# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# **Synthesis and Solution Isomerization of Water-Soluble Au9 Nanoclusters Prepared by Nuclearity Conversion of [Au<sub>11</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]Cl**

*William Ndugire and Mingdi Yan\**

Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Lowell, One University Ave., Lowell, MA 01854, USA

#### **Contents**



# **SYNTHESES**

#### **Synthesis of triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPMS)**



**Scheme S1.** Synthesis of triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPMS).

Synthesis of TPPMS followed a reported procedure.<sup>1</sup> Fuming sulfuric acid (6 mL, 18-24% SO<sub>3</sub>) was placed in a 100-mL, three-necked flask charged with a 60-mL dropping funnel, and cooled in an ice bath to  $0^{\circ}$ C. The ice bath as well as the reaction were stirred, and triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>) (2.0 g, 7.6 mmol) was added rapidly. The reaction mixture was kept at 0 °C until PPh<sub>3</sub> had completely dissolved (2 hours). The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 18 h. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was cooled again to 0 °C, and cold water (30 mL) was added dropwise with vigorous stirring. NaOH (7.5 M, ~25 mL) was used to bring the pH to 8. A white foam-like solid was observed during neutralization process. The product was filtered with little suction then transferred to a flask, and water (50 mL) was added. The recrystallization setup was then placed in the 4° C fridge. A white solid was observed at the bottom of the flask. The product was filtered and transferred to a flask with *n*-pentane (20 mL) and sonicated for 15 minutes to remove PPh<sub>3</sub>. This process was repeated 3 times. The pentane was discarded and the white solid freeze dried to give the product as a white solid (2.0 g, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): δ 7.69 (d, *J* = 7.16 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.55 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (m, 12H). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  – 5.63 (s).

### **Synthesis of triphenylphosphine gold (I) chloride (Au(PPh<sub>3</sub>)CI)**

**Scheme S2.** Synthesis of Au(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl.  $\text{HAuCl}_4$  +  $\text{PPh}_3$  +  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$   $\longrightarrow$   $\text{EtOH}$  Au(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl + 3 HCl + O=PPh<sub>3</sub>

Synthesis of Au(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl followed a literature protocol.<sup>2</sup> Argon was bubbled into 95% ethanol for 15 min prior to use. Hydrogen tetrachloroaurate trihydrate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.64 g, 1.6 mmol) was placed in a two-necked 100mL flask which was then evacuated and backfilled twice with argon. Ethanol (10 mL) was added to the flask and stirred, forming a yellow solution. To this solution, PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.86 g, 3.3 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) was added. The mixture was colorless briefly, before a white precipitate appeared. The reaction was then stirred for 2 minutes. The product was removed by filtering through a medium porosity glass frit, washed with diethyl ether (15 mL×3), and then dried in vacuo. The solid on the frit was dissolved with DCM, which was then concentrated

to ~5 mL and then precipitated slowly on ice by pentane (added at 5 mL/hr for 4 mL). The product formed was filtered and dried in a vacuum oven. The supernatant of precipitation was repurified in the similar manner. The purified product showed a single spot on TLC (1:3 hexanes/DCM,  $R_f \sim 0.5$ ). The final product was obtained as a white solid (0.71 g, 89%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.46 – 7.55 (m, 15H). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 33.77.



**Figure S1.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of TPPMS in D<sub>2</sub>O. A very small amount (<1%) of the oxide (36.44 ppm) is observed in the recrystallized TPPMS.



**Figure S2.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of Au(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $[Au_{11}(PPh_3)_8Cl_2]$ Cl in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S4**<sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of  $[Au_{11}(PPh_3)_8Cl_2]Cl$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.









**Figure S6.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of  $[Au_9$ (TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 0.6 mM of [Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O with 20 mM NaOH.



 $\frac{80}{\text{ppm}}$ 180 160 140 120 100 60 40 0  $-20$  ${\bf 20}$ 

**Figure S8.** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  in  $D_2O$  with 20 mM NaOH.



Figure S9. 2D DOSY NMR spectrum of TPPMS in D<sub>2</sub>O at 289.15 K. Chemical shifts (ppm) are shown on the xaxis and the diffusion coefficients (10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) on the y-axis of the DOSY plot.



Figure S10. 2D DOSY NMR spectrum of DPPBA in D<sub>2</sub>O with 20 mM NaOH at 289.15 K. Chemical shifts (ppm) are shown on the x-axis and the diffusion coefficients (10<sup>-8</sup> m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) on the y-axis of the DOSY plot.







Figure S12. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (A) TPPMS, and (B) [Au<sub>9</sub>(TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O. Peak assignments are aided by the 2D COSY spectrum (Fig. S14).



Figure S13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (A) DPPBA and (B) [Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O with 20 mM NaOH. Peak assignments are aided by the 2D COSY spectrum (Fig. S15).



**Figure S14.** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectra (COSY) of [Au<sub>9</sub>(TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure S15<sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectra (COSY) of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]^{3+}$  in D<sub>2</sub>O with 20 mM of NaOH.

#### **ESI-MS SPECTRA**



**Figure S16.** Experimental and simulated isotope peak pattern overlays of for 1528.33  $m/z = [Au_9(TPPMS)_8]^{3}$ <sup>+</sup> SO<sub>3</sub>Na].



**Figure S17**. Experimental and simulated isotope peak pattern overlays of (A) 1053.81 m/z = [Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub> – 7H]<sup>4-</sup>, (B) 1539.04 m/z = [[Au<sub>3</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> + 2H + CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>-</sup>] and (C) 2108.70 m/z = [Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub> – 5H]<sup>2-</sup>.

### **UV-VIS SPECTRA**







**Figure S19.** UV-Vis spectrum of  $[Au_9(TPPMS)_8]Cl_3$  in water (0.125 mg/mL).



**Figure S20.** UV-Vis spectrum of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  in 20 mM NaOH (0.5 mg/mL).



Figure S21. UV-Vis spectra of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  (0.25 mg/mL) in pH 3 water (dashed line), and in pH 3 MeOH/water (1:1) (solid line).



**Figure S22.** UV-Vis spectra of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3 (0.25 mg/mL)$  in pH 5.5 water (dashed line) and in pH 5.5 MeOH/water (1:1) (solid line).



**Figure S23.** UV-Vis spectra of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3 (0.25 mg/mL)$  in pH 12 water (dashed line) and in pH 12 MeOH/water (1:1) (solid line).



**Figure S24.** Absorption spectra of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  in EtOH (20 mM NaOH), immediately after heating to 60 °C (red line) and after cooling to 15 °C (black line). The spectra were smoothed using an FFT filter function in OriginPRO to reduce noise.



**Figure S25.** Raw Vis-NIR absorption spectra of Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> in ethanol. An artifact present at 535 nm arises from the light source.



Figure S26. Au<sub>9</sub>(TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> in conc. HCl (86%, 9 M). No color change was detected. The suspension turned into a completely clear solution after 2 days.



**Figure S27.** Proposed  $C_4$  'crown' isomer structure of Au<sub>9</sub>(TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>. The structure shows Au in yellow, P in orange, S in greenish yellow, O in red and C in grey. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Structures were obtained by replacing P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OMe-*p*)<sub>3</sub> ligands in the *C<sub>4</sub>* isomer in Ref. 3 (*cf* **Fig. 1A**) with TPPMS and minimizing the energy using the Universal force field algorithm (UFF) in Avogadro software.



**Figure S28.** Proposed structure of Au<sub>9</sub>(DPPBA)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> as (A) the *C<sub>4</sub>* (crown) isomer and (B) the *D<sub>2h</sub>* (butterfly) isomer. The structures show Au in yellow, P in orange, O in red and C in grey. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Structures were obtained by replacing P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OMe-*p*)<sub>3</sub> ligands with DPPBA in the *C<sub>4</sub>* and *D*<sub>2h</sub> crystal structures in Ref. 3 (*cf* **Fig. 1**), and minimizing the energy using the universal force field algorithm (UFF) in the Avogadro software.

#### **CYTOTOXICITY OF AuNCs AGAINST 3T3 CELLS.**

Dose response curves were fitted using OriginPRO software.



**Figure S29.** Dose-response curves of  $[Au_9(TPPMS)_8]Cl_3$  on 3T3 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



**Figure S30.** Dose-response curves of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  on 3T3 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



**Figure S31.** Dose-response curves of DPPBA on 3T3 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



**Figure S32.** Dose-response curves of TPPMS on 3T3 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



Figure S33. Dose-response curves of [Au<sub>9</sub>(TPPMS)<sub>8</sub>]Cl<sub>3</sub> on A549 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



Figure S34. Dose-response curves of  $[Au_9(DPPBA)_8]Cl_3$  on A549 cells: experimental data (solid squares) and the fits (lines). Each data point was the average of 3 repeats.



**Figure S35.** Dose-response of TPPMS on A549 cells. Each data point was the average of 3 repeats. Data are insufficient to fit a sigmoidal curve.



**Figure S36.** Dose-response of DPPBA on A549 cells. Each data point was the average of 3 repeats. Data are insufficient to fit a sigmoidal curve.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Karschin, A.; Kläui, W.; Peters, W.; Spingler, B., *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2010,** *2010* (6), 942-946.
- 2. Braunstein, P.; Lehner, H.; Matt, D.; Burgess, K.; Ohlmeyer, M. J., *Inorg. Synth.* **1990,** *10*, 218-221.
- 3. Briant, C. E.; Hall, K. P.; Mingos, D. M. P., *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984,** (5), 290-291.