydrodynamic Diameter (nm)	Polydispersity Index	Zeta Potential (mV)
Anti-TNF-α NPSCs	179 ± 3	0.24 ± 0.01	-3.3 ± 0.1
Scrambled NPSCs	181 ± 1	0.30 ± 0.02	-1.1 ± 0.1
Arg-AuNPs	11 ± 1	0.26 ± 0.01	+15.1 ± 0.2



**Figure S-5.** Representative MALDI-MS images of glucosylceramide response in anti-TNF- $\alpha$  NPSC, scrambled NPSC, and Arg-AuNP-injected mouse liver tissues compared to control liver tissues. When compared to the control tissue, the anti-TNF- $\alpha$ -NPSC tissue exhibits an ROC AUC of 0.728, the scrambled NPSC tissue an ROC AUC of 0.762, and the Arg-AuNP tissue an ROC AUC of 0.798.



**Figure S-6.** LA-ICP-MS gold (Au) (left column) and MALDI-MS glucosylceramide (Glc) (middle column) overlaid in a combined binary mask (right column) in liver tissues of mice treated with: (a) TNF- $\alpha$  NPSCs, (b) scrambled NPSCs, and (c) arginine AuNPs. In the Au and Glc figures, a value of 0 (blue) indicates no signal and a value of 1 (red) indicates true analyte signal above the noise. In the combined mask, a value of 0 (blue) indicates no signal from either analyte, a value of 1 (red) indicates signal from either Au or Glc, and a value of 2 (green) indicates signal from both Au and Glc.

<sup>1.</sup> K.N. Sikora, J. M. Hardie, L. J. Castellanos-García, Y. Liu, B. M. Reinhardt, M. E. Farkas, V. M. Rotello and R. W. Vachet, Dual Mass Spectrometric Tissue Imaging of Nanocarrier Distributions and Their Biochemical Effects. *Anal. Chem.* 2020, **92**, 2011–2018.

<sup>2.</sup> S. G. Elci, B. Yan, S. T. Kim, K. Saha, Y. Jiang, G. A. Klemmer, D. F. Moyano, G. Y. Tonga, V. M. Rotello and R. W. Vachet, Quantitative Imaging of 2 nm Monolayer-Protected Gold Nanoparticle Distributions in Tissues Using Laser Ablation Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). *Analyst* 2016, **141**, 2418–2425.