Electronic Supplementary information for

## Iron and Nitrogen Co-doped CoSe<sub>2</sub> Nanosheet Arrays for Robust Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation

Di Li<sup>a</sup>, Yingying Xing<sup>a</sup>, Changjian Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yikai Lu<sup>b</sup>, Shengjie Xu<sup>b</sup>, Xiangli Shi<sup>a</sup>, Deli

Jiang\*, and Weidong Shi<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute for Energy Research, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang

212013, China

Corresponding author: Deli Jiang; Weidong Shi

E-mail address:

dlj@ujs.edu.cn (D. Jiang)

swd1978@ujs.edu.cn (W. Shi)

Fig. S1 SEM and TEM images of precursor.	3
Fig. S2 FT-IR spectra of the as-obtained samples	4
Fig. S3 SEM images of CoSe <sub>2</sub> -based catalysts	5
Fig. S4 N <sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms for catalysts.	6
Fig. S5 STEM-EDX spectrum of the Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	7
<b>Fig. S6</b> LSV of Fe-CoSe <sub>2</sub> catalyst with different Fe content	8
Fig. S7 Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the catalysts with different scan rates	9
<b>Fig. S8</b> CVs of samples in PBS solution ( $pH = 7$ ) at a scan rate of 50 mV s <sup>-1</sup> 1	1
Fig. S9 Turnover frequency (TOF) curves of the catalysts	2
Fig. S10 A digital photograph showing the continuous generation of O <sub>2</sub> bubbles on the Fe-N	[_
CoSe <sub>2</sub> catalyst	4
Fig. S11 Collect the generated O <sub>2</sub> by the drainage method and record the data every 5 minutes1:	5
Fig. S12 TEM images of Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after long-term OER durability test10	6
Fig. S13 XRD of Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after long-term OER durability test	7
Fig. S14 XPS analysis of Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after long term OER stability test	8
Table S1 The physical properties of all as-prepared materials.    19	9
Table S2 Composition of CoSe2-based catalysts.    20	0
Table S3 Comparison of OER performance of Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub> catalyst with the most efficient non	l-
noble metal catalysts recently reported in 1 M KOH	1
References	2



Fig. S1 SEM and TEM images of precursor: (a-c) Co-MOF, (d-f) CoFe-PBA.



Fig. S2 FT-IR spectra of the as-obtained Co-MOF and CoFe-PBA.



**Fig. S3** SEM images of CoSe<sub>2</sub>-based catalysts: (a, b) CoSe<sub>2</sub>, (c, d) Fe-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, and (e, f) N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S4  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms for  $CoSe_2$  and  $Fe-N-CoSe_2$  catalyst, respectively.



Fig. S5 STEM-EDX spectrum of the Fe-N-CoSe2. The peaks of Cu are originatedformthecoppergrid.



Fig. S6 LSV of Fe-CoSe<sub>2</sub> catalyst with different Fe content for OER in 1 M KOH solution.



Fig. S7 Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of the catalyst. (a)  $CoSe_2$ , (b)  $Fe-CoSe_2$  (c)

N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> and (d) Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> in 1 M KOH between 0.9 V and 1.0 V vs. RHE.

### **TOF calculation process:**

By using the previous reported method to calculate the TOF of  $CoSe_2$ , Fe-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, CV measurements with potential window from 0.6 V to 1.2 V vs. RHE were carried out in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7), where we assumed that no oxygen evolution reaction together with electrochemical corrosion of our samples happened.

The TOF could be calculated with the following equation:

$$TOF = I/Q$$

Where I (A) is the current of the polarization curve, we obtained it from the LSVs measurements. Voltammetric charges (Q) is calculated by the following equation:

Q = 4Fn

Where F is Faraday constant (96480 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), n is the number of active sites. In the experiment, the voltrammetry curve is obtained by CVs measurments with phosphate buffer (pH = 7) at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. When the number of voltammetric (Q) is obtained after deduction of the blank value.



**Fig. S8** CVs of sample NF,  $CoSe_2$ , Fe-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> and Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> in PBS solution (pH = 7) at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S9** Turnover frequency (TOF) curves of the CoSe<sub>2</sub>, Fe-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> and Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> catalysts.

## Faraday efficiency calculation:

To investigate the Faradaic efficiency for O<sub>2</sub> evolution for Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> gas was collected by a water drainage method. The corresponding theoretical value was determined by assuming the 100% conversion of electric current according to the Faraday law during the electrolysis. The data in **Fig.s S10** and **S11** show the correlation between the molar amount of O<sub>2</sub> gas produced and the time. The Faraday efficiency during OER was calculated based on the ratio of the amount of O<sub>2</sub> evolved to the theoretical value.

The theoretical number of moles of the gas calculated by the charge passed through the electrode.

$$n_g(theoretical) = \frac{Q}{zF}$$

Where ng is the number of moles of the gas produced, Q is the charge passed through the electrodes, z means z mole electrons per mole  $O_2$  (z = 4), F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, Faradaic efficiency can be determined by the following equation:

$$FE\% = \frac{n_g(experimental)}{n_g(theoretical)} \times 100\%$$



Fig. S10 A digital photograph showing the continuous generation of  $O_2$  bubbles on the Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> catalyst.



Fig. S11 Collect the generated  $O_2$  by the drainage method and record the data every 5 minutes.



Fig. S12 TEM images of Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after long-term OER durability test.



Fig. S13 XRD of Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after long-term OER durability test.



**Fig. S14** XPS analysis of Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst recorded after long term OER stability test: (a) Survey of the Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> and Post-Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>, (b) Co 2p, (c) Fe 2p, (d) Se 3d, (e) N 1s and (f) O 1s of the Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> and Post Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub>.

Catalysts	Nanosheet thickness (nm)	2θ of (210) plane (°)
CoMOF	160	-
CoFePBA	553	-
CoSe <sub>2</sub>	345	33.84
Fe-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	414	33.82
N-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	283	34.26
Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	463	33.96

## Table S1 The physical properties of all as-prepared materials.

Catalyst	XPS						ICP	EA	
	Co/%	Se/%	Fe/%	N/%	Se/Co	Fe/Co%	N/Se%	Fe/Co%	N/Se%
CoSe <sub>2</sub>	33.61	64.21	/	/	1.91			/	/
Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	25.88	46.04	10.04	19.01	1.78	38.8	41.3	38.3	43.61

Table S2 Composition of  $CoSe_2$ -based catalysts.

# Table S3 Comparison of OER performance of Fe-N-CoSe<sub>2</sub> catalyst with the most efficient non-noble metal catalysts recently reported in 1 M KOH.

Catalysts	Overpotential (mV)	Tafel slope (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	Electrolyte	Reference work
Fe-N-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	270@50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	63.7	1М КОН	This work
S:CoP@NF	270@10mA cm <sup>-</sup> 2 330@50mA cm <sup>-</sup> 2	62.7	1М КОН	1
Fe <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>2-x</sub> P/NF	273@50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	55	1M KOH	2
Co <sub>2</sub> P@Co/N-C/GC	320@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	48.8	1М КОН	3
Ni <sub>2</sub> P-CoP/GC	320@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	69	1М КОН	4
Co <sub>0.85</sub> Se@NC	320@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	75	1М КОН	5
NiSe/NF	270@20 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	64	1М КОН	6
Ni <sub>0.88</sub> Co <sub>1.22</sub> Se <sub>4</sub>	340@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	78	1М КОН	7
Ni-Co-P HNB	270@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	76	1М КОН	8
NiCoPO/NC	300@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	94	1М КОН	9
N-CNTs@NiS <sub>2</sub> /Fe <sub>7</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	330@50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	51.49	1М КОН	10
Fe-UNT	270@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	36.3	1М КОН	11
NiCo-LDH@FeOOH/CF	224@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	38	1М КОН	12
CoFePO	274.5@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	51.7	1М КОН	13
NiCoFeP	273@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	35	1М КОН	14
Fe <sub>5</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> Ni <sub>20</sub> Se <sub>36</sub> B <sub>x</sub>	279.8@10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	59.5	1М КОН	15

### References

- [1] M. A. R. Anjum, M. S. Okyay, M. Kim, M. H. Lee, N. Park, J. S. Lee, Bifunctional sulfur-doped cobalt phosphide electrocatalyst outperforms all-noblemetal electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolyzer for overall water splitting, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **53**, 286.
- [2] T. I. Singh, G. Rajeshkhanna, S. B. Singh, T. Kshetri, N. H. Kim, J. H. Lee, Metal-organic framework-derived Fe/Co-based bifunctional electrode for H<sub>2</sub> production through water and urea electrolysis, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 1–15.
- [3] C. Zhu, S. Fu, B. Z. Xu, J. Song, Q. Shi, M. H. Engelhard, X. Li, S. P. Beckman, J. Sun, D. Du, Y. Lin, Sugar blowing-induced porous cobalt phosphide/nitrogendoped carbon nanostructures with enhanced electrochemical oxidation performance toward water and other small molecules, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1700796.
- [4] X. Liang, B. Zheng, L. Chen, J. Zhang, Z. Zhuang, B. Chen, MOF-derived formation of Ni<sub>2</sub>P-CoP bimetallic phosphides with strong interfacial effect towards electrocatalytic water splitting, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, 9, 23222–23229.
- [5] T. Meng, J. W. Qin, S. G. Wang, D. Zhao, B. G. Mao, M. H. Cao, In situ coupling of Co<sub>0.85</sub>Se and N-doped carbon via one-step selenization of metalorganic frameworks as a trifunctional catalyst for overall water splitting and Znair batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 7001–7014.
- [6] C. Tang, N. Y. Cheng, Z. H. Pu, W. Xing, X. P. Sun, NiSe nanowire film supported on nickel foam: an efficient and stable 3D bifunctional electrode for full water splitting, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 9351–9355.

- [7] D. V. Shinde, L. D. Trizio, Z. Y. Dang, M. Prato, R. Gaspari, L. Manna, Hollow and porous nickel cobalt perselenide nanostructured microparticles for enhanced electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 7032–7041.
- [8] E. L. Hu, Y. F. Feng, J. W. Nai, D. Zhao, Y. Hu, X. W. Lou, Construction of hierarchical Ni-Co-P hollow nanobricks with oriented nanosheets for efficient overall water splitting, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 872–880.
- [9] C. S. Wang, W. B. Chen, D. Yuan, S. S. Qian, D. D. Cai, J. T. Jiang, S. Q. Zhang, Tailoring the nanostructure and electronic configuration of metal phosphides for efficient electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reactions, *Nano Energy*, 2020, 69, 104453.
- [10] J. Y. Wang, W. T. Liu, X. P. Li, T. Ouyang, Z. Q. Liu, Strong hydrophilicity NiS<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> heterojunctions encapsulated in N-doped carbon nanotubes for enhanced oxygen evolution reaction, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 1489–1492.
- [11]G. Q. Shen, R. R. Zhang, L. Pan, F. Hou, Y. J. Zhao, Z. Y. Shen, W. B. Mi, C. X. Shi, Q. F. Wang, X. W. Zhang, J. J. Zou, Regulating spin state of Fe(III) by atomically anchoring on ultrathin titanium dioxide for efficient oxygen evolution electrocatalysis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2313–2317.
- [12]X. T. Han, Y. Y. Niu, C. Yu, Z. B. Liu, H. W. Huang, H. L. Huang, S. F. Li, W. Guo, X. Y. Tan, J. S. Qiu, Ultrafast construction of interfacial sites by wet chemical etching to enhance electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, *Nano Energy*, 2020, 69, 104367.
- [13] J. J. Duan, S. Chen, A. Vasileff, S. Z. Qiao, Anion and cation modulation in metal

compounds for bifunctional overall water splitting, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 8738-8745.

- [14]Y. N. Guo, J. Tang, Z. L. Wang, Y. Sugahara, Y. Yamauchi, Hollow porous heterometallic phosphide nanocubes for enhanced electrochemical water splitting, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1802442.
- [15]Y. P. Zuo, D. W. Rao, S. N. Ma, T. T. Li, Y. H. Tsang, S. Kment, Y. Chai, Valence engineering via dual-cation and boron doping in pyrite selenide for highly Efficient oxygen evolution, ACS Nano, 2019, 13, 11469–11476.