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## Experimental Procedures

## General Considerations

All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{ICH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right],{ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(o-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right],{ }^{2,3,4,} \mathrm{SePPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}^{5,6}$ were synthesized according to literature procedures. All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. Glassware was dried at 180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a minimum of two hours and cooled under vacuum prior to use. All reactions were carried out in 20 mL scintillation vials unless otherwise specified. Catalytic reactions were carried out in 4 mL screw thread borosilicate glass vials. All volumes below 1 mL were measured using Hamilton 100 or $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ syringes. Solvents were dried on a solvent purification system from Pure Process Technology and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves under N2. Tetrahydrofuran was stirred over NaK alloy and run through an additional activated alumina plug prior to use to ensure dryness. Solvents were tested for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ using a standard solution of sodium-benzophenone ketyl radical anion. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, acetone- $d_{6}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and DMSO- $d_{6}$ were dried by passage over a column of activated alumina and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in the glovebox. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ data were acquired on a combination of two three spectrometers: a 400 MHz Bruker DRX spectrometer equipped with a BBO probe; a 500 MHz Bruker AvanceII+ spectrometer equipped with a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ QNP probe; and a 500 MHz Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a Bruker BBFO "Smart" probe. All spectrometers use Topspin. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm units referenced to residual solvent resonances for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra, and external standards for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$. Assignments for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonances were made based on previously reported ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ) and related compounds $\left(\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(2-\mathrm{BF}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}\right) .{ }^{2,3,7-10}\right.$ Unless otherwise indicated, multipoint baseline corrections were applied to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra in Mnova to remove broad peaks in the baseline around $150-220 \mathrm{ppm}$ resulting from Teflon within the probe. NMR samples were prepared by dissolving approximately $10-20 \mathrm{mg}$ of the sample in about 0.5 mL of the appropriate deuterated solvent. No change in signal position or coupling was observed as a function of concentration. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor II. Solution IR were recorded in a solution cell using $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows, and then the solvent signal was subtracted out. Solid IR were recorded using a KBr pellet. Elemental analysis was performed by Midwest Microlabs.

## X-ray structure determination

The diffraction data were measured at 100 K on a Bruker D8 VENTURE with PHOTON 100 CMOS detector system equipped with a Mo-target micro-focus X-ray tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. Data reduction and integration were performed with the Bruker APEX3 software package (Bruker AXS, version 2015.5-2, 2015). Data were scaled and corrected for absorption effects using the multiscan procedure as implemented in SADABS (Bruker AXS, version 2014/5, 2015, part of Bruker APEX3 software package). The structure was solved by the dual method implemented in SHELXT ${ }^{11}$ and refined by a full-matrix least-squares procedure using OLEX23 ${ }^{12}$ software package (XL refinement program version 2014/713). Suitable crystals were mounted on a cryo-
loop and transferred into the cold nitrogen stream of the Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer. Most of the hydrogen atoms were generated by geometrical considerations and constrained to idealized geometries and allowed to ride on their carrier atoms with an isotropic displacement parameter related to the equivalent displacement parameter of their carrier atoms. The co-crystallized THF and phenyl rings of the phosphine were modeled for disorder in K1. For $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, after fully solving and refining the structure, a relatively large residual peak was observed suggesting a possible co-crystallized submixture. The peak was located close to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ bond, and the distance correlated well with a P-I bond length. Thus, this component was refined as a $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{I}$ (refined occupancy about 4\%). While it is hard to concretely assign the identity of such a small submixture, we note that several examples of $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{I}$ molecules have been previously reported with P-I bond lengths between 2.45-2.55 Å). ${ }^{14}$

## Synthesis of reported compounds

Synthesis of $\mathbf{P h}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{B F}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{K}$ (K1). To a stirring solution of $\mathrm{PHPh}_{2}(0.368 \mathrm{~g}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of KHMDS ( $0.398 \mathrm{~g}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ), resulting in a bright red homogeneous solution. This was added dropwise over 20 minutes to a stirring slurry of $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{ICH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right](0.541 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After addition, the resulting slurry was stirred for 1 hour, placed in the freezer at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to settle for 1 hour and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was dried under vacuum, and the resulting sticky white solid was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, leaving behind $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ as a white powder ( $\left.0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24-7.14 (m, 6H, mand $p-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 0.8\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta=-15.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta=-133.9$ (broad s, 3F, BF3). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta=144.4$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}$ $=25 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}$ ), $132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 127.6$ (s, $C_{\text {para }}$ ), 126.9 (s, $C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 17.0 (broad s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $160 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta=4.0$ (broad s). IR (KBr pellet): 3419 (w), 3053 (m), 2916 (w), 2885 (w), 1954 (w), 1881 (w), 1807 (w), 1584 (m), 1480 (m), 1433 (s), 1386 (m), 1168 (s), 1093 (m), 1046 (s), 931 (s), 742 (s), 697 (s). K1 was too air sensitive for reliable elemental analysis, and instead was consistent with full oxidation of the phosphine sample despite multiple attempts. Elem. Anal: Calc'd $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{O}\right)$ : C 48.5 H 3.8 N 0 Found: C 48.1 H 4.0 N 0 .

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{R h}(\mathbf{a c a c})(\mathbf{C O})\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{B F}_{3}\right)\right)\right] \mathbf{( 2 )}$. To a stirring THF solution ( 3 mL ) of $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a THF solution ( 5 mL ) of K1 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1 \mathrm{eq})$ and a DCM solution ( 4 mL ) of $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$, resulting in a color change from light yellow to brown. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, then filtered, evacuated to dryness, and washed with petroleum ether leaving $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right)\right]$ as a brown oil, which was crystallized by vapor diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{DCM}^{2}$ solution at room temperature to yield yellow crystals ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right) 7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ), 7.57 (m, 8H, $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ) $7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) 5.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{acac}\right.$ ), $1.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \mathrm{acac}}\right), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ), $1.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \mathrm{aaca}}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=40.4\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Rh}}=\right.$ $166 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}, P \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)$ ), $25.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P}, P \mathrm{Ph}_{4}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=-131.6($ broad s, $3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{BF} 3) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=190.5\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}=79 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=25 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Rh}-C O\right), 186.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\text {acac }}\right), 185.6$ ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {acac }}$ ), 137.7 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=49 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}$ ),
135.9 (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\mathrm{para}}\right), 134.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {meta }}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 130.9 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 128.5 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {para }}$ ), 127.0 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C_{\text {meta }}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=89 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 100.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, C \mathrm{H}_{\text {acac }}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{acac}}\right), 27.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $C \mathrm{H}_{3 \text { acac }}$, 19.1 (br s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=3.6$ (broad s). IR (DCM solution, $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3068 (m), 2969 (s), 2859 (m), 1962 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ ), 1574 ( s , acac $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1514 ( s ), 1487 (m), 1434 (m), 1383 (m), 1167 (m), 1104 (s). Elem. Anal: Calc'd $\left(\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right)\right]\right) \mathrm{C} 61.8 \mathrm{H} 4.7 \mathrm{~N} 0$. Found: C 61.5 H 4.9 N 0.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{S e P P h}_{2}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{B F}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]\right)$. To a stirring solution of K1 $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16$ mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added a 10 -fold excess of solid selenium powder ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, $10 \mathrm{eq})$, followed by $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was stirred overnight, then filtered through Celite and all volatiles were removed in vacuo. Crystallization by vapor diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DCM solution of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ gave the product as clear crystals ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ ). Analytically pure samples were obtained by crystallizing $[\mathrm{PPh} 4]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ from a mixture of hot MeCN and THF. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.98-$ 7.92 (m, 4H, Ph-H), 7.88-7.82 (m, 4H, p-Ph-H PPh4), 7.77-7.70 (m, 8H, PPh4), 7.65-7.57 (m, 8H, PPh4), 7.30-7.24 (m, 6H, Ph-H) $1.89\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{\{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=33.59\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}=656 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right), 22.08$ (s, 1P,$P \mathrm{Ph} 4) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=-132.93$ (broad s, $3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~B} F_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=135.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=88.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 135.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {para }}\right), 134.4$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 132.3 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 130.9 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=25.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 129.8 (s, $C_{\text {para }}$ ), 127.5 (d, $J_{\text {C-P }}=25.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 117.5 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=113.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 26.7 (broad s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=3.1$ (broad s). IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution): 3058 (m), 1978 (w), 1907 (w), 1814 (w), 1590 (m), 1487 (m), 1438 (s), 1310 (w), 1144 (m), 1103 (s), 1023 (s). Elem. Anal: Calc'd ([PPh4][SePPh $\left.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right]$ C 64.8 H 4.7 N 0 . Found: C 65.0 H 4.7 N 0.3.
 mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added a 10 -fold excess of solid selenium powder ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10 eq ), followed by $\operatorname{TEABr}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was stirred overnight, then filtered through Celite and all volatiles were removed in vacuo. Crystallization by vapor diffusion or layering of Et 2 O into a DCM solution of [TEA] $\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the product as clear colorless needle shaped crystals ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.93-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 3.18\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 4\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 4\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=32.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}=678 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, ${ }^{1 P}, \mathrm{Se} P \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)$ ). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=-131.4$ (broad s, $3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=136.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=70 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ipso }}\right), 131.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2, C_{\text {para }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 4\right)$, 23.3 (broad s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ ), $7.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=2.9$ (broad s). IR (KBr pellet): 2981 (w), 2948(w), 1486, (m), 1436 (m), 1393 (w), 1370(w), 1310 (w), 1262 (w), 1139 (s), 1099 ( s), 1023 (s), 969 (s), 953 (s), 808 (m), 762 (m), 732 (m), 698 (s). Elem. Anal: Calc'd ([TEA][SePPh2 $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right]$ C 53.0 H 6.8 N 2.9. Found: C 52.8 H 7.0 N 3.1.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{S e P P h}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{2 - B F}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{\mathbf{S e}}\right]\right)$. To a stirring acetonitrile solution ( 10 mL ) of $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(2-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right](50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added an excess of elemental selenium ( 109 mg , $1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq})$ and this mixture was stirred for 6 hours at room temperature. The solution was filtered, and $\mathrm{PPh} 4 \mathrm{Br}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added as a solid, the resulting slurry was stirred for 10 min , then all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The white powder was washed with 2 $\mathrm{mLCHCl} l_{3}$ to remove excess $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$, and then extracted into MeCN . Crystallization by diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into the filtered MeCN solution afforded $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{SePPh}_{2}\left(2-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]$ as clear crystals (Yield: $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta=8.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $\left.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 7.91(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 7.4-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left.\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=40.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}=700 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Se}_{\mathrm{Peh}}^{2}\left(2-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]\right), 22.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P}, P \mathrm{Ph} 4)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta=-132.6(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~B} F 3) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, 25$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=136.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=97 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ipso }}\right), 136.4$ (s, $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {para }}$ ), $135.9(\mathrm{~s}), 135.8$ (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=16$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, C^{3}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 135.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {meta }}\right), 133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {ortho }}\right), 132.0(\mathrm{~s}), 131.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\text {ortho }}$ ), 130.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {para }}$ ), 128.3 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{\text {meta }}$ ), 126.4 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=25 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C^{6}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 119.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=113 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} C_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(160 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=2.4$ (broad q, $J_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}}=51 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). IR (KBr pellet): 3048 (m) 1586 (m) 1482 (m) 1434 (s) 1315 (w) 1260 (w) 1181 (m) 1162 (m) 1109 (s) 1052 (w) 978 (m) 955 (m) 935 (s) 759 (m) 725 (s) 691 (s) $610(\mathrm{~m})$. Elem. Anal: Calc'd ([PPh4][SePPh $\left.\left.2\left(2-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]\right)$ C 67.5 H 4.6 N 0. Found: C 67.7 H 4.9 N 0.

## Procedure for oxidative addition of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$

## UV-vis

To a stirring THF solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a THF solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\mathrm{K} 1(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9 \mathrm{eq})$ and an excess of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \mathrm{eq})$, resulting in a deep red solution. After stirring for one hour, the solution had become brown-yellow, and NMR indicated oxidative addition of the C-F bond via the appearance of characteristic ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peaks at $-383(\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{F})$ and -117 (o-C-F) ppm and the disappearance of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ peaks associated with K1 (Figures S29-31). Further characterization of the oxidative addition product could not be obtained due to the instability of the resulting species. Samples for UV-vis were prepared by dissolving $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{K} 1\left(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 2\right.$ equiv.), and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(89 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.76 mmol , 21 equiv.) in 2.9 mL THF , resulting in a 12 mM solution. Diluting $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this solution in 2.5 mL resulted in a 0.36 mM solution which was used to monitor the decay of the Ni complex by UV-vis. The decay of the absorbance at 464 nm was monitored to determine the rate of decay of the in situ formed Ni complex (Figure S46). Monitoring the decay under identical conditions in the absence of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ indicates minimal decay (Figure 47).

NMR Kinetics

## K1

A THF stock solution was prepared by adding $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ( 1.08 mmol ) to 9 mL of THF. In a 20 mL scintillation vial $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K} 1$ ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036,1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 3 mL of the
stock solution (delivering 0.18 mmol of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$, 5 equiv., and $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{C}}^{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, 10$ equiv.). Approximately $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this solution was pipetted into an NMR tube, which was then covered with a small piece of tubing connected to a plastic adapter, removed from the glovebox, frozen in $\mathrm{LN}_{2}$, placed under vacuum on the schlenk line and sealed under vacuum. The sample was kept frozen until the time of the first scan. NMR spectra of the reaction were collected every 2 hours for 16 hours, then again at 20 hours.
$\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$
A THF stock solution was prepared by adding $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ $(1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ to 9 mL of THF. In a 20 mL scintillation vial $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PCy} 3$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036,1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 3 mL of the stock solution (delivering 0.18 mmol of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ ( 5 equiv.) and $0.36 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ( 10 equiv.)). Approximately $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this solution was pipetted into an NMR tube, which was then covered with a small piece of tubing connected to a plastic adapter, removed from the glovebox, frozen in $\mathrm{LN}_{2}$, placed under vacuum on the schlenk line and sealed under vacuum. The sample was kept frozen until the time of the first scan. NMR spectra were collected every 3 hours for 18 hours, then every 5 hours for 35 more hours, for 53 hours of monitoring total.
$\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$
A THF stock solution was prepared by adding $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(0.216 \mathrm{mmol}), 66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(0.54$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ to 9 mL of THF. In a 20 mL scintillation vial $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036,1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 3 mL of the stock solution (delivering 0.072 mmol of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ ( 2 equiv.), 0.18 mmol of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ ( 5 equiv.), and 0.36 mmol $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ (10 equiv.)). Approximately $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this solution was pipetted into an NMR tube, which was then covered with a small piece of tubing connected to a plastic adapter, removed from the glovebox, frozen in $\mathrm{LN}_{2}$, placed under vacuum on the schlenk line and sealed under vacuum. The sample was kept frozen until the time of the first scan. Spectra of the reaction were collected every 3 hours for 18 hours, then every 5 hours for 36 more hours, then every 12 hours for 60 more hours, for a total of 114 hours. Previous reports suggest the reaction reaches completion after 4 weeks, and the partial conversion observed here is consistent with that time frame. ${ }^{15}$

## NMR methods

$\mathrm{T}_{1}$ measurements of the reaction mixtures were used to decide collection parameters for monitoring the course of the reaction. Fluorine NMR was collected without decoupling with the following parameters: $\mathrm{NS}=16, \mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{P}=-113 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{SW}=140 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D} 1=25 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{AQ}=2 \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ was collected using the following parameters: $\mathrm{NS}=31, \mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{P}=35 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{SW}=429 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D} 1=35 \mathrm{~s}$, and $\mathrm{AQ}=2$ s. The spectra were collected using an automated Bruker Avance III HD nanobay 400 MHz . The rate of formation was determined using Mnova by generating a concentration graph, and fitting the concentration data to a three parameter exponential fit to the equation $y=B+F^{*} \exp (-$ $x^{*} \mathrm{G}$ ), with G the observed rate.

## Procedures for catalytic C-F borylation

Trial reactions - A 4 mL screw thread cap vial was charged with solid $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036$ mmol ), K1 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and B2pin2 (amount specified in reaction tables). The solid mixture was then dissolved in 1 mL THF to give dark red solutions. Next, 1,3difluorobenzene ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv.) and any additives were added to the reactions. Solutions were heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified amounts of time. To work up the reactions, the 1 mL reaction was diluted to 5 mL in a scintillation vial. From that solution, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were diluted to $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ within an NMR tube, giving a 4 mM solution of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ and what would be a 10 mM solution of product if there were $100 \%$ conversion of the fluoroarene. All yields are reported relative to added 1,3-difluorobenzene, consistent with the yields reported in the main text. See Tables S17-S24 for the yields from trial runs. Some of the yields are a slight underestimate because an excess of 1,3-difluorobenzene was added relative to B2pin2 (11 equiv. arene vs 10 equiv. $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ pinz relative to $\left.\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right)$. Yield was determined by comparing the integration of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ peak to the product peak using the following equation- ${ }^{16}$

$$
\text { Moles of product }=\frac{\text { Integration of product }}{\# \text { of fluorines in product }} \times \frac{\# \text { of fluorines in CF3Ph }}{\text { Integration of CF3Ph }} \times \text { moles of CF3Ph }
$$

Yield $=$ moles of product/theoretical yield * 100
Theoretical yield for borylated products is 0.4 mmol , theoretical yield for coupled products is 0.2 mmol.

Catalytic reactions - Stock solutions were prepared for three reactions at a time by dissolving $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\left(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 3\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{K} 1\left(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.216 \mathrm{mmol}, 6\right.$ equiv.), and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(552 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.16 mmol , 60 equiv.) in 3 mL THF. Next the substrate ( $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 33$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48$ mmol, 13.5 equiv.), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 60 equiv.) was added. The solution was divided into three vials which each already contained CsOH ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). The reactions were then heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. See Figure 5 in main text for the yields from these reactions. To characterize the yield of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{5}$ reaction, the 1 mL reaction was diluted to 5 mL in a scintillation vial. From this solution, $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was subsequently diluted to 3 mL , giving what would be a 1.2 mM solution if there were $100 \%$ conversion. This solution was filtered through a short silica plug in a pipette. The integration of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$-Bpin was compared to an integral calibration curve prepared with stock solutions of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$-Bpin. The methods for workup and yield determination by NMR for the remaining catalytic reactions are identical to those for the trial reactions. Literature sources were used to assign the NMR shifts and MS of substrates and products:

Compound
1,2-difluorobenzene
1-Bpin-2-C6FH4
1,3-difluorobenzene

## Reference
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1-Bpin-3-C6FH4
1,2,4-trifluorobenzene
1,3,5-trifluorobenzene
1-Bpin-3,5-C6 $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
1,3-Bpin-5-C6 $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
MS of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$-Bpin
$2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}_{2}-1,1^{\prime}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \quad 18$
1,4-difluorobenzene 19
1-Bpin-4-C6FH4 20
$2,2^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}_{4}-1,1^{\prime}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \quad 21$

NMR Characterization Spectra

## $\mathbf{P h}_{2} \mathbf{P C H}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ (K1)



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of K 1 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ with inset showing $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ peak.


Figure S2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of K1 in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of K 1 in DMSO- $d 6$. Broad feature around -190 ppm is a result of Teflon within the probe.


Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of K1 collected in DMSO- $d 6$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of K1 in DMSO- $d_{6}$ collected in a quartz NMR tube. $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right)\right]$ (2)


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ collected in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ collected in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ collected in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S9. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ collected in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Inset depicts doublet of doublets corresponding to the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{CO}$ carbon. Asterisks indicate THF impurity.


Figure S10. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ collected in a quartz NMR tube, the broad peak centered at 0 in the baseline is a result of borosilicate in the NMR probe.

## $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{S e P P h}_{2}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{B F}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]\right)$



Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, with inset showing splitting on the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group.


Figure S12. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S13. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.




| 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S15. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ collected in a quartz NMR tube.


Figure S16. DOSY NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The diffusion value is $6.64 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$. Smearing is observed at 7.26 ppm due to the solvent peak.

## $[$ TEA $]\left[\mathrm{SePPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right]\left([\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]\right)$



Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, with inset showing splitting on the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group.


Figure S18. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S19. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S20. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S21. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{[1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ collected in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; the broad peak centered around 0 ppm is a result of borosilicate in the NMR tube and the NMR probe.

## $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{SePPh}_{2}\left(\mathbf{2}-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]\right)$



Figure S22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; observed solvent impurities include DCM, THF and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Figure S23. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

$\qquad$
$\frac{17-128-129-130-131-132-133-134-135-136-137-13}{p p m}$


Figure S24. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S26. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ collected in a quartz NMR tube; the broad peak in the baseline around 0 is a result of borosilicate in the NMR probe.

## Variable solvent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and [ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$



Figure S27. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (left) and DMSO- $d_{6}$ (right) showing the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}$ phosphorus resonance.


Figure S28. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (left) and $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (right) showing the $\mathrm{P}-$ Se phosphorus resonance.

## Acetone



Figure S29. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ showing the P -Se phosphorus resonance.


Figure S30. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in the indicated solvent mixtures showing the $\mathrm{P}-$ Se phosphorus resonance.


Figure S31. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with addition of different equivalents of $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$. While the changing electrolyte concentration could influence the solvent dielectric, and hence the coupling constant, literature examples with tetra-alkyl ammonium salts suggest that very little change is expected for changing electrolyte concentration over this concentration range ( $\sim 1$ M). ${ }^{22}$


Figure S32. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with addition of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) salts.


Figure S33. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with addition of tetraethylammonium (TEA) and bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium (PPN) salts.


Figure S34. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in the indicated solvents showing the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}$ phosphorus resonance.


Figure S35. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in the indicated solvents.


Figure S36. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in different solvents.


Figure S37. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ in the indicated solvents.


Figure S38. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{SePPh}_{3}$ in the indicated solvents.


Figure S39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Figure S40. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $[$ TEA $]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se} e}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.


Figure S41. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showing methylene resonance of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and DMSO-d6. The * indicates a small THF impurity.

## $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$



DMSO


Figure $\mathbf{S 4 2} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra showing the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ resonance of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and DMSO- $d 6$.

# NMR spectra of the reaction kinetics of $\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{6}$ oxidative addition 

$\mathbf{K} 1$ with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$



Figure S43. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of K 1 and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ in THF.


Figure S44. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ after 1 hr at RT. This upfield region shows the characteristic Ni-F peak.


Figure S45. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ after 1 hr at RT. The broad peak centered around -180 ppm is Teflon within the NMR probe. The peak at -164.6 ppm that is cut off is excess $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$.


Figure S46. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{K} 1(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 20 h at RT. Oxidative addition product peaks assigned: -117.5 ( $2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-132.3\left(6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)$, $-166.4\left(1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right)-167.1\left(2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$ based on comparison to reference 15 . Peaks at -140.3 and 156.5 ppm are not always observed and are assigned as unknown impurities. The peak at -164.6 ppm is unreacted $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$.


Figure S47. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between K1 (24 $\mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards over the course of 20 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition product for the following peaks are: $-166.4 \mathrm{ppm}\left(1.4 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$, $3.5 \mathrm{mM}, 30 \%$ ), $-167.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left(1.7 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 3.0 \mathrm{mM}, 25 \%\right),-117.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left(1.9 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 2.6 \mathrm{mM}, 22 \%\right)$. The average observed rate of formation and $\%$ yield are $1.7(3) \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $25(4) \%$.


Figure S48. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{K} 1(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 16 h at RT. The phosphorus resonance for the oxidative addition product is assigned as the doublet at 9.6 ppm on the basis of coupling to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ on the Ni-F.


Figure S49. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between K1 $(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12$ mM ) as internal integral standards over the course of 16 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition peak at 9.6 ppm is $1.4 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $3.0 \mathrm{mM}(25 \%)$

## $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$



Figure S50. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 53 h at RT. Oxidative addition product peaks assigned: -110.6 ( $2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Fortho}$ ), -166.8 ( $2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}$ ) based on comparison to reference 15 . The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ peak is hidden under the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ peak, but can be observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. The peak at -164.6 ppm is the unreacted $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F} 6$.


Figure S51. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ( 24 $\mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards over the course of 52 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition product for the following peaks are: $-110.6 \mathrm{ppm}\left(2.0 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$, $6.7 \mathrm{mM}, 56 \%),-166.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left(2.1 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 7.0 \mathrm{mM}, 58 \%\right)$. The average observed rate of formation and $\%$ yield are $2.10(7) \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $57(2) \%$.


Figure S52. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PCy} 3(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 53 h at RT. The phosphorus resonance for the oxidative addition product is assigned as the doublet at 18.5 ppm on the basis of coupling to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ in the Ni-F. The starting phosphine is assigned as the peak at 10.6 ppm .


Figure S53. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ $(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12$ $\mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards over the course of 53 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition peak at 18.5 ppm is $2.0 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $10.0 \mathrm{mM}(83 \%)$

## $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ with $\mathbf{N i ( C O D )} \mathbf{2}_{2}$



Figure S54. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 114 h at RT. Oxidative addition product peaks assigned: $-116.6(2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Forth}),-163.9\left(1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right)$, and $-166.0\left(2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$ based on comparison to reference 15 . Peaks at -140.3 and -156.5 , and -169.3 ppm are not always observed and are assigned as unknown impurities. The peak at -164.6 ppm is unreacted $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$.




Figure S55. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(24$ $\mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards over the course of 114 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition product for the following peaks are: $-116.6 \mathrm{ppm}(3.5 \mathrm{E}-6$ $\left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM}, 23 \%\right),-163.9 \mathrm{ppm}\left(4.3 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM}, 23 \%\right)$, and $166.0\left(4.0 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM}, 23 \%\right)$. The average observed rate of formation and $\%$ yield are $4.0(4) \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $23 \%$.


Figure S56. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\left.\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})\right)_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ as internal integral standards after 114 h at RT. The phosphorus resonance for the oxidative addition product is assigned as the doublet at 13.8 ppm on the basis of coupling to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ in the Ni-F. The starting phosphine is assigned as the resonance at 18.1 ppm .



Figure S57. Time course monitoring of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction between $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ $(24 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(120 \mathrm{mM})$ in THF with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(60 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}(12$ mM ) as internal integral standards over the course of 114 h at RT. The observed rate of formation and $\%$ completion for the oxidative addition peak at 13.8 ppm is $2.8 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 3.2 mM (27\%)

Table S1. Summary of observed rates from NMR monitoring experiments

| Phosphine | Average kobs | Average <br> yield | kobs from <br> ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR | yields from <br> ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR | kobs from <br> 31 <br> P1 NMR | yield from <br> ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K1 | $1.6(2) \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $25(3) \%$ at <br> 20 h | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> $1.7 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> $1.9 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $30 \%$ <br> $25 \%$ <br> $22 \%$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $25 \%$ |
| PCy3 | $2.0(1) \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $66(15) \%$ at <br> 53 h | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> $2.1 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $56 \%$ <br> $58 \%$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $83 \%$ |
| PEt $_{3}$ | $3.7(7) \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $24(2) \%$ at <br> 114 h | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$  <br> $4.3 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$  <br>  $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $27 \%$ |



Figure S58. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF after 1 hour (bottom, black) and 1 week (top, red) at RT. The features around 17 ppm are unreacted $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ adducts and the doublet at 13 ppm is the oxidative addition product with ${ }^{1} J_{\text {P-F }}$ coupling.

## NMR spectra of the catalytic C-F borylation reactions



Figure S59. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of 1,2-difluorobenzene following standard catalytic conditions detailed in methods section. The peaks at $-102.8,-115.8$, and -140.3 ppm are assigned as $1-\mathrm{Bpin}-2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}, 2,2^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}_{2}-$ $1,1^{\prime}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$, and 1,2-difluorobenzene, respectively.


Figure S60. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of 1,3-difluorobenzene following standard catalytic conditions detailed in methods section. The peaks at -111.3 and -115.7 ppm are assigned as 1,3 -difluorobenzene and 1-Bpin-3$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$, respectively.


Figure S61. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of 1,4-difluorobenzene following standard catalytic conditions detailed in methods section. The peaks at -110.1 and -120.9 ppm are assigned as $1-\mathrm{Bpin}-4-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$ and $1,4-$ difluorobenzene, respectively.


Figure S62. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of 1,2,4-trifluorobenzene following standard catalytic conditions detailed in methods section. The peaks at $-116.7,-135.3$ and -145.1 ppm are assigned to $1,2,4$-trifluorobenzene and the peaks at -120.2 and -121.7 ppm are assigned to $2,2^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}_{4}-1,1^{\prime}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$.


Figure S63. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene following standard catalytic conditions detailed in methods section. The peaks at $-108.8,-112.1$, and -116.4 ppm are assigned as $1,3,5$-trifluorobenzene, $1-$ Bpin-3,5- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, and 1,3-Bpin-5- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{3}$, respectively.

## GC/MS characterization of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Bpin}$



Figure S64. GC trace of the reaction mixture resulting from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}$. The peak at a retention time of 6.486 minutes corresponds to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Bpin}$ (see MS below) and the peak at 6.634 minutes corresponds to $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$.


Figure S65. Mass spectrum of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Bpin}$ peak from the reaction mixture GC resulting from one of the catalytic borylation reactions of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}$.

## Infrared Spectra



Figure S66. IR spectrum ( KBr Pellet) of K1.


Figure S67. IR spectrum (DCM Solution) of 2. The equation correlating the stretching frequency in $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}$ compounds and TEP from $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ is $\mathrm{y}=0.5716 \mathrm{x}+938.47$, where y is TEP and $x$ is $v(C O)_{\text {Rh. }}{ }^{23}$


Figure S68. IR spectrum ( KBr pellet) of $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S69. IR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ solution) of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$. Note that the features around $2250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are a combination of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and solvent stretches.


Figure S70. IR spectrum ( KBr pellet) of $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$.


Figure S71. IR spectrum ( KBr pellet) of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$.


Figure S72. IR spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ in different solvents. $v_{c o}$ shifts by $\sim 3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is within the instrument error $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.


Figure S73. IR spectra of $\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ acac in different solvents.

## UV-visible spectra



Figure S74. UV-vis traces of the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mM}), 1$ equivalent of K 1 , and 21 equivalents of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 70 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal. Inset: Exponential fit to the decay of the absorbance at 464 nm with the equation $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{A} * \exp \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}\right)$. The fit values are $\mathrm{y}_{0}=0.132(9), \mathrm{A}=0.173(8)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{0}=-2.81 \mathrm{E}-4(3.05 \mathrm{E}-5)$. The kobs determined from this fit is $-2.8 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S75. UV-vis traces of the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mM})$, 2 equivalents of K 1 , and 21 equivalents of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 90 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal. Inset: Exponential fit to the decay of the absorbance at 464 nm with the equation $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{A} * \exp \left(\mathrm{R}_{0} * \mathrm{x}\right)$. The fit values are $\mathrm{y}_{0}=0.510(6), \mathrm{A}=0.280(6)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{0}=-5.68 \mathrm{E}-4(3.98 \mathrm{E}-5)$. The kobs determined from this fit is $-5.6 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S76. UV-vis traces of the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mM}), 3$ equivalents of K 1 , and 21 equivalents of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 90 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal. Inset: Exponential fit to the decay of the absorbance at 464 nm with the equation $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{A} * \exp \left(\mathrm{R}_{0} * \mathrm{x}\right)$. The fit values are $\mathrm{y}_{0}=0.703(6), \mathrm{A}=0.890(5)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{0}=-3.71 \mathrm{E}-4(6.03 \mathrm{E}-6)$. The kobs determined from this fit is $-3.7 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S77. UV-vis traces of the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mM}), 4$ equivalents of K 1 , and 21 equivalents of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 90 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal. Inset: Exponential fit to the decay of the absorbance at 464 nm with the equation $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{A} * \exp \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}\right)$. The fit values are $\mathrm{y}_{0}=1.110(35), \mathrm{A}=0.857(30)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{0}=-3.14 \mathrm{E}-4(2.69 \mathrm{E}-5)$. The kobs determined from this fit is $-3.1 \mathrm{E}-4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S78. UV-vis traces of the reaction between $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mM}), 8$ equivalents of K 1 , and 21 equivalents of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 90 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal. Inset: Exponential fit to the decay of the absorbance at 464 nm with the equation $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{A} * \exp \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{x}\right)$. The fit values are $\mathrm{y}_{0}=0.609(48), \mathrm{A}=1.825(47)$, and $\mathrm{R}_{0}=-9.44 \mathrm{E}-5(3.20 \mathrm{E}-6)$. The kobs determined from this fit is $-9.4 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure S79. UV-vis traces of the reaction of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ and K 1 without $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ in THF at RT, with scans taken every 5 minutes for a total of 70 minutes; the spectrum for the first scan is maroon and the spectrum for the last scan is teal.

## Calculations

## General considerations

The structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$, $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, and $\mathbf{2}$ were optimized in Orca version $4.0^{24}$ using the B 3 P Functional, with the def2-TZVP ${ }^{25}$ basis set on C, H, B, and F, and def2-TZVPP ${ }^{25}$ basis set on Rh, Se , and P . Rh also had an ECP applied. Different local minima geometries of the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ group were found by changing the input geometry, which resulted in optimization to two local minima in the two extremes of the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ positioning. The "transoid" geometry (with a larger Se-P-C-B dihedral) was the global minimum based on comparison of energy by 3.4 kcal , but both geometries were confirmed as local minima with frequency calculations.

NMR couplings were calculated in Gaussian $16^{26}$ with the "Mixed" method using mPW1PW91 functional and $6-311++G(2 d, 2 p)$ basis set, similar to methods used in the literature to calculate Se chemical shifts. ${ }^{27}$ The average coupling was weighted for a Boltzmann population
of the cisoid and transoid isomers based on the calculated energy difference which predicts a nearly $100 \%$ population of the ground state transoid isomer at room temperature.

We also considered several solvation models to understand to rationalize the trends we observed. While implicit solvation failed to reproduce our observed trends, explicit solvation did match our observations. For these calculations, the starting geometries of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ were used with 10 randomly arranged solvent molecules of either $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{DCM}$, or $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The geometry of this model was then optimized with ORCA $5.0^{28}$ with the BP86 functional, with def2-QZVP basis sets on all atoms as well as the D3BJ dispersion correction. Local minima were found, but we did not perform frequency calculations both due to the size of the system, and the high likelihood of multiple minima of similar energy due to minor changes in solvent coordinates. NMR couplings were then calculated in ORCA 5.0 using the EPR/NMR module with the same basis sets but with the O3LYP functional.

The electric field exerted at phosphorus in $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ as a result of the anionic $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ moiety was estimated using a variation of Coulomb's law. The electric field equation was obtained by dividing coulombs law by $q_{1}$ and explicitly separating the vector connecting the points into $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z components. ${ }^{29}$ Doing this results in three equations describing the $x, y$ and $z$ components of the electric field where the $z$-axis vector is defined as the P -Se bond vector. The equation for the z component is shown below.

$$
E_{z}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)=\frac{q_{2}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{\left[\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}
$$

The charge of $q_{2}$ is in Coulombs, the constant of proportionality is in $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{mC}$ (or equivalently $\mathrm{Nm}^{2} / \mathrm{C}^{2}$ ), and the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ coordinates are in meters. The resulting electric field is in units of $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$.

Two separate optimized geometries for $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ were considered, the transoid and cisoid rotamers (see main text and Figures S76 and S77). Both geometries were considered in order to estimate the range of accessible geometries in solution. Starting with optimized geometries, the z -axis was aligned along the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Se}$ bond in Avogadro. ${ }^{30}$ The $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ coordinates for the B were used as the location of a negative charge, point 2 , and the coordinates for phosphorus were used as point 1 . An example of the code put into Matlab to calculate the electric field in the transoid geometry (coordinates included in code) is shown below. The electric field calculated at P for this geometry in $\mathrm{V} / \AA$ was $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=(0.5145,0.9015,-1.0672)$. The electric field was analogously calculated at $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=(0,0,2.14028)$ in $\mathrm{V} / \AA$ and was $(0.1334,0.2338,-0.5423)$. The coordinates for boron and selenium in the cisoid geometry were $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=(0.52958,2.85487,-0.94860)$ and $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ $=(0,0,2.11743)$. The electric field calculated at $P$ in the cisoid geometry in $\mathrm{V} / \AA$ is $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=$ $(0.2679,1.4444,-0.4799)$. The electric field at Se in the cisoid geometry in V/ $\AA$ was $(0.1014$, $0.5467,-0.5872$ ). The same code was used to estimate the location for a point charge on the z axis below the phosphine to replicate the electric field. The x and y coordinates were set to 0 and values were entered into the z coordinate until a similar field was predicted. In this case, placing a negative point charge at $(0,0,-3.7)$ resulted in a predicted field at $P$ of $(0,0,-1.0533)$. This negative point charge was included via the "charge" input in Gaussian.

```
%Electric field at P - defining coordinates - transoid
Px = 0;
Py = 0;
Pz = 0;
Bx = 1.07559/10^10; %enter position in Å, converts to m
By = 1.88457/10^10;
Bz = -2.23090/10^10;
CP = 9E9; %constant of proportionality, units in Vm/C
elec = -1.60218E-19; %electron charge in C
%defining distances
PB = (Px-Bx)^^2 +(Py-By)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}2+(Pz-Bz)^^2
rPB = PB^(3/2);
%electric field at P from transoid B1 in V/m
EBx = CP*elec* (Px-Bx)/rPB;
EBy = CP*elec* (Py-By)/rPB;
EBz = CP*elec*(Pz-Bz)/rPB;
%electric field from far B1 in V/A
EVAx = EBx/10^10;
EVAy = EBy/10^10;
EVAz = EBz/10^10;
%electric field from far B1 in atomic units
Eaux = EVAx/51.4;
Eauy = EVAy/51.4;
Eauz = EVAz/51.4;
%summary/output
EVm = [EBx, EBy, EBz] %x,y,z components of electric field in V/m
EVA = [EVAx, EVAy, EVAz] %x,y,z components of electric field in V/\AA
Eau = [Eaux, Eauy, Eauz] %x,y,z components of electric field in atomic
units
```



Figure S80. Calculated structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ (cisoid structure).

Table S2. Coordinates of optimized structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\text {Se }}$ (cisoid structure)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | -0.00000 |
| C | 0.79176 | -1.51897 | -0.68167 |
| C | -1.68430 | -0.09969 | -0.70597 |
| C | 0.95751 | 1.26436 | -0.84601 |
| C | -2.03216 | 0.57473 | -1.87230 |
| C | -3.30535 | 0.44636 | -2.40740 |
| C | -4.24520 | -0.36639 | -1.78539 |
| C | -3.90466 | -1.03762 | -0.61612 |
| C | -2.63696 | -0.90181 | -0.07134 |
| C | 0.34564 | -2.11211 | -1.86055 |
| C | 1.04760 | -3.15788 | -2.44199 |
| C | 2.20703 | -3.64068 | -1.84793 |
| C | 2.64957 | -3.06779 | -0.65874 |
| C | 1.94911 | -2.01469 | -0.08495 |
| H | -0.54693 | -1.73898 | -2.35402 |
| H | 0.69672 | -3.58365 | -3.37695 |
| H | 2.76413 | -4.44933 | -2.31062 |
| H | 3.55125 | -3.43509 | -0.17762 |
| H | 2.29796 | -1.55965 | 0.83836 |
| H | -1.32880 | 1.27805 | -2.30439 |
| H | -3.56414 | 0.99679 | -3.30539 |
| H | -5.24242 | -0.46288 | -2.20361 |
| H | -4.64233 | -1.66290 | -0.11985 |
| H | -2.37684 | -1.39091 | 0.86300 |
| B | 0.52958 | 2.85487 | -0.94860 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.08297 | 0.90777 | -1.87581 |
| H | 1.93004 | 1.18075 | -0.34921 |
| F | -0.65839 | 3.01138 | -1.70523 |
| F | 1.56441 | 3.48557 | -1.66849 |
| F | 0.38517 | 3.40672 | 0.32000 |
| Se | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 2.11743 |



Figure S81. Calculated structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ group rotated down (transoid structure).

Table S3. Coordinates of calculated structure of edited $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ (transoid structure).

| P | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | -0.00000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.99330 | -1.39843 | -0.66597 |
| C | -1.67140 | -0.40144 | -0.64511 |
| C | 0.47747 | 1.57885 | -0.70985 |
| C | -2.05904 | -0.01528 | -1.93709 |
| C | -3.32542 | -0.36388 | -2.41501 |
| C | -4.20617 | -1.10123 | -1.62104 |
| C | -3.82135 | -1.48343 | -0.33348 |
| C | -2.56304 | -1.12866 | 0.15402 |
| C | 1.22296 | -1.52383 | -2.04616 |
| C | 1.83822 | -2.67280 | -2.54698 |
| C | 2.23379 | -3.69978 | -1.68536 |
| C | 2.03814 | -3.56165 | -0.30944 |
| C | 1.42154 | -2.41535 | 0.19733 |
| H | 0.92192 | -0.72323 | -2.72719 |
| H | 2.00752 | -2.76313 | -3.62528 |
| H | 2.69143 | -4.61047 | -2.08801 |


| H | 2.36104 | -4.35360 | 0.37473 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.24876 | -2.29177 | 1.27209 |
| H | -1.36256 | 0.54441 | -2.57044 |
| H | -3.62385 | -0.04992 | -3.42069 |
| H | -5.20049 | -1.36658 | -1.99654 |
| H | -4.51353 | -2.04700 | 0.30141 |
| H | -2.25464 | -1.39203 | 1.17180 |
| B | 1.07559 | 1.88457 | -2.23090 |
| H | 1.24869 | 1.94613 | -0.01093 |
| H | -0.41508 | 2.20718 | -0.53519 |
| F | 2.43491 | 1.57068 | -2.27037 |
| F | 0.88434 | 3.24873 | -2.48413 |
| F | 0.40887 | 1.14985 | -3.26352 |
| Se | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 2.14028 |

Table S4. Calculated electric field and $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ for calculated structures of $1{ }^{\mathrm{Se}}$.

| Structure | Electric Field at P <br> from Coulomb's <br> Law $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})(\mathrm{V} / \AA)$ | Electric Field at Se <br> from Coulomb's <br> Law $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})(\mathrm{V} / \AA)$ | Calculated $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(0.2679,1.4444$, <br> $-0.4799)$ | $(0.1014,0.5467$, <br> $-0.5872)$ | 849 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



Transoid


Figure S82. Optimized structure of $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$

Table S5. Coordinates of calculated structure of $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$

| P | -1.35788240879875 | -0.13006160366219 | 0.01411152467337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C | 0.46035043089732 | -0.00894111268972 | -0.11297709391472 |
| C | -1.94517319766249 | 1.58601434389281 | -0.16172337078438 |
| C | -1.61801775841252 | -0.61050169284086 | 1.76310436384687 |
| C | -2.01014632290093 | 2.47292110281823 | 0.92410985893433 |
| C | -2.41206155900290 | 3.79576392924933 | 0.72657268228532 |
| C | -2.74084901275680 | 4.24437941334151 | -0.55433651062815 |
| C | -2.68265886616421 | 3.36481109808462 | -1.63800769868336 |
| C | -2.29720178239310 | 2.03882087923351 | -1.44152423911476 |
| C | 1.13167743910790 | 1.22211021431696 | -0.09833764454327 |
| C | 2.52599984121426 | 1.26309941428321 | -0.17498367322925 |
| C | 3.25881284879158 | 0.07870400585500 | -0.25496759670394 |
| C | 2.59497006687365 | -1.15128021566608 | -0.27269699876603 |
| C 1.20289958940165 | -1.19608897060009 | -0.21338937206687 |  |
| H | 0.56785142998232 | 2.15646750575397 | -0.03584727525056 |
| H 3.04127036520655 | 2.22861672964623 | -0.17613907033801 |  |
| H 4.35118313528202 | 0.11411607368298 | -0.31698548311317 |  |
| H 3.16578660570770 | -2.08138803595474 | -0.34956853358883 |  |
| H 0.67898692040419 | -2.15558358314002 | -0.27123364941962 |  |
| H | -1.74109270514662 | 2.14154866229593 | 1.93092698724380 |


| H | -2.47180495620726 | 4.47777195354649 | 1.57995780443591 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| H | -3.05874211021555 | 5.28023958410241 | -0.70753760639855 |
| H | -2.95473464600281 | 3.71205680917404 | -2.63940545838434 |
| H | -2.27331831816806 | 1.33201706440374 | -2.27737773784354 |
| C -3.07492682591919 | -0.83763875445270 | 2.14339590507861 |  |
| H -1.12647794003861 | 0.14336875047400 | 2.40307130334858 |  |
| H -1.02905993305891 | -1.53660194524061 | 1.87501787192442 |  |
| H -3.65864309342022 | 0.09485089645599 | 2.08972538205654 |  |
| H -3.14747222882146 | -1.22835025412969 | 3.17174579213329 |  |
| H -3.54324381001111 | -1.56577579313832 | 1.46318209837571 |  |
| Se -2.23970119776762 | -1.48167646909590 | -1.34535256156543 |  |



Figure S83. Optimized structure of 2.
Table S6. Coordinates of calculated structure of $\mathbf{2}$.

| C | 8.403788 | 17.368792 | 2.615641 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 9.705222 | 18.509041 | 3.115911 |
| Rh | 10.136258 | 18.933402 | 5.313363 |
| C | 11.221557 | 17.926186 | 2.268307 |
| C | 9.347099 | 20.115557 | 2.288545 |
| O | 12.057864 | 19.603935 | 4.821644 |
| O | 10.615003 | 19.337603 | 7.337524 |
| C | 8.446174 | 18.381288 | 5.731046 |
| C | 11.755851 | 18.531018 | 1.132847 |
| C | 10.200942 | 21.200914 | 2.513834 |


| C | 8.220460 | 20.311465 | 1.492247 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.970067 | 19.954472 | 5.623701 |
| C | 11.726087 | 19.727819 | 7.776966 |
| O | 7.383820 | 18.076726 | 6.041198 |
| C | 9.941245 | 22.438077 | 1.944732 |
| C | 7.951510 | 21.556867 | 0.932416 |
| C | 14.277143 | 20.329974 | 4.968282 |
| C | 12.872159 | 20.025527 | 7.017917 |
| C | 11.802153 | 19.879283 | 9.279178 |
| C | 8.811293 | 22.622504 | 1.153446 |
| B | 8.595388 | 15.744242 | 2.943153 |
| F | 7.324750 | 15.146053 | 2.848943 |
| F | 9.450987 | 15.161508 | 1.986774 |
| F | 9.128192 | 15.529631 | 4.230298 |
| C | 11.858493 | 16.802787 | 2.800076 |
| C | 12.910173 | 18.028815 | 0.541690 |
| C | 13.006304 | 16.301171 | 2.206666 |
| C | 13.539880 | 16.915029 | 1.079053 |
| H | 8.265032 | 17.454439 | 1.531862 |
| H | 7.484652 | 17.707179 | 3.107181 |
| H | 11.272738 | 19.401040 | 0.701904 |
| H | 11.071467 | 21.061570 | 3.147696 |
| H | 7.540607 | 19.486130 | 1.312565 |
| H | 10.616970 | 23.267955 | 2.129427 |
| H | 7.063383 | 21.690232 | 0.321928 |
| H | 14.120589 | 21.199587 | 4.322298 |
| H | 14.601816 | 19.508033 | 4.324507 |
| H | 15.059281 | 20.560440 | 5.693265 |
| H | 13.754680 | 20.343503 | 7.559616 |
| H | 11.578020 | 18.916231 | 9.746747 |
| H | 11.033490 | 20.584266 | 9.608231 |
| H | 12.779454 | 20.225718 | 9.619559 |
| H | 8.602193 | 23.593992 | 0.716399 |
| H | 11.432442 | 16.310556 | 3.667469 |
| H | 13.313992 | 18.511300 | -0.343251 |
| H | 13.482115 | 15.419730 | 2.624111 |
| H | 14.440215 | 16.521415 | 0.617090 |
|  |  |  |  |



Figure S84. Optimized structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit MeCN solvation.
Table S7. Coordinates of calculated structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit MeCN solvation.

| P | -1.215603 | -0.313816 | -0.472603 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Se | -0.452603 | 0.248122 | -2.411729 |
| C | -1.721581 | -2.066081 | -0.495162 |
| C | -1.493133 | -2.908706 | 0.602266 |
| C | -1.822447 | -4.263899 | 0.517415 |
| C | -2.396733 | -4.778258 | -0.646201 |
| C | -2.638699 | -3.938294 | -1.735938 |
| C | -2.293813 | -2.591119 | -1.663500 |
| C | -2.707716 | 0.674159 | -0.128220 |
| C | -3.966208 | 0.239733 | -0.561081 |
| C | -5.087875 | 1.052126 | -0.388416 |
| C | -4.962674 | 2.299978 | 0.226319 |
| C | -3.708347 | 2.734752 | 0.667955 |
| C | -2.584152 | 1.927887 | 0.490717 |
| C | -0.040690 | -0.059408 | 0.866975 |
| B | -0.510147 | -0.196130 | 2.450299 |


| F | -0.170423 | 0.992445 | 3.149852 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| F | 0.129594 | -1.303411 | 3.063515 |
| F | -1.933628 | -0.398601 | 2.594030 |
| H | -1.042836 | -2.524385 | 1.514471 |
| H | -1.633018 | -4.910069 | 1.373390 |
| H | -2.649734 | -5.836958 | -0.706282 |
| H | -3.078320 | -4.330834 | -2.651482 |
| H | -2.432730 | -1.938092 | -2.524644 |
| H | -4.078742 | -0.742407 | -1.013860 |
| H | -6.062973 | 0.708223 | -0.728742 |
| H | -5.843932 | 2.925502 | 0.362265 |
| H | -3.604260 | 3.701942 | 1.158719 |
| H | -1.613228 | 2.268975 | 0.846775 |
| H | 0.364301 | 0.946397 | 0.685159 |
| H | 0.783835 | -0.753565 | 0.647108 |
| C | -5.442646 | -2.227617 | -3.477505 |
| C | -5.336566 | -0.791763 | -3.639717 |
| N | -5.237553 | 0.358162 | -3.768755 |
| H | -5.378838 | -2.489664 | -2.411015 |
| H | -4.631030 | -2.716633 | -4.035989 |
| H | -6.407195 | -2.575770 | -3.868225 |
| C | -2.440901 | 0.309633 | -5.580876 |
| C | -1.092308 | 0.070320 | -6.055856 |
| N | -0.006545 | -0.133433 | -6.416793 |
| H | -3.113227 | -0.472801 | -5.950284 |
| H | -2.802497 | 1.293150 | -5.904573 |
| H | -2.436771 | 0.277555 | -4.481624 |
| C | 2.646389 | -0.449481 | -4.347672 |
| C | 3.094388 | -1.828017 | -4.419539 |
| N | 3.431963 | -2.938858 | -4.480810 |
| H | 1.996284 | -0.223324 | -5.205310 |
| H | 3.505315 | 0.232815 | -4.348115 |
| H | 2.058545 | -0.289982 | -3.429966 |
| C | -5.153169 | -4.562444 | 1.811660 |
| C | -5.385003 | -3.618940 | 0.734791 |
| N | -5.586309 | -2.856525 | -0.118124 |
| H | -5.729211 | -4.268714 | 2.697871 |
| H | -4.091691 | -4.581615 | 2.088111 |
| H | -5.451597 | -5.570295 | 1.497872 |
| C | -2.835791 | 2.383102 | 4.145979 |
| C | -2.954180 | 3.808661 | 3.899754 |
| N | -3.052301 | 4.947176 | 3.686635 |
| H | -3.520366 | 1.836220 | 3.487325 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | -3.082280 | 2.154222 | 5.190130 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -1.819008 | 2.027970 | 3.925770 |
| C | -2.999744 | 2.934914 | -2.821018 |
| C | -3.321985 | 3.440134 | -4.139771 |
| N | -3.590052 | 3.834529 | -5.200567 |
| H | -2.853144 | 3.760663 | -2.115272 |
| H | -2.083266 | 2.320936 | -2.842279 |
| H | -3.812313 | 2.293239 | -2.459085 |
| C | -2.203664 | -2.654008 | 4.974889 |
| C | -2.314015 | -3.939191 | 4.313247 |
| N | -2.413328 | -4.964999 | 3.775761 |
| H | -1.923030 | -1.883856 | 4.243357 |
| H | -1.428567 | -2.694293 | 5.749690 |
| H | -3.169898 | -2.394630 | 5.425166 |
| C | -0.182729 | -2.966933 | -4.418177 |
| C | -1.554122 | -3.073047 | -4.870747 |
| N | -2.663566 | -3.140890 | -5.211907 |
| H | 0.122377 | -3.883151 | -3.899510 |
| H | -0.102686 | -2.114996 | -3.720387 |
| H | 0.485249 | -2.791158 | -5.268621 |
| C | -6.671189 | 3.308174 | -3.147741 |
| C | -7.588852 | 3.012575 | -2.061968 |
| N | -8.314697 | 2.767618 | -1.187945 |
| H | -6.141664 | 2.393897 | -3.452956 |
| H | -5.933715 | 4.053741 | -2.827386 |
| H | -7.214467 | 3.707480 | -4.012696 |
| C | -5.084714 | -0.537145 | 2.567380 |
| C | -5.391995 | -1.470471 | 3.632964 |
| N | -5.627071 | -2.236146 | 4.475949 |
| H | -5.420149 | -0.942859 | 1.606192 |
| H | -3.999278 | -0.375841 | 2.508936 |
| H | -5.590123 | 0.421405 | 2.734982 |
|  |  |  |  |



Figure S85. Optimized structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit DCM solvation.
Table S8. Coordinates of calculated structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit DCM solvation

| P | -2.116135 | 0.987532 | 0.229504 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Se | -2.954463 | 1.797678 | -1.590603 |
| C | -2.211619 | -0.819228 | 0.097613 |
| C | -1.199681 | -1.512743 | -0.583168 |
| C | -1.327655 | -2.880182 | -0.817747 |
| C | -2.460240 | -3.566243 | -0.371599 |
| C | -3.471556 | -2.879047 | 0.301118 |
| C | -3.353379 | -1.508392 | 0.528257 |
| C | -3.142849 | 1.423475 | 1.683769 |
| C | -2.962926 | 0.773611 | 2.914702 |
| C | -3.764508 | 1.112543 | 4.005726 |
| C | -4.750135 | 2.096078 | 3.879420 |
| C | -4.918992 | 2.755379 | 2.660553 |
| C | -4.118243 | 2.418084 | 1.568166 |
| C | -0.429676 | 1.536481 | 0.540890 |
| B | 0.601136 | 0.682627 | 1.516994 |


| F | 1.558246 | 1.567516 | 2.053702 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| F | 1.277625 | -0.331570 | 0.766092 |
| F | -0.079381 | 0.026111 | 2.595216 |
| H | -0.306879 | -0.990106 | -0.912205 |
| H | -0.532284 | -3.407411 | -1.340748 |
| H | -2.554500 | -4.636278 | -0.549579 |
| H | -4.363747 | -3.402020 | 0.639362 |
| H | -4.166033 | -0.967010 | 1.007772 |
| H | -2.196765 | 0.009247 | 3.017397 |
| H | -3.618122 | 0.597313 | 4.953447 |
| H | -5.377294 | 2.350763 | 4.733140 |
| H | -5.669714 | 3.538224 | 2.554478 |
| H | -4.251388 | 2.915878 | 0.609153 |
| H | -0.562279 | 2.552734 | 0.940396 |
| H | 0.019468 | 1.657384 | -0.454670 |
| C | -5.969608 | -2.017039 | -3.073064 |
| Cl | -4.867755 | -0.797309 | -2.383457 |
| Cl | -6.509231 | -3.229418 | -1.870345 |
| H | -5.428153 | -2.541982 | -3.861552 |
| H | -6.852393 | -1.495185 | -3.445252 |
| C | -1.776886 | -1.011407 | -3.917404 |
| Cl | -2.429335 | -2.640982 | -4.256854 |
| H | -0.693943 | -1.096877 | -3.829627 |
| Cl | -2.131210 | 0.151098 | -5.227299 |
| H | -2.243408 | -0.627752 | -3.006934 |
| C | -0.674152 | -2.993900 | 2.658287 |
| H | -1.110806 | -2.532898 | 1.774329 |
| Cl | 0.480158 | -4.239721 | 2.117588 |
| H | -0.147032 | -2.266031 | 3.273509 |
| Cl | -2.010733 | -3.687959 | 3.632914 |
| C | -2.002481 | 4.215974 | 3.938690 |
| Cl | -2.123232 | 5.875905 | 4.605239 |
| H | -2.294820 | 3.520950 | 4.725543 |
| Cl | -0.359674 | 3.787055 | 3.417884 |
| H | -2.657517 | 4.161415 | 3.069320 |
| C | -5.220897 | 1.983551 | -4.222838 |
| Cl | -6.401445 | 1.189694 | -5.309250 |
| Cl | -4.958771 | 3.705000 | -4.621753 |
| H | -4.269922 | 1.459317 | -4.325257 |
| H | -5.618409 | 1.927105 | -3.210437 |
| C | -3.285165 | 5.288915 | -0.760646 |
| Cl | -2.103211 | 5.341202 | 0.572442 |
| H | -3.066858 | 6.111391 | -1.442497 |
|  | -2 |  |  |


| Cl | -4.978068 | 5.488211 | -0.201112 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -3.202567 | 4.298299 | -1.227013 |
| C | 2.116176 | -0.180504 | -2.177546 |
| Cl | 1.838264 | -1.846233 | -2.786698 |
| H | 3.132958 | 0.102526 | -2.451499 |
| Cl | 0.994007 | 0.996050 | -2.915390 |
| H | 1.946062 | -0.185241 | -1.095661 |
| C | -5.163820 | -1.906251 | 3.544488 |
| Cl | -5.223204 | -1.991502 | 5.326420 |
| H | -5.416969 | -0.888916 | 3.244560 |
| Cl | -6.323073 | -3.016733 | 2.760089 |
| H | -4.157872 | -2.193600 | 3.233289 |
| C | -6.564644 | 1.303696 | -0.250783 |
| Cl | -7.724446 | 1.354494 | -1.613141 |
| H | -6.718805 | 2.199660 | 0.349914 |
| Cl | -6.811969 | -0.116883 | 0.797554 |
| H | -5.554132 | 1.253672 | -0.671819 |
| C | -0.401302 | 0.743559 | 5.533800 |
| Cl | -1.148778 | -0.870641 | 5.670191 |
| H | 0.644896 | 0.662864 | 5.828554 |
| Cl | -1.181494 | 1.938817 | 6.620227 |
| H | -0.504369 | 1.081119 | 4.501752 |



Figure S86. Optimized structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvation.
Table S9. Coordinates of calculated structure of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with explicit $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvation

| P | -2.134019 | 0.681238 | -0.248353 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Se | -2.814572 | 1.554850 | -2.112141 |
| C | -2.188081 | -1.128495 | -0.354260 |
| C | -1.043674 | -1.846713 | -0.729424 |
| C | -1.122565 | -3.222700 | -0.933965 |
| C | -2.335810 | -3.889513 | -0.763018 |
| C | -3.472790 | -3.179021 | -0.375973 |
| C | -3.403720 | -1.802579 | -0.170604 |
| C | -3.221703 | 1.159688 | 1.130046 |
| C | -3.146912 | 0.493779 | 2.363223 |
| C | -3.927119 | 0.937330 | 3.429986 |
| C | -4.778828 | 2.033082 | 3.279469 |
| C | -4.851725 | 2.694992 | 2.054560 |
| C | -4.079132 | 2.254822 | 0.980534 |
| C | -0.453351 | 1.191386 | 0.118386 |
| B | 0.197734 | 0.865369 | 1.589279 |


| F | -0.287471 | 1.790162 | 2.558936 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| F | 1.611634 | 0.978494 | 1.513798 |
| F | -0.125592 | -0.449842 | 2.058268 |
| H | -0.091052 | -1.338552 | -0.857215 |
| H | -0.229145 | -3.773211 | -1.222296 |
| H | -2.393730 | -4.965345 | -0.923716 |
| H | -4.422327 | -3.693280 | -0.242051 |
| H | -4.293626 | -1.253005 | 0.129152 |
| H | -2.469349 | -0.350867 | 2.484464 |
| H | -3.859349 | 0.440735 | 4.395198 |
| H | -5.377578 | 2.374401 | 4.121522 |
| H | -5.505006 | 3.556699 | 1.931689 |
| H | -4.132532 | 2.757930 | 0.015723 |
| H | -0.465635 | 2.279180 | -0.034577 |
| H | 0.142362 | 0.775196 | -0.706034 |
| C | -6.339653 | -1.842635 | -3.113674 |
| Cl | -5.313514 | -0.488811 | -2.563738 |
| Cl | -6.892051 | -2.852374 | -1.748532 |
| H | -5.716602 | -2.467821 | -3.753964 |
| Cl | -7.724154 | -1.274897 | -4.088006 |
| C | -2.328466 | -1.264991 | -4.156277 |
| Cl | -2.985679 | -2.931279 | -4.149064 |
| Cl | -0.555143 | -1.279999 | -4.239926 |
| Cl | -3.028756 | -0.321761 | -5.492509 |
| H | -2.616468 | -0.765964 | -3.223374 |
| C | 0.010889 | -3.197076 | 3.079611 |
| Cl | 0.326055 | -3.892480 | 4.692052 |
| Cl | 1.078086 | -3.938600 | 1.856622 |
| Cl | -1.697584 | -3.385802 | 2.621544 |
| H | 0.229050 | -2.128625 | 3.099328 |
| C | -1.938819 | 4.351160 | 3.135991 |
| Cl | -0.338063 | 5.063819 | 3.443305 |
| Cl | -3.197716 | 5.032447 | 4.217264 |
| H | -1.873757 | 3.278007 | 3.313988 |
| H | -2.224834 | 4.582792 | 2.109328 |
| C | -5.937370 | 3.146280 | -2.733193 |
| Cl | -7.187171 | 2.527185 | -3.831792 |
| Cl | -4.918970 | 4.366598 | -3.526750 |
| H | -5.278578 | 2.320006 | -2.438195 |
| Cl | -6.669463 | 3.805247 | -1.237050 |
| C | -1.978869 | 4.970948 | -1.241594 |
| Cl | -0.510767 | 4.928049 | -0.239278 |
| Cl | -1.718897 | 5.938131 | -2.716411 |
|  | -1 |  |  |


|  | -36281 | 5.598708 | -0.299382 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cl | -3.362281 |  |  |
| H | -2.220991 | 3.940223 | -1.545776 |
| C | 2.884976 | 1.225234 | -1.218915 |
| Cl | 2.361311 | -0.275269 | -2.043871 |
| Cl | 4.666396 | 1.330431 | -1.180932 |
| Cl | 2.174394 | 2.653910 | -2.003281 |
| H | 2.518728 | 1.178121 | -0.187717 |
| C | -4.706603 | -2.498081 | 4.264596 |
| Cl | -6.035536 | -1.523564 | 4.932598 |
| Cl | -5.216461 | -3.342919 | 2.780700 |
| Cl | -4.080618 | -3.643816 | 5.472684 |
| H | -3.891716 | -1.824938 | 3.994636 |
| C | -7.352896 | 0.855192 | 0.532008 |
| Cl | -8.409996 | 0.409612 | -0.822728 |
| Cl | -8.231549 | 1.880809 | 1.704523 |
| Cl | -6.668396 | -0.575215 | 1.330325 |
| H | -6.525656 | 1.448142 | 0.140035 |
| C | -0.772219 | 0.489478 | 5.208282 |
| Cl | -1.738479 | -0.980737 | 5.554662 |
| Cl | 0.899158 | 0.267979 | 5.765478 |
| Cl | -1.522395 | 1.927819 | 5.942119 |
| H | -0.745242 | 0.646119 | 4.127642 |

## NBO Analysis

We have considered $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$, and $\mathbf{2}$ with an NBO/NPA analysis to look for any donor-acceptor interactions between non-adjacent atoms. Using the second-order perturbation analysis we have been unable to find any donor-acceptor interactions $>2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ between $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{Se} / \mathrm{Rh}$ in any of the structures we have examined.

We have also used NBO analysis to examine our simplified assumption of a "point charge" centered at B. We have used NBO analysis to look at the sum of the charges on B and the 4 atoms directly bound to it. This sum is -1.62 . In the neutral congener $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PEt}$ this analogous sum is -0.69 . Comparing these two net values shows that there is almost perfectly an additional -1 charge on the $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ substituted phosphine. Furthermore, comparison of the individual charges on each atom shows that this negative charge is fairly symmetrically distributed, albeit with a slightly larger change going from H to F (more negative) and from C to B (more positive) than is observed in the change of charge on the common methylene carbon. As the electric field at P or Se will be an average of all the charge density, the effects from each individual atom will be averaged. Overall, while there are some subtleties as to the arrangement of the charge, the NBO analysis does support that the estimation of a point charge localized at B is reasonable. This demonstrates that simple electrostatic relationships (Coulomb's Law) and charge assumptions (approximating a $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ as a point charge) provide a good model for solution phase electrostatic effects.

## Correlation between $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ and TEP



Figure S87. Plot of experimental $J_{\text {P-Se }}$ reported in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ vs. TEP determined using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ in DCM or $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}$. Data was fit linearly using $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ with $\mathrm{m}=0.23(2)$ and $\mathrm{b}=$ 1904(17) with $R^{2}=0.84$. Phosphines corresponding to each point are listed in the next table.

Table S10. Additional data points added to main text Figure 2. The linear fit was used to calculate the corresponding TEP or JP-Se from the experimental value. Experimental TEP was determined via the linear correlation between $\mathrm{vco}_{\mathrm{Co}} \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}$ and TEP.

| Compound | Experimental TEP | Experimental $J_{\text {P-Se }}$ | Calculated TEP | Calculated $J_{\text {P-Se }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $2061.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | - | - | 698 Hz |
| $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right] \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  | 657 | 2052.3 |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ |  | 687 | 2059.1 |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right] \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |  | 677 | 2056.8 |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}^{2}$ |  | 695 | 2060.9 |  |

Table S11. Phosphines used in the $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ vs. TEP fit. Data in brackets were determined using $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}$. All other TEP values were determined using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}$, and all $J_{\mathrm{P} \text {-Se }}$ values were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Data was collected from references 6,23 , and 31 .

| Number | Phosphine | $J_{\text {P-Se }}(\mathrm{Hz})$ | TEP ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{P}(p-\mathrm{FPh})_{3}$ | 743 | 2071.3 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | 731 | 2068.9 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Bn})_{3}$ | 730 | 2066.4 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{P}(m-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | 723 | 2067.2 |
| 5 | PPh 2 Et | 722 | 2066.7 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}(p-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | 720 | 2066.7 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{MePh}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | 719 | 2067.0 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}\left(p-\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}$ | 714 | 2066.1 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | 706 | 2066.6 |
| 10 | PMe 2 Ph | 705 | 2065.3 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{PEt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 709 | 2063.7 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ | 684 | 2061.7 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{nBu})_{3}$ | 681 | 2060.3 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{\text {i Pr }}\right)_{3}$ | 686 | 2059.2 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{PAd}_{2}(\mathrm{nBu})$ | 693 | [2057.1] |
| 16 | $\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$ | 687 | 2056.1 |
| 17 | РСуз | 675 | 2056.4 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{PAd}_{3}$ | 670 | [2052.1] |

## Further analysis of solvent dependence



Figure S88. Plots of $J_{\mathrm{P} \text {-Se }}$ as a function of solvent dielectric - the $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Se}$ compounds are compared to their neutral congeners in the top plot and the coupling of $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}$ with two different counterions is compared in the bottom plot. Solvent dielectric for mixtures was estimated using a volume weighted average of the pure solvent dielectrics. We have been unable to find detailed studies of the dielectrics of these binary mixtures, but literature reports suggest that solvent mixtures of low polarity solvents scale approximately linearly with concentration and that using a volume or mole fraction weighted average of the pure solvent dielectric provides a reasonable estimate of the mixture dielectric. ${ }^{32}$ We have chosen to use volume fraction for ease but use of mole fractions results in negligible changes to the values and fits.

Table S12. $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}(\mathrm{Hz})$ for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right],\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{SePPh}_{3}$ at different dielectrics. ${ }^{32}$ To estimate the experimentally accessible electrostatic contribution to donor strength for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, the following calculation was performed: $(687-657) /(722-657)^{*} 100=46 \%$. The experimentally accessible electrostatic contribution for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ is $(695-677) /(735-677) * 100=31 \%$. The coupling predicted by DFT including explicit solvent (Figures S84-S86) is included in the last column.

| Solvent | Dielectric | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]} \\ {\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{TEA}]} \\ {\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]} \\ {\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | SePPh 2 Et | $\mathrm{SePPh}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}} \mathrm{DFT} \\ & \text { explicit } \\ & \text { solvent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 4.8 | 657 | 679 | 677 | 720 | 731 | -648 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1: 1 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \\ \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 669 | 682 | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 9.1 | 675 | 687 | 690 | 727 | 735 | -675 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1: 1 \\ \text { acetone- } d_{6} \\ : \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 682 | 688 | - | - | - | - |
| acetone- $d_{6}$ | 21 | 686 | 691 | 695 | 739 | 748 | - |
| $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 36.6 | 689 | 689 | 700 | 727 | 735 | -684 |
| DMSO-d6 | 46.7 | 687 | 688 | 695 | 722 | 735 | - |

Table S13. Fit parameters for the linear fits of $J_{\mathrm{P} \text {-Se }}$ to $1 /(4 \pi \varepsilon)$ of the form $J_{\mathrm{P} \text {-Se }}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}^{*}(1 /(4 \pi \varepsilon))$.

| Phosphine | $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{TEA}]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ | $\mathrm{SePPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{SePPh}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $693(1)$ | $691(2)$ | $700(2)$ | $731(5)$ | $741(5)$ |
| b | $-2.12(12)^{*} 10^{3}$ | $-7(2)^{*} 10^{2}$ | $-1.4(2)^{*} 10^{3}$ | $-5(6)^{*} 10^{2}$ | $-5(5)^{*} 10^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.98 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.06 | 0.02 |

Table S14. $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}(\mathrm{Hz})$ for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ with the addition of various additional salts, the difference is relative to the coupling value for $[\mathrm{PPh} 4]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ in the appropriate solvent. NMR spectra are shown in the NMR section.

| Salt added | Solvent | Equivalents | $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Difference <br> relative to <br> $[\mathrm{PPh} 4]\left[1 \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 3 | 679 | 4 |
| PPh 4 Br | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 20 | 679 | 4 |
| Tetrabutylammonium chloride | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 20 | 671 | 14 |
| Tetrabutylammonium chloride | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 1 | 667 | 9 |
| Tetrabutylammonium $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 20 | 671 | 14 |
| Tetraethylammonium bromide | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 20 | 677 | 19 |
| Tetraethylammonium bromide | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 1 | 672 | 14 |
| Bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium chloride | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 20 | 656 | -2 |

## Discussion of the slopes of $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ versus $\mathbf{1 / ( 4 \pi \varepsilon )}$ for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$

The linear fits of $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ versus $1 /(4 \pi \varepsilon)$ for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ show that Coulomb's law provides a reasonable approximation for how the donor properties of these phosphine selenides vary with different solvents:

$$
F=\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon r^{2}}
$$

Coulomb's law also has dependences on the charges involved in the electrostatic interaction ( $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ ) as well as the distances between those charges. If we make the assumption that the charges in $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ should be identical (or at least similar), then the ratio of the slopes to the linear fits of $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Se}}$ versus $1 /(4 \pi \varepsilon)$ should be proportional to the difference in the square of the point charge-to-test charge distances in the two phosphine selenides. If we use the B‥P distance for this value, the distances are 3.029 and $3.562 \AA$. The ratio of the squares of these distances is 0.72 . This suggests that, simplistically, we might expect that the ratio of the slopes of $J_{\mathrm{P} \text {-Se }}$ versus $1 /(4 \pi \varepsilon)$ for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ should be $\sim 1.4$. The ratio from the linear fits to the data is 1.5 , in good agreement to the predicted ratio from the difference charge-to-charge distance.

## Single crystal X-ray crystallography

F1


Figure S89. SXRD structure of K 1 with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$counterion shown.


Figure S90. SXRD structure of $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$counterion shown. H-bonding interaction between $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ indicated by a dashed line. Two independent molecules of $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)\right)$ are present in the asymmetric unit. The closest H -bonding interaction between the second molecule and $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ is 2.303(2).


Figure S91. SXRD structure of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$counterion shown. H-bonding interaction between $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ indicated by a dashed line.


Figure S92. Space filling model of the SXRD structure of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$counterion shown.


Figure S93. SXRD structure of $[T E A]\left[1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ with TEA $^{+}$counterion shown. H-bonding interaction between $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and TEA indicated by a dashed line.


Figure S94. Space filling model of the SXRD structure of [TEA][1 $\left.{ }^{\text {Se }}\right]$ with $\mathrm{TEA}^{+}$counterion shown.


Figure S95. SXRD structure of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$counterion shown. H-bonding interaction between $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ indicated by a dashed line.

Table S15. Selected average bond lengths for SXRD structures.

|  | $\mathbf{K 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{S e}}\right]$ | $[\mathbf{T E A}]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{S e}}\right]$ | $\left[\mathbf{P P h}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{S e}}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-P2 | $2.858(3)$ | $5.685(3)$ | $6.283(6)$ | - | $5.895(2)$ |
| B-N1 |  |  |  | $4.766(2)$ |  |
| Se-P1 | - | - | $2.129(1)$ | $2.1124(4)$ | $2.112(5)$ |
| P1-B | - | $3.006(4)$ | $3.029(6)$ | $2.894(2)$ | $3.562(2)$ |
| Se-B |  |  | $4.848(6)$ | $3.758(2)$ | $4.530(2)$ |
| B-K | $3.272(3)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Rh-C1 | - | $1.797(3)$ | - | - | - |
| B-C1 | - | $3.719(5)$ | - | - | - |
| B-Rh | - | $4.150(4)$ | - | - | - |
| B-O | - | $3.955(4)$ | - | - | - |

## Discussion of van der Waals radii in 2

Given that the van der Waals radii of B, C, O and Rh are 205, 196, 171, and 232 pm, the sum of the covalent radii for $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ are 401,376 , and 437 respectively. ${ }^{33}$ The interatomic distances for $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ in the crystal structure of 2 are 371.9(4), 395.5(4) and 415.0(4) pm. Dividing the interatomic distances by the sum of the covalent radii gives $0.93,1.05$, and 0.95 for $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$, respectively. Although the interatomic distances between $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ are significantly shorter than that between $\mathrm{B} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$, the lengths normalized for the sum of covalent radii are similar.

Table S16. Refinement data for crystal structures of K1, 2, $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, [TEA][1 $\left.1^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$, and [ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right]\left[3^{\mathrm{Se}}\right]$.

| Identification code | K1 | 2 | [ $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ][1 ${ }^{\text {Se }}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{KO}_{0.5} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Rh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36.96} \mathrm{H}_{31.91} \mathrm{~B}_{0.95} \mathrm{~F}_{2.87} \mathrm{I} 0.05$ $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{0.95}$ |
| Formula weight | 342.21 | 836.40 | 683.86 |
| Temperature/K | 100(2) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | Triclinic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 | Pna2 |
| a/A | 5.6907(4) | 9.1533(6) | 14.5444(7) |
| b/A | 21.5917(16) | 13.0253(9) | 19.8328(10) |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | 26.847(2) | 33.273(2) | 11.0256 (6) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 78.847(2) | 83.172(2) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 89.976(2) | 88.634(2) | 90 |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 89.948(2) | 79.181(2) | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 3231.9(4) | 3868.8(4) | 3180.4(3) |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.407 | 1.436 | 1.428 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.450 | 0.578 | 1.316 |
| F(000) | 1408.0 | 1712.0 | 1396 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.35 \times 0.25 \times 0.09$ | $0.47 \times 0.26 \times 0.17$ | $0.42 \times 0.14 \times 0.138$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.96 to 46.752 | 4.53 to 48.298 | 4.226 to 52.934 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 6,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24, \\ & -29 \leq 1 \leq 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 14,-37 \leq 1 \leq 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 24,-13 \leq 1 \leq 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 54508 | 43102 | 82448 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 9397\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0722,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0549\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12044\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0802,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0838\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6480\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0748,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0434\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data/restraints/paramet ers | 9397/2441/1070 | 12044/0/959 | 6480/13/407 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.016 | 1.014 | 1.071 |
| Final R indexes $[I>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0430, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0798 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0424, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0703 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0401, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0744 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0748, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0897 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0840, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0802 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0545, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ & 0.0788 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0..0/-0.26 | 0.72/-0.53 | 1.13/-0.32 |
| Flack parameter | - | - | 0.015(4) |


| Identification code | [TEA] $\left[1^{\text {Se }}\right.$ ] | [ $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ][3 ${ }^{\mathrm{Se}}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{NPSe}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ |
| Formula weight | 476.2 | 747.40 |
| Temperature/K | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic | triclinic |
| Space group | Pca21 | P-1 |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 18.1513(9) | 9.6724(5) |
| b/Å | 10.6673(5) | 12.8821(6) |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ A | 11.7071(6) | 14.5407(7) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 84.9610(10) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 77.687(2) |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 74.085(2) |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2266.8(2) | 1701.43(15) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.390 | 1.459 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.722 | 1.245 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 981 | 764.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.433 \times 0.259 \times 0.165$ | $0.303 \times 0.218 \times 0.13$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.428-62.256 | 4.466 to 56.758 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -26 \leq h \leq 26,-15 \leq k \leq 15,- \\ & 16 \leq 1 \leq 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,- \\ & 19 \leq 1 \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 59551 | 62543 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 7176\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0245, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=\right. \\ & 0.026] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8501\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0322, R_{\text {sigma }}=\right. \\ & 0.0207] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7176/1/258 | 8501/0/442 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.082 | 1.074 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0204, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0518$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0293, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0701$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0219, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0523$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0353, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0727$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.64/-0.15 | 0.55/-0.32 |
| Flack parameter |  |  |

## Catalytic C-F borylation trial reactions

Table S17. Initial scan of additives for C-F borylation of 1,3 difluorobenzene.


| Other Conditions explored |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8-8.5 \text { equiv. })+$ MeOH (20 equiv.) in 2 mL THF | 6 | 53\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8-8.5 \text { equiv.) }+ \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \text { equiv.) }+\mathrm{Zn} \text { dust (1 equiv.) } \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 29\% |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 13 | 17\% |
| $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(16-17$ equiv.) | 7 | 0\% |
| $\mathrm{CsOH} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (15-20\% $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (8-8.5 equiv.) | 24 | 32\% |
| $\mathrm{CsOH} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(2-2.1$ equiv.) | 7 | 29\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(4-4.3 \text { equiv. })+ \\ \mathrm{CsF} \text { (5 equiv.) } \end{gathered}$ | 24 | 35\% |
| TMSOAc | 7 | 0\% |
| TMAF + LiOH | 24 | 6\% |
| LiOH | 24 | 17\% |

Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $-1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036$ mmol ), K 1 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}\left(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 10\right.$ equiv.), additive ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20$ $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of 1-Bpin-3- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using 1,3 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.

Table S18. Variation of reaction conditions with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as an additive.

| Variation of reaction conditions with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additive/Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| No additive | 7 | 11\% |
| TMAF • $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 7 | 0\% |
| TMAOH $\cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 7 | 0\% |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 16 | 42\% |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 16 | 25\% |
| LiOH | 13 | 20\% |
| CsF | 7 | 20\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \times \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8- \\ 8.5 \text { equiv. }) \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 36\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(4- \\ 4.25 \text { equiv. }) \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 34\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8- \\ 8.5 \text { equiv. })+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \text { equiv. }) \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 36\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8- \\ 8.5 \text { equiv. })+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \text { equiv. }) \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 34\% |
| Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $-1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 11 equiv.), Ni(COD) $(10 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.036 mmol ), $\mathrm{K} 1\left(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.), additive ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}\left(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv.) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of 1-Bpin-3- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. |  |  |

Table S19. Variation of reaction conditions with alcohols as additives

| Variation of reaction conditions with alcohols |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alcohol | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| $\mathrm{MeOH}(50$ equiv. $)$ | 16 | $36 \%$ |
| 'PrOH | 16 | $31 \%$ |
| $t^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}$ | 16 | $34 \%$ |
| PhOH | 16 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ | 16 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{EtOH}^{\mathrm{Et}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{3}}$ | 16 | $35 \%$ |

Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $-1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ ( 10 mg , $0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{K} 1\left(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}\left(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 10\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29-0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 8-8.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv.) and alcohol ( $0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv.) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of 1-Bpin-3- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.

Table S20. Variation of reaction conditions with MeOH as an additive

| Variation of reaction conditions with MeOH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additive/Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 16 | $23 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(8-$ |  |  |
| 8.5 equiv.) $)$ |  |  |

Table S21. Variation of reaction time with MeOH and CsOH additives and control reactions

| Variable times and controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| None | 1 | 47\% |
| None | 2 | 55\% |
| None | 4 | 54\% |
| None | 6 | 55\% |
| $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ (2 equiv.) no K1 | 4 | 0\% |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ (2 equiv.) no K1 | 4 | 0\% |
| PCy3 (2 equiv.), no K1 | 4 | 0\% |
| Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Ni}(C O D)_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K} 1(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(184 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{XH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29-0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 8-8.5\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16$ mmol, 4.5 equiv) and MeOH ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv.) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of 1-Bpin-3- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{4}$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. |  |  |

Table S22. Variation of addition order and additives

| Other Conditions explored |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| None | 4 | 55 |
| $\mathrm{LiOH}\left(10\right.$ equiv.), no $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15-20 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 4 | 35 |
| Addition order*: combine MeOH and $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{XH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in THF, add $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, fluoroarenes, then Ni complex | 4 | 56 |
| Addition order*: combine MeOH and $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in THF, then add Ni complex, then $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and fluoroarenes | 4 | 53 |
| Addition order*: combine MeOH and LiOH (20 equiv.) in THF, add $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, fluoroarenes, then Ni complex, no $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ neop ${ }_{2}$ (20 equiv.), no $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$ | 4 | 32 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Cat}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 |
| LiOMe (10 equiv.), no $\mathrm{CsOH} \times \mathrm{XH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 | 29 |
| LiOMe (10 equiv.), no $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, no MeOH | 4 | 10 |
| No CsOH• $\mathrm{HH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{CsOH} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, no MeOH | 2 | 6 |
| RT | 22 | 44 |
| $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 | 48 |
| Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $-1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \text { equiv.), Ni(COD) })_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K} 1(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ pin $\mathrm{m}_{2}\left(184 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29-0.31$ mmol, 8-8.5 equiv.) and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of 1 -Bpin- $3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. *Standard order of addition: combine Ni(COD) $)_{2}, \mathrm{~K} 1$, and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin} 2$ in 1 mL THF. Add $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$, then $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, then $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and finally MeOH before placing on hot plate. |  |  |

Table S23. Variation of cations.

| Alternative cations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| Combine K 1 and $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ (2 equiv.) in THF, filter and dry to isolate crude $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} 1$, use instead of K1 | 2 | 8 |
| Combine K1 and TBACI (2 equiv.) in THF, filter and dry to isolate crude TBA1, use instead of K1 | 2 | 46 |
| Combine K1 and TEABr (2 equiv.) in THF, filter and dry to isolate crude TEA1, use instead of K1 | 2 | 35 |
| Add 18-crown-6 (2 equiv.) | 2 | 43 |
| Reaction conditions unless otherwise stated $-1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \text { equiv.), Ni(COD) })_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K} 1(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}\left(184 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 20\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29-0.31$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 8-8.5$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}(20 \mu \mathrm{LL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF and heated with stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time. Yields determined by integration of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR peak of $1-$-Bpin- $-3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH} 4$ and comparison to the internal standard $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$. Yields are relative to the theoretical yield ( 0.4 mmol ) determined using $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. *Standard order of addition: combine Ni(COD $)_{2}$, K 1 , and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin} 2$ in 1 mL THF. Add $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$, then $1,3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, then $\mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(15-20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and finally MeOH before placing on hot plate. |  |  |

Table S24. Variation of reaction solvent.

| Solvent variation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Variation | Time (h) | Yield borylated product |
| Dioxane $(\varepsilon=2.25)$ instead of THF $(\varepsilon=7.58)$ | 2 | 43 |
| Toluene $(\varepsilon=2.38)$ instead of THF $(\varepsilon=7.58)$ | 2 | 20 |
| Diethyl ether $(\varepsilon=4.33)$ instead of THF $(\varepsilon=$ | 2 | 10 |
| $7.58)$ |  |  |
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