Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Reaction Chemistry & Engineering. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

#### **Supporting Information**

Continuous Synthesis of Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanoparticles in a Co-precipitation Reaction Using a Silicon Based Microfluidic Reactor

Ghazal Tofighi<sup>a</sup>, Henning Lichtenberg<sup>a,b</sup>, Abhijeet Gaur<sup>a,b</sup>, Wu Wang<sup>c</sup>, Stefan Wild<sup>b</sup>, Karla Herrera Delgado<sup>b</sup>, Stephan Pitter<sup>b</sup>, Roland Dittmeyer<sup>d</sup>, Jan-Dierk Grunwaldt<sup>a,b</sup> and, Dmitry E. Doronkin<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Institute for Chemical Technology and Polymer Chemistry (ITCP), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany
- <sup>b</sup> Institute of Catalysis Research and Technology (IKFT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany
- <sup>c</sup> Institute of Nanotechnology (INT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany
- <sup>d</sup> Institute for Micro Process Engineering (IMVT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

\* Corresponding author: dmitry.doronkin@kit.edu

Tel.: +49 608 48090

Fax: +49 608 44820

### 1. Additional information on the catalytic testing

ToS	Temperature	CO/CO <sub>2</sub>	Pressure	GHSV
h	°C	mol%	bar	$mL_N  g^{-1}  h^{-1}{}_{cat}$
2	230	15/0	50	24000
4	230	14/1	50	24000
6	250	15/0	50	24000
8	250	14/1	50	24000

Table S1 Reaction conditions in a plug flow reactor (PFR) test

# Catalytic performance

#### Selectivity:

The selectivity formula is defined according to whether  $CO_2$  is a product or a reactant

For negative CO<sub>2</sub> conversion (CO<sub>2</sub> is a product):

$$S_{DME,CO} = \frac{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out}}{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out} + \dot{n}_{MeOH,out} + \sum_{i} n_{C_{i}} n_{i} + \dot{n}_{CO_{2},out} - \dot{n}_{CO_{2},in}}{n_{Me\dot{b}H,out}} * 100\%$$

$$S_{MeOH,CO} = \frac{1}{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out} + \dot{n}_{MeOH,out} + \sum_{i} n_{C_{i}} n_{i} + \dot{n}_{CO_{2},out} - \dot{n}_{CO_{2},in}}{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out} + \dot{n}_{MeOH,out} + \sum_{i} n_{C_{i}} n_{i} + \dot{n}_{CO_{2},out} - \dot{n}_{CO_{2},in}} * 100\%$$
Where  $n_{C_{i}}$  represents the number of carbon atoms in the hydrocarbon  $n_{i}$ .  
(2)  
With positive CO<sub>2</sub> conversion (CO2 is a reactant):

$$S_{DME,CO_{\chi}} = \frac{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out}}{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out} + \dot{n}_{MeOH,out} + \sum_{i} n_{C_{i}} n_{i}} * 100\%$$

$$S_{MeOH,CO_{\chi}} = \frac{\dot{n}_{MeOH,out}}{2 * \dot{n}_{DME,out} + \dot{n}_{MeOH,out} + \sum_{i} n_{C_{i}} n_{i}} * 100\%$$
Productivity:

The productivity of DME and MeOH is based on the humber of carbon atoms in the respective hydrocarbon

$$P_{DME,m_{cat}} = \frac{2 \dot{n}_{DME,out}}{mass_{cat}} [mmol_C \cdot (g_{cat} * h)^{-1}]$$
(5)

$$P_{MeOH,m_{cat}} = \frac{n_{MeOH,out}}{mass_{cat}} \left[mmol_{\mathcal{C}} \cdot (g_{cat} * h)^{-1}\right]$$
(6)

# 2. Further Characterization results



Fig. S1 STEM images of calcined CuO/ZnO nanoparticles produced in the batch reactor.

**Table S2** Quantified EDX results from different regions of the CuO/ZnO catalyst produced in the batch reactor (Cu/Zn =  $2.2 \pm 1.2$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1.4$ ).

Particle No.	O (at.%)	Cu (at.%)	Zn (at.%)	Cu:Zn ratio
1	41.5	47.1	11.4	4.1
2	56.9	23.6	19.4	1.2
3	59.8	22.2	17.9	1.2
4	43.9	33.1	22.9	1.4
5	58.5	30.2	11.3	2.7
6	49.0	37.7	13.3	2.8

 $\sigma^2$ : Variance



Fig. S2 Selected electron microscopy images of calcined  $CuO/ZnO/Al_2O_3$  nanoparticles produced in the batch reactor.

**Table S3** Quantified EDX results from different regions of the CuO/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst produced in the batch reactor, (Cu/Zn =  $20.1 \pm 3.5$  and  $\sigma^2 = 12.6$ ).

No.	O (at.%)	Al (at.%)	Cu (at.%)	Zn (at.%)	Cu:Zn ratio
1	61.4	1.4	34.9	2.2	15.9
2	58.3	1.0	39.2	1.6	24.5
3	54.3	0.6	43.2	1.8	24.0
4	53.1	0.8	43.9	2.2	20.0
5	57.9	1.4	39.6	2.0	19.8
6	58.6	2.2	36.9	2.2	16.8



**Fig. S3** Selected electron microscopy images of calcined CuO/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles produced in the microfluidic reactor.

No.	O (at.%)	Al (at.%)	Cu (at.%)	Zn (at.%)	Cu:Zn ratio
1	67.2	1.7	28.0	3.1	9.0
2	57.8	2.4	36.7	3.2	11.5
3	62.1	9.4	23.9	4.6	5.2
4	52.3	5.9	36.5	5.4	6.8
5	59.3	1.1	35.2	4.4	8.0
6	59.3	3.2	33.6	3.8	8.8

**Table S4** Quantified EDX results from different regions of the CuO/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst produced in the microfluidic reactor (Cu/Zn =  $8.2 \pm 2.1$  and  $\sigma^2 = 4.6$ ).



Fig. S4 STEM images and the corresponding elemental maps obtained from STEM-EDX spectrum imaging in the areas marked by the orange box of calcined (a) Cu/ZnO, (b) Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles produced in the batch reactor and (c) Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles produced in the microfluidic reactor. Scale bars for the elemental maps are 10 nm (parts a,b) and 5 nm (part c).



**Fig. S5** *In situ* XANES spectra at (a) Cu K and (b) Zn K-edges of Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> produced in the batch reactor measured during the TPR (from 20 °C up to 260 °C), (c) comparison of XANES data of the reduced catalysts produced in microfluidic and batch reactor at 260 °C along with (d) their corresponding magnitude of the k<sup>3</sup>-weighted Fourier transformed EXAFS data at Cu K-edge.