## Electronic supplementary information (ESI<sup>†</sup>)

Ultra-sensitive photoluminescence modification of Eu<sup>3+</sup> ion based on light tuning surface potential of Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl layered semiconductor and application for facile UV light detector

Rui Hu, Yongjin Li\*, Zhaoyi Yin, Tianhui Wang, Jianbei Qiu\*, Zhengwen Yang, and Zhiguo Song\*

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, 650093, PR China

\*Corresponding Author: E-mail: liyongjin@kust.edu.cn (Y. Li). qiu@kust.edu.cn (J. Qiu). songzg@kust.edu.cn (Z. Song).

	Bi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Cl	Bi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Cl:5%Eu <sup>3+</sup>
space group	$I1_2/a^1(15)$	$I_{1_2/a^1}(15)$
<i>a</i> (Å)	5.695	5.683
<i>b</i> (Å)	5.648	5.636
<i>c</i> (Å)	18.573	18.541
β (deg)	91.52	91.35
Z	4	4
$V(Å^3)$	597.20	593.75

Table S1. Rietveld Refinement and Lattice Parameters of Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl and Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:5%Eu<sup>3+</sup>

According to the Rietveld refined results, the as-prepared Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:Eu<sup>3+</sup> phosphor is assigned to the monoclinic system and belongs to the  $I1_2/a^1(15)$  space group. The lattice parameters are confirmed as a = 5.683 Å, b = 5.636 Å, c = 18.541 Å,  $\beta$  = 91.52°, Z = 4, and V = 593.75 Å<sup>3</sup>.<sup>1,2</sup> Compared with the standard cell parameters and cell volume that derive from PDF#86-2221, all values of Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:Eu<sup>3+</sup> sample show a significant shrinkage, proving the vast substitution of Eu<sup>3+</sup> ions (1.066 Å, CN = 8) for the bigger Bi<sup>3+</sup> sites (1.17 Å, CN = 8).



**Fig. S1.** Plots of  $(\alpha hv)^{1/2}$  vs. the photon energy (hv) for the as-synthesized undoped Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl sample (a) and the Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:4%Eu<sup>3+</sup> sample (b).

As showed in **Fig. S1a-b**, the absorption edge of  $Eu^{3+}$  doped  $Bi_3O_4Cl$  sample slightly redshifts compared with that of undoped one (for simplifying, just represented the representative curves of sample with 0 and 4 mol%  $Eu^{3+}$ ). It indicates that  $Eu^{3+}$  ion dopant forming impurity energy levels decreases the forbidden gap of  $Bi_3O_4Cl$ , and the calculation of energy band structure is being done by our group.<sup>2</sup>



Fig. S2. (a)-(b) Excitation spectra of Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:  $xEu^{3+}$  (x = 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 mol%) micorcrystals recorded at  $\lambda_{Em} = 613$  nm and 704 nm; (c)-(d) Emission spectra of the same samples upon 320 nm and 465 nm excitation. The insets are the enlarged excitation spectra in  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{7}F_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{1}$  transition region, and other excitation bands followed the similar tendency but without presentation for simplifying.

**Fig. S2** presents the excitation spectra (a-b) and emission spectra (c-d) of prepared  $Eu^{3+}$  doped  $Bi_3O_4Cl$  samples with different concentration of  $Eu^{3+}$  ions. It shows that whether under absorption-edge (394 and 465 nm) or intraband (526 nm) excitation, the concentration dependence of the emission intensity of  $Eu^{3+}$  ion are totally same, except that under deep-UV excitation (320 nm) (Figure S3c-d). This indicates that the photoexcited electrons from broadband excitation may be excited via intermediate electron-hole pair states of semiconductor.

As for the decay times of Eu<sup>3+</sup>-related emission for Bi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cl:4%Eu<sup>3+</sup> sample, all decay profiles keep following the single exponential decay equation:  $I = A \exp(-t/\tau)$ ,<sup>3,4</sup> where parameters *A*, *I*, and *t* are represent the constant, luminescent intensity, and the decay time, respectively. Therefore, under 465 nm excitation, the lifetimes of sample were calculated to 1.00 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 613$  nm), 0.92 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 620$  nm), 0.91 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 698$  nm), and 0.99 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 704$  nm), respectively. Meanwhile, under 320 nm irradiation, the obtained results are 1.24 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 613$  nm), 1.17 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 620$  nm), 1.15 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 698$  nm), and 1.17 ms ( $\lambda_{Em} = 704$  nm), respectively.

## Reference

- [1] R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallographica. 1976, A32, 751.
- [2] X. P. Lin, T. Huang, F. Q. Huang, W. D. Wang, J. L. Shi, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 24629.
- [3] P. Du, J. S. Yu, Chem. Eng. J. 2017, 327, 109.
- [4] J. Zhou, Z. G. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. C 2014, 2, 6978.