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Figure S1. The XRD Rietveld refinement of $\mathrm{LaMoBO}_{6}(\mathrm{LMBO})$ host lattice.

Table S1. The Rietveld refinement parameters and unit cell parameters of LMBO host lattice and LMBO: $0.1 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ phosphor

| Parameters | LMBO host lattice | LMBO: $0.1 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ phosphor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | $P 2_{l} / c$ | $P 2_{l} / c$ |
| $\mathrm{a}(\AA)$ | 10.29549 | 10.25266 |
| $\mathrm{~b}(\AA)$ | 4.16388 | 4.15637 |
| $\mathrm{c}(\AA)$ | 23.84481 | 23.72538 |
| $\alpha$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| $\beta$ | $115.38073^{\circ}$ | $115.16018^{\circ}$ |
| $\gamma$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\circ} \AA^{3}\right)$ | 923.5440 | 915.1051 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $6.28 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{wp}}$ | $10.08 \%$ | $9.68 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{exp}}$ | $2.00 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {bragg }}$ | $3.82 \%$ | $3.28 \%$ |
| gof | 5.03 | 4.89 |



Figure S2. (A \& B) The color coordinate diagrams of LMBO: $\mathrm{xTb}^{3+}$ green phosphors under the excitation of 378 nm and 487 nm .


Figure S3. (A \& B) The fitting of $\ln \left(\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}\right)$ against $\ln \mathrm{r}$ for LMBO: $\mathrm{xTb}^{3+}$ green phosphors with the value of $\theta$ being 6 and 8 , respectively; ( $\mathbf{C} \& \mathbf{D}$ ) The schematic diagram for the cross-relaxation of $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$.


Figure S4. (A \& B) The color coordinate diagram of LMBO: $0.04 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, \mathrm{yEu}^{3+}$ yellow phosphors under the excitation of 378 nm and 487 nm .


Figure S5. (A \& B) The color coordinate diagram of LMBO: $0.04 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime} \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ yellow phosphors under the excitation of 378 nm and 487 nm .

Table S2. The quantum yields of the LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ and LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, \mathrm{xEu}^{3+} /$ $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ phosphors.

| Doping ions and ratios | 378 nm | 487 nm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ | $8 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $24 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $22 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $29 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.03 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.04 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $58 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.05 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ | $37 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $12 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $23 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.03 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $19 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.04 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $13 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.05 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ | $14 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

Table S3. The quantum yields of the LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, \mathrm{zBi}^{3+}$ and LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$, $0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+} / \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, \mathrm{zBi}^{3+}$ phosphors.

| Doping ions and ratios | 378 nm | 487 nm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.0005 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $23 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.001 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $25 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $22 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $13 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.001 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $27 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $24 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $21 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $14 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.03 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $5 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.001 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $12 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $11 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.01 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $9 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $4 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.03 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ | $9 \%$ | $51 \%$ |




Figure S6. The quantum yield test charts of (A) LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$, (B) LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$, $0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ and (C) LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}, 0.02 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ phosphors.

The quantum yield was tested using an integrating sphere and calculated according to equation:

$$
Q Y=\frac{P_{c}}{L_{a}-L_{c}}
$$

where QY is the quantum yield, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the count of emitted photons of the sample in the integrating sphere, $L_{a}$ is the count of reflected photons of the excitation light in the integrating sphere with a blank background, and $L_{c}$ is the count of reflected photons of the excitation light in the integrating sphere of the sample.


Figure S7. The photograph of green LED with $375 \sim 380 \mathrm{~nm}$ UV-LED and LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ green phosphor combined.


Figure S8. (A) The photograph of yellow LED with $375 \sim 380 \mathrm{~nm}$ UV-LED and LMBO:
$0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor combined; (B) The photograph of white LED with $375 \sim 380 \mathrm{~nm}$ UV-LED, LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor and blue phosphor (BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ) combined.


Figure S9. (A) The photograph of yellow LED with 375~380 nm UV-LED and LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor combined; (B) The photograph of white LED with 375~380 nm UV-LED and LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor and blue phosphor (BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ ) combined.


Figure S10. (A) The spectral power distribution of the white light LED combined with LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor, BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ blue phosphor and $375 \sim 380$ nm UV-LED; (B) The spectral power distribution of the white light LED combined with LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor, BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ blue phosphor and 375~380 nm UV-LED.


Figure S11. The spectral power distribution of the white LED combined with LMBO: $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ green phosphor, red phosphor $\left(\mathrm{CaAlSiN}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}\right)$ and blue LED (Both voltage and current increase).

Table S4. The performance of white LED assembled by LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor, BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ blue phosphor and UV-LED.

| Voltage (V) | Current (mA) | CRI | CCT (K) | luminescence <br> efficiency <br> $(\mathrm{lm} / \mathrm{W})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.14 | 60 | 44.4 | 25079 | 0.53 |
| 3.19 | 100 | 48.5 | 23233 | 0.55 |
| 3.23 | 140 | 49.5 | 26272 | 0.54 |
| 3.26 | 180 | 49.8 | 30336 | 0.52 |
| 3.3 | 220 | 50 | 38164 | 0.49 |
| 3.33 | 260 | 50.2 | 54940 | 0.47 |
| 3.36 | 300 | 50.2 | 94138 | 0.44 |

Table S5. The performance of white LED assembled by LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}, 0.005 \mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$ yellow phosphor, BAM: $\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ blue phosphor and UV-LED.

| Voltage (V) | Current (mA) | CRI | CCT (K) | luminescence <br> efficiency <br> $(\operatorname{lm} / \mathrm{W})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.18 | 60 | 88.6 | 9438 | 0.31 |
| 3.24 | 100 | 89.5 | 8960 | 0.31 |
| 3.29 | 140 | 88.7 | 9411 | 0.3 |
| 3.33 | 180 | 88.1 | 9773 | 0.29 |
| 3.37 | 220 | 87.3 | 10114 | 0.28 |
| 3.41 | 260 | 86.6 | 10469 | 0.26 |
| 3.45 | 300 | 86.3 | 10933 | 0.25 |

Table S6. The performance of white LED assembled by LMBO: $0.4 \mathrm{~Tb}^{3+}$ green phosphor, $\mathrm{CaAlSiN}_{3}: \mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ red phosphor and blue-LED.

| Voltage (V) Current (mA) | CRI | CCT (K) | luminescence <br> efficiency <br> $(\operatorname{lm} / \mathrm{W})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| constant voltage mode |  |  |  |  |
| 5.65 | 60 | 33.9 | 4676 | 0.23 |
| 5.65 | 140 | 34.5 | 4642 | 0.1 |
| 5.65 | 220 | 35.8 | 4571 | 0.06 |
| 5.65 | 300 | 34.6 | 4601 | 0.05 |
| variable voltage mode |  |  |  |  |
| 2.98 | 20 | 46.4 | 4203 | 15.69 |
| 3.55 | 60 | 47.5 | 4186 | 9.2 |
| 3.95 | 100 | 50.5 | 4300 | 6.52 |
| 4.31 | 140 | 55.9 | 4491 | 4.9 |
| 4.67 | 180 | 63 | 4704 | 3.73 |
| 5.19 | 220 | 72 | 4905 | 2.49 |
| 7.43 | 260 | 52.1 | 4527 | 0.57 |

