## **Supporting Information**

## Interfacial modulation achieving flexible anode of FeP/N-doped C@carbon cloth with robust structure for high areal capacity lithium storage

Weijuan Wang,<sup>a,1</sup> Hui Xu,<sup>b,1</sup> Genxi Yu,<sup>a</sup> Daming Chen,<sup>a</sup> Shangqi Sun,<sup>a</sup> Min Zhou,<sup>c,\*</sup> Jian Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>

a. Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Metallic Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, 211189, China

b. Research School of Polymeric Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, China

c. Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei,

Anhui, 230026, PR China

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: j.chen@seu.edu.cn (Jian Chen); min.zhou@tu-ilmenau.de (Min Zhou).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to the paper.



Figure S1. FTIR spectrogram of the CC before and after concentrated  $HNO_3$  treatment.



Figure S2. FESEM image of the NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-101(Fe) precursor.



Figure S3. The mass of (a) CC and (b) FeP/NC@CC.



Figure S4. FESEM image and the corresponding EDX elemental mapping images of FeP/NC@CC.



Figure S5. FESEM images of the FeP/NC@CC using CC without acid treatment.



Figure S6. FESEM images of (a, b) FeP/NC@CC-1 and (c, d) FeP/NC@CC-2.



Figure S7. TEM image of the NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-101(Fe) precursor.



Figure S8. XRD pattern of the NH<sub>2</sub>-MIL-101(Fe).



Figure S9. XRD pattern of the FeP/NC after calcination.

The XRD result indicates that the calcination products of FeP/NC are Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, which is consistent with previous reports.<sup>1-3</sup> According to study, the mass of pure FeP will increase by 26% after calcination, while the mass loss of FeP/NC is caused by the consumption of NC.<sup>2,3</sup> Therefore, we set the total mass of FeP/NC as 1, and the mass of FeP as *x*. After calcination, the NC was removed, the products are Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> with a mass of 1.26*x*. According to TGA result (**Figure 3c**), the mass of products is 1-32.2%, that is, 1.26*x* = 1-32.2%, *x* = 0.538.



Figure S10. CV curves of pristine CC at the  $0.2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .



Figure S11. Comparison of the initial Coulombic efficiency of the FeP/NC@CC with those of other recently reported FeP-based electrodes.



Figure S12. Cycling performance of FeP/NC@CC electrode at 1.0 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Figure S13. (a) The rate capability and (b) cycling performance of CC.



Figure S14. FESEM images of (a-c) FeP/NC@CC electrode and (d-f) FeP/NC@CC electrode using CC without acid treatment after 100 cycles.



Figure S15. Electrochemical performance of the LiFePO<sub>4</sub> electrode. (a) CV curves at 0.2 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, (b) galvanostatic charge/discharge curves at 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, (c) rate capability at different rates, (d) cycle performance at 0.15 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Figure S16. Digital photo of FeP/NC@CC//LiFePO<sub>4</sub> full cells to light red LEDs.

## **References:**

1 B. Hou, Y. Wang, Q. Ning, C. Fan, X. Xi, X. Yang, J. Wang, J. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Wu, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 1304-1312.

2 X. Wang, K. Chen, G. Wang, X. Liu, H. Wang, Acs Nano, 2017, 11, 11602-11616.

3 P. Zhu, Z. Zhang, S. Hao, B. Zhang, P. Zhao, J. Yu, J. Cai, Y. Huang, Z. Yang, *Carbon*, 2018, **139**, 477-485.