## Supporting Information

## All-round performance improvement of semitransparent perovskite solar cells by a pressure-assisted method

Yuan Yu<sup>a</sup>, Meijia Shang<sup>b</sup>, Tao Wang<sup>b</sup>, Qian Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yuying Hao<sup>c</sup>, Zhiyong Pang<sup>a</sup>, Deliang Cui<sup>b</sup>,

Gang Lian<sup>b\*</sup>, Xijian Zhang<sup>a\*</sup> and Shenghao Han<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Microelectronics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China.

<sup>c</sup> School of Physics and Optoelectronics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, P. R

China.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: liangang@sdu.edu.cn (Gang Lian), zhangxijian@sdu.edu.cn (Xijian Zhang),

hansh@sdu.edu.cn (Shenghao Han)



Fig. S1 The original SEM image of Fig. 2b.

.



Fig. S2 Grain distribution statistics of (a) Fig. 2a and (b) Fig. 2b.



Fig. S3 (a) AFM topographic image and (b) three-dimensional topographic image of the TPR film.



Fig. S4 Grain distribution statistics of Figure S3.



Fig. S5 Top view SEM image of the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film annealed at 100 °C for 6 h at atmospheric pressure.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of the precursor film and the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film annealed at 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h at atmospheric pressure.



Fig. S7 (a) AFM topographic image, (b) three-dimensional topographic image and (c) surface profile of the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film when 150 MPa used at room temperature for 6 h.



**Fig. S8** (a) AFM topographic image, (b) three-dimensional topographic AFM image and (c) surface profile of MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film annealed at 100  $^{\circ}$ C with the pressure of 100 MPa for 6 h.



**Fig. S9** (a) Top-view AFM image, (b) cross-sectional SEM images of the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film with TPR treatment (100 °C, 150 Mpa for 1 h); (c) Top-view AFM image, (d) cross-sectional SEM images of the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film with TPR treatment (100 °C, 150 Mpa for 3 h); (e) Top-view AFM image, (f) cross-sectional SEM images of the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film with TPR treatment (100 °C, 150 Mpa for 5 h)



Fig. S10 Schematic device structure of SPSCs



**Fig. S11** Forward and reverse J-V scans at a 110 mV s<sup>-1</sup> scan rate of (a) control SPSC, and (b) TPR SPSC.



Fig. S12 Schematic device structure of Opaque PSCs.



**Fig. S13** 400s steady-state photocurrent and power output at maximum power point of control PSCs and TPR PSCs.



**Fig. S14** AFM topographic images of composite films (ITO/PTAA/MAPbI<sub>3</sub>/ $C_{60}$ /BCP) based on (a) precursor film and (b) TPR film; and Au electrodes (ITO/PTAA/MAPbI<sub>3</sub>/ $C_{60}$ /BCP/Au) of (c) control SPSC and (d) TPR SPSC.



**Fig. S15** (a) Three-dimensional topographic AFM image and (b) KFM image of Au electrode (ITO/PTAA/MAPbI<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>60</sub>/BCP/Au) based on control film; (c) Three-dimensional topographic AFM image and (d) KFM image of Au electrode (ITO/PTAA/MAPbI<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>60</sub>/BCP/Au) based on TPR film.

Sample number	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Control	25.85 %	26.71 %	26.53 %	25.74 %	25.41 %	26.05 %
TPR	26.83 %	28.17 %	28.75 %	26.11 %	27.82 %	27.54 %

Table S1. Transmittance of control films and TPR films ( $PTAA/MAPbI_3$ ) averaged over 5 films.

Table S2. Sheet resistance of Au films based on the control films and TPR films

Sheet resistance (Ω/Sq uare)	1	2	3	4	Average
Control	16.3	16.4	18.2	17.5	17.1
TPR	27.4	25	26.5	26.8	26.4