Supporting information

Ball-lens assisted sensitivity improvement of fluorescence

immuno-assay in microchannel

Qingquan Zhang ^{a†}, Jiajia Li ^{a†}, Yuting Su ^a, Xiaoyan Pan^b, Hongwei Gai ^{a*}.

 a. Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Green Synthesis for Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, China.

b. Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310009, China.

E-mail: gai@jsnu.edu.cn

[†] These authors contributed equally.

Table of contents

Fig. S1 Modified process flow of microdevice fabrication.

Fig. S2 The focusing process of the ball-lens and the microchannel.

Fig. S3 Simulation of ball-lens function using ZEMAX software.

Tab. S1 The review of CEA analysis in the microfluidic devices.



Fig. S1. Modified process flow of microdevice fabrication.



Fig. S2. The focusing process of the ball-lens and the microchannel. (A) The original images in the absence of the bead and bead-enhanced images. (B) Plots of normalized intensity against focusing adjusting cycles. '0' represent the best focusing position. The other numbers represent the adjusting cycles of focusing knob from the best focusing position. Positive and negative represent rotational direction.



Fig. S3. Simulation of ball-lens function using ZEMAX software. (A) Light-ray tracing of photons emitted from point source undergoing lensing through a ball-lens. (B) Simulation result without the ball-lens. (C) Simulation result with the ball-lens. Simulation parameters: bead diameter is 700 μ m (n=1.9). The surrounding medium of the bead is PDMS (n=1.45-1.46). The channel width is 600 μ m and filled with fluorescent dye solute (n=1.33). The PDMS membrane thickness between the bead and the microchannel is ~20 μ m. The numerical aperture (NA) of 10× objective is 0.3.

| Number | Biomarker | LOD | Labelling | Detection | Reference |
|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | CEA | 0.068 ng/mL | Fluorescein amidite | Fluorescence | B. Li, et al. Clinica |
| | | | | | Chimica Acta, 2015, 450, |
| | | | | | 304-309. |
| 2 | CEA | 0.19 ng/mL | Quantum dots | Fluorescence | L. Liu, et al.Biosensors |
| | | | | | and Bioelectronics, 2016, |
| | | | | | 80, 300-306 |
| 3 | CEA | 0.03 ng/mL | Colloidal gold | Thermal lens microscope | K. Sato, et al. Analytical |
| | | | | | Chemistry, 2001, 73, |
| | | | | | 1213-1218. |
| 4 | CEA | 0.19 ng/mL | IRDye800 | Near-infrared fluorescence | B. Liu, et al. Advanced |
| | | | | | Functional Materials, |
| | | | | | 2016, 26, 7994-8002. |
| 5 | CEA | 0.41 ng/mL | Alkaline phosphatase | Chemiluminescent | W. Wei, et al. Anal |
| | | | | | Bioanal Chem, 2011, 401, |
| | | | | | 3269–3274 |
| 6 | CEA | 5.0 ng/mL | No | Plasmonic wavelength shift | J. Zhou, et al. |
| | | | | | Nanophotonics, 2019, 8, |
| | | | | | 307-316. |

Table. S1 The review of CEA analysis in the microfluidic devices.