Electronic Supplementary Material for

Activating atomically dispersed Co-N/C sites on g-C₃N₄

nanosheets via incorporating sulfur enables efficient visible light

H₂ evolution

Fang Wang^{a,b}, Yuan Xue^b, Weibing Xu^{a*}, Shixiong Min^{b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, 230009, P. R. China.

^bSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, North Minzu University, Yinchuan,

750021, P. R. China.

*Corresponding authors: weibingxu@hfut.edu.cn; sxmin@nun.edu.cn







Fig. S2 HRTEM images of (A) Co-CNs and (B) S-Co-CNs.

Fable S1 Chemical	composition of	f Co-CNs and S-Co-CNs	catalysts determined l	by
--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	------------------------	----

using EDX analysis.						
Sample	C (at.%)	N (at.%)	Co (at.%)	O (at.%)	S (at.%)	
Co-CNs	41.94	56.03	0.18	1.85	0	
S-Co-CNs	43.88	50.14	0.17	5.62	0.19	



Fig. S3 Time courses of H₂ evolution over ErB-sensitized S-Co-CNs catalysts with different Co contents. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 50 mg; 100 mL of TEOA solution, 10%, pH 8; ErB, 0.2 mM; light source, 30-W LED lamp, $\lambda \ge 450$ nm.



Fig. S4 XRD patterns of (A) Co-g-CNs and (B) S-Co-CNs prepared with with



Fig. S5 XRD patterns of S-M-CNs catalysts.



Fig. S6 H_2 evolution rates on different S-M-CNs catalysts in ErB-TEOA system. Reaction conditions: catalyst, 50 mg; 100 mL of TEOA solution, 10%, pH 8; ErB, 0.2





Fig. S7 UV-vis absorption spectra of ErB during the photocatalytic H₂ reaction under visible light irradiation. Reaction conditions: S-Co-CNs, 50 mg; ErB, 0.2 mM; 100 mL TEOA solution, pH 8; light source, 30-W LED lamp, λ≥450 nm. The S-Co-CNs was removed by filtration and the remaining ErB solution was diluted by 10 times.

Table S2 The comparison of photocatalytic H2 evolution activity and AQY in dye-sensitized $g-C_3N_4$ loaded with different cocatalysts under visible light irradiation.

0	5 1		2		0	
Catalyst	Dye	Reaction conditions	Light source	Activity (µmol h ⁻ ¹)	AQY (%)	ref
mpg-C ₃ N ₄ /Pt (1 wt.%) (30 mg)	EY (0.4 M)	TEOA (80 mL, 15 vol.%, pH 7)	250 W high pressure Hg lamp (≥420 nm)	115.5	14.4% (520 nm)	1
g-C ₃ N ₄ /Pt (7 wt.%) (100 mg)	EY (12.5 μM)	TEOA (80 mL, 0.79 M, pH 7)	400 W high pressure Hg lamp (≥420	160	18.8 (400~700)	2

g-C ₃ N ₄ /Pt (1.25 wt.%) (100 mg)	ErB (2.27 mM)	TEOA (100 mL, 5 vol.%, pH 9)	nm) 300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	652.5	33.4% (460 nm)	3
Pt (1 wt.%)/g-C ₃ N ₄ (10 mg)	Zn-tri-PcNc (5.0 µmol g ⁻ ¹)	AA (10 mL, 50 mM, pH 1.5–1.8)	300 W Xe lamp (≥500 nm)	125.2	1.85% (700 nm)	9
Pt (0.5 wt.%)/g-C ₃ N ₄ (10 mg)	LI-4/Zn-tri- PcNc (5.0 µmol g ⁻¹)	AA (10 mL, 50 mM, pH 1.5)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	371.4	7.7% (500 nm)	10
$\begin{array}{c} MoS_x \ (0.5 \ wt\%) \text{-g-} \\ C_3N_4 \ (100 \ mg) \end{array}$	ErB (12.5 μM)	TEOA (80 mL, 0.79 M, pH 7)	400 W high pressure Hg lamp (≥420	26	8.3% (545 nm)	8
Co(OH) ₂ (23 wt.%)/g-C ₃ N ₄ (26 mg)	EY/RB (17 mg/25 mg)	TEOA (100 mL, 10 vol.%, pH 10)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	144.2	29.6% (520 nm); 27.3% (550 nm)	11
g-C ₃ N ₄ /Pt SAs (0.74 wt.%) (10 mg)	EY (0.4 mM)	TEOA (100 mL, 10 vol.%, pH 7)	30 W LED (520 nm)	34.2	0.84% (520 nm)	4
g-C ₃ N ₄ /Pt/GO (50mg)	EY (50 mg)	TEOA (100 mL, 20 vol.%, pH 7)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	191	9.7% (420nm)	6
PtNi (0.5 wt.%)/g- C ₃ N ₄ (50 mg)	EY (50 mg)	TEOA (100 mL, 20 vol.%, pH 7)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	294.5	NA	12
MMT/g-C ₃ N ₄ /NiCoP (15 wt.%) (10mg)	EY(0.1mM)	TEOA (100 mL, 10 vol.%, pH 11)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	125	40.3% (420nm)	7
SnIn ₄ S ₈ /g-C ₃ N ₄ (11 wt.%) (50mg)	CoPc (1.75 mg)	TEOA (100 mL, 15 vol.%)	500 W Xe lamp (≥430 nm)	636.99	NA	5
MoS ₂ (50 wt.%)/g- C ₃ N ₄ (5 mg)	EY (20 μM)	TEOA (40 mL, 10 vol.%)	300 W Xe lamp (≥420 nm)	8.9	NA	13
S-Co-CNs (0.18 at.% Co, 50 mg,)	ErB (0.2 mM)	TEOA (100 mL, 10 vol.%, pH 8)	30 W LED (≥450 nm nm)	319	13.02% (520 nm)	This work



Fig. S8 TEM images of S-Co-CNs catalyst after stability test.



Fig. S9 Mott-Schottky plots of pristine CNs, Co-CNs, and S-Co-CNs.

References

- 1. S. Min and G. Lu, J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 19644.
- 2. J. Xu, Y. Li, S. Peng, G. Lu and S. Li, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 7657.
- 3. Y. Wang, J. Hong, W. Zhang and R. Xu, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 1703.
- 4. X. Zhang, L. Yu, C. Zhuang, T. Peng, R. Li and X. Li, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 162.
- 5. X. Zhang, T. Peng, L. Yu, R. Li, Q. Li and Zhen Li, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 504.
- 6. J. Xu, Y. Li and S. Peng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2015, 40, 353.
- 7. Z. Li, Y. Wu and G. Lu, Appl. Catal., B, 2016, 188, 56.
- Y. Xue, Y. Lei, X. Liu, Y. Li, W. Deng, F. Wang and S. Min, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 14083.
- 9. P. Wang, Z. Guan and Q. Li, J. Mater. Sci., 2018, 53, 774.
- 10. P. Wang, L. Zong, Z. Guan, Q. Li and J. Yang, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2018, 13, 33.
- 11. J. Xu, Y. Qi, W. Wang and L. Wang, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 4114.
- M. Lu, Z. Sun, Y. Zhang, Q. Liang, M. Zhou, S. Xu and Z. Li, *Synth. Met.*, 2020, 268, 116480.
- C. M. Nagaraja, M. Kaur and S. Dhingra, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 8497.