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## Experimental Section

Materials: Lead iodide ( $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}, 99.99 \%$ ), N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8\%), dimethyl sulfoxide ( $\mathrm{DMSO}, \geq 99.9 \%$ ), lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Li-TFSI) (99.95\%), 4-tert-butylpyridine (4-TBP, 96\%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ dispersion ( $15 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{in}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. 2, ${ }^{\prime}, 7,7^{\prime}$-Tetrakis (N, N-di-p-methoxyphenylamine)-9,9spirobifluorene (Spiro-OMeTAD) was purchased from Lumtec. Methylammonium chloride (MACl) was all purchased from Xi'an Polymer Light Technology in China. Formamidinium iodide (FAI) and methylammonium iodide (MAI) were purchased from Great cell Solar company. All salts and solvents were used without any further purification.

Solution Preparation: The $15 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ colloid precursor was diluted with deionized water to $2.67 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and then sonicated for 15 min to obtain $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ precursor solution. For preparing $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ precursor solution, $691.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ was added into 1 mL mixed solvent (DMF: $\mathrm{DMSO}=9: 1 \mathrm{in}$ volume ratio) and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The FAI/MAI/MACl mixture solution was prepared by adding 360 mg FAI, 25.4 mg MAI, and 36 mg MACl into 4 mL IPA. For the preparation of SpiroOMeTAD mixture solution, 72.3 mg Spiro-OMeTAD powder were dissolved in 1 mL CB with the addition of $17.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Li-TFSI stock solution ( $520 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ACN ) and $28.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 4-tertbutylpyridine (TBP).

Photovoltaic device fabrication: The patterned ITO glass substrate ( $7 \Omega \mathrm{sq}^{-1}$ ) was sequentially washed by ultrasonic cleaner using water, ethanol, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol. Before use, the ITO glass was cleaned with ultraviolet ozone for 10 min . Then the $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ precursor solution was spincoated on ITO glass substrate at 4000 rpm . for 30 s , and annealed in ambient air at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\min$. It is better to clean the substrate with ultraviolet ozone for 10 min to improve the surface wetting. The two-step sequential deposition method was used to prepare the perovskite absorber in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ glovebox or ambient air (relative humidity (R. H.) around 40\%). In detail, firstly the $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ precursor solution was spin-coated onto $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ at 1500 rpm for 30 s and then annealed at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min to partially remove the solvent. After cooling to room temperature, the FAI/MAI/MAC mixture solution was dipped onto $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ layer and held for 5 s before spin-coated at 2000 rpm for 30 s, followed by thermal annealing at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in ambient air conditions (around $40 \%$ R.H.). Spiro-OMeTAD solution was spin-coated on the obtained perovskite film at 4000 rpm for 30 s . Finally, 80 nm of Au was thermally evaporated as a counter electrode using a shadow mask. The device size areas were $0.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. When measuring, a $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ non-reflective mask was used to define the accurate active cell area.

## Characterization

XRD patterns were collected with a Regaku D/Max-2500 diffractometer equipped using a $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha 1$
radiation $(\lambda=1.54056 \AA)$. The XPS measurements were performed on an ESCALab220i-XL electron spectrometer (VG Scientific) using 300W Al K $\alpha$ radiation. Top-view SEM images were obtained using Hitachi S-4800. The surface roughness of perovskite film was measured by an AFM (Nanoscope V, Vecco) in tapping mode. The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption was measured on a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UH4150, Hitachi). The steady-state PL and TRPL spectra were measured on an Edinburgh Instrument FLS 980. $J-V$ characteristics were measured with a solar simulator ( 450 W Model 94023A, Newport) with an AM 1.5 solar spectrum filter and a Keithley 2420 source meter. Light intensity was adjusted using an NREL certified Si solar cell. For the EQE measurement, the ORIEL IQE-200 measurement system was used.


Fig. S1 Magnified (001) diffraction peaks of the control film and the air film in Fig. 2c.


Fig. S2 The grain size histogram of perovskite films. Data were obtained from Fig. 2f and 2j.


Fig. S3 XPS spectra for I 3d core-level energy.


Fig. S4 The Urbach energy of the control film and the air film.


Fig. S5 Typical $J$-V curves of PSCs based on (a) the control film and (b) the air film.


Fig. S6 $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ versus illumination intensity of the two devices.

Table S1 the PL carrier lifetimes extracted from PL decay measurements.

|  | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text {ave }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control film | 0.20 | 150.50 | 0.80 | 1408.53 | 1376.39 |
| Air film | 0.12 | 231.58 | 0.88 | 1670.09 | 1643.43 |

Note: $\tau_{\mathrm{ave}}=\left(A_{1} \tau_{1}^{2}+A_{2} \tau_{2}^{2}\right) /\left(A_{1} \tau_{1}+A_{2} \tau_{2}\right)$

Table S2. The hysteresis parameters derived from the $J-V$ curves of the control device and the air device.

| Device | Scan | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}\left(\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{FF}(\%)$ | PCE (\%) | H-index <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | direction | Reverse | 1.109 | 22.92 | 80.10 | 20.38 |
|  | Forward | 1.111 | 22.90 | 68.42 | 17.41 | 14.6 |
| Air | Reverse | 1.130 | 24.35 | 80.30 | 22.09 |  |
|  | Forward | 1.126 | 24.26 | 73.53 | 20.10 | 9 |

Note: H -index $=\left(\mathrm{PCE}_{\text {reverse }}-\mathrm{PCE}_{\text {forward }}\right) / \mathrm{PCE}_{\text {reverse }}$

Table S3 Fitting results of Nyquist Plots.

| Condition | Control device | Air device |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}(\Omega)$ | 23.57 | 13.54 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rec}}(\Omega)$ | 11368 | 17628 |

Table S4 Build-in potential $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}\right)$ analyzed from the Mott-Schottky Plots.

| Condition | Control device | Air device |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}(\mathrm{V})$ | 0.96 | 1.00 |

