Supporting Information

Defect healing via a gradient cooling strategy for efficient all-inorganic perovskite solar cells

Jiani Lv, Wenning Zhao, Wenhui Li*, Jiatao Yu, Mingzhe Zhang, Xiuxun Han* and Tooru Tanaka



Figure S1. Cooling curves of quenching and gradient cooling processes.



Figure S2. Top view SEM images of the quenching film (a) and gradient cooling film (b). The scale bar is 2 μ m. (c) Grain size distribution histograms of perovskite films prepared by different cooling processes.



Figure S3. PL decay curves of perovskite films obtained from different cooling processes. The substrate was glass.



Figure S4. Top-view SEM images of perovskite films on the glass substrates. (a) The quenching film. (b) The gradient cooling film. The scale bar is $2 \mu m$.



Figure S5. Cross-sectional SEM image of the device. The scale bar is $1 \mu m$.



Figure S6. Box charts of photovoltaic parameters obtained from devices under different cooling conditions. (a) V_{OC} ; (b) J_{SC} ; (c) FF; (d) PCE.



Figure S7. *J-V* curves under forward and reverse scanning for champion devices using quenching process (a) and gradient cooling process (b).



Figure S8. Statistical distribution of EQE values at three typical wavelengths (400 nm,

500 nm, and 600 nm).



Figure S9. Optical photograph of test fixture for EQE measurement. The part in the red circle is the capping glass.



Figure S10. Absorption spectra (a) and corresponding LHE spectra (b) of perovskite films.



Figure S11. Cross-sectional SEM image of thick perovskite film. The scale bar is 1

μm.



Figure S12. Comparison of photovoltaic parameters between thin and thick perovskite films. (a, b) J_{SC} ; (c, d) FF; (e, f) V_{OC} .

Samplas	Substrates	A_1	$ au_1$	A_2	$ au_2$	$ au_{ave}$
Samples	Substrates	[%]	[ns]	[%]	[ns]	[ns]
Quenching	glass	90.9	0.50	9.1	1.55	0.75
	FTO/TiO ₂	64.4	5.93	35.6	13.61	10.23
Gradient cooling-140 °C	glass	80.7	2.80	19.3	5.78	3.78
	FTO/TiO ₂	89.9	0.30	10.1	1.59	0.79
Gradient cooling-210 °C	glass	95.5	0.48	4.5	3.48	1.25
Gradient cooling-70 °C	glass	80.6	2.38	19.4	4.82	3.18

Table S1. TRPL fitting parameters of CsPbI₂Br films fabricated on different substrates.

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of devices obtained from different cooling

processes.

Devices		$J_{ m SC}$	$V_{\rm OC}$	FF	PCE
		$[mAcm^{-2}]$	[V]	[%]	[%]
Onenshing	average	14.62 ± 0.15	1.07 ± 0.02	68.91±1.67	10.83 ± 0.38
Quenching	best	14.77	1.10	70.30	11.42
Gradient	average	14.64 ± 0.12	1.08 ± 0.02	73.39±1.83	11.64 ± 0.46
cooling-70 °C	best	14.64	1.12	76.11	12.48
Gradient	average	14.61 ± 0.11	1.10 ± 0.02	73.19±1.92	11.75 ± 0.47
cooling-140 °C	best	14.76	1.12	76.18	12.59
Gradient	average	14.63 ± 0.09	1.07 ± 0.02	73.39±1.30	11.49 ± 0.27
cooling-210 °C	best	14.69	1.10	73.93	11.95

Table S3. EIS fitting parameters.

Daviaas	R _s	R _{CT}	R _{rec}	$CPE-T_1$	$CPE-T_2$
Devices	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	$[\Omega]$	[F]	[F]
Quenching	29.89	413	1188	1.38×10^{-8}	1.12×10 ⁻⁹
Gradient cooling	26.42	310.7	9696	3.54×10^{-8}	4.03×10 ⁻⁹

Table S4. Photovoltaic parameters of thick devices.

Devices		$J_{ m SC}$	V _{OC}	FF	PCE
		$[mAcm^{-2}]$	[V]	[%]	[%]
Quanahing	average	15.83 ± 0.20	1.09 ± 0.01	61.68±2.55	10.60 ± 0.56
Quenching	best	16.00	1.10	65.60	11.49
Gradient	average	16.44±0.13	1.09 ± 0.01	70.76±1.44	12.72±0.34
cooling	best	16.59	1.09	72.40	13.07

Table S5. Summary of PCEs for CsPbI2Br based C-PSCs.

Device structure		Ref.
FTO/c-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br (MABr top-seeded)/C	14.84	[1]
ITO/TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br/ATHPBr/C	14.50	[2]
FTO/c-TiO ₂ /m-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br-CdSe QD/C	14.49	[3]
FTO/ TiCl ₄ -TiCl ₃ modified c-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br/C	14.46	[4]
FTO/TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br/HTAB/C	14.30	[5]
ITO/SnO ₂ /SnCl ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br/ BMIMBF ₄ /C	14.03	[6]
ITO/SnO ₂ /SnCl ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br/ delta-2:2-bis (1,3-dithiazole) /C	13.78	[7]
ITO/SnO ₂ /SnCl ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br/ Cs ₂ PtI ₆ /C	13.69	[8]
FTO/SnO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br-PANI/C	13.52	[9]

FTO/SnO ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br /PEAI/C		[10]
FTO/TiO ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br (water-based spray-assisted growth)/C	13.30	[11]
FTO/TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br/CuPc/C	13.16	[12]
FTO/c-TiO ₂ /m-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br/Carbon black/C	13.13	[13]
FTO/c-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br-Mg(Ac) ₂ /C	13.08	[14]
FTO/c-TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br (gradient cooling)/C	13.07	This work
FTO/TiO ₂ /CsPbI ₂ Br-excess PbI ₂ /C	12.78	[15]
FTO/SnO ₂ / CsPbI ₂ Br-excess PbI ₂ /C	12.19	[16]

References (Supporting Information)

[1] Zhu, W.; Chai, W.; Chen, D.; Ma, J.; Chen, D.; Xi, H.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, C.; Hao,

Y. High-Efficiency (>14%) and Air-Stable Carbon-Based, All-Inorganic CsPbI₂Br
Perovskite Solar Cells through a Top-Seeded Growth Strategy. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2021, 6 (4), 1500-1510.

[2] Yan, Z.; Wang, D.; Jing, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, H.; Liu, X.; Wang, S.; Wang, C.;
Sun, W.; Wu, J.; Lan, Z. Surface Dipole Affords High-Performance Carbon-Based
CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cells. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, *433*, 134611.

[3] Xu, S.; Kang, C.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Rao, H.; Pan, Z.; Zhong, X. Dual-Functional Quantum Dot Seeding Growth of High-Quality Air-Processed CsPbI₂Br Film for Carbon-Based Perovskite Solar Cells. *Sol. RRL* **2022**, 2100989.

[4] Wang, W.; Lin, Y.; Zhang, G.; Kang, C.; Pan, Z.; Zhong, X.; Rao, H. Modification of Compact TiO₂ Layer by TiCl₄-TiCl₃ Mixture Treatment and Construction of HighEfficiency Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cells. *J. Energ. Chem.* **2021**, *63*, 442-451.

[5] Zhang, G.; Xie, P.; Huang, Z.; Yang, Z.; Pan, Z.; Fang, Y.; Rao, H.; Zhong, X. Modification of Energy Level Alignment for Boosting Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Solar Cells with 14% Certified Efficiency. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31* (19), 2011187.

[6] Yu, F.; Han, Q.; Wang, L.; Yang, S.; Cai, X.; Zhang, C.; Ma, T. Surface Management for Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cell with 14% Power Conversion Efficiency. *Sol. RRL* 2021, 5 (9), 2100404.

[7] Han, Q.; Yang, S.; Wang, L.; Yu, F.; Zhang, C.; Wu, M.; Ma, T. The Sulfur-Rich
Small Molecule Boosts the Efficiency of Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar
Cells to Approaching 14%. Sol. Energy 2021, 216, 351-357.

[8] Han, Q.; Yang, S.; Wang, L.; Yu, F.; Cai, X.; Ma, T. A Double Perovskite Participation for Promoting Stability and Performance of Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cells. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2022**, *606*, 800-807.

[9] Liu, C.; He, J.; Wu, M.; Wu, Y.; Du, P.; Fan, L.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, D.; Zhang, T. All-Inorganic CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cell with Open-Circuit Voltage over 1.3 V by Balancing Electron and Hole Transport. *Sol. RRL* **2020**, *4* (7), 2000016.

[10] Zhang, Z.; Ba, Y.; Chen, D.; Ma, J.; Zhu, W.; Xi, H.; Chen, D.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, C.; Hao, Y. Generic Water-Based Spray-Assisted Growth for Scalable High-Efficiency Carbon-Electrode All-Inorganic Perovskite Solar Cells. *iScience* 2021, *24* (11), 103365.

[11] Xie, P.; Zhang, G.; Yang, Z.; Pan, Z.; Fang, Y.; Rao, H.; Zhong, X. Perovskite-Compatible Carbon Electrode Improving the Efficiency and Stability of CsPbI₂Br Solar Cells. *Sol. RRL* **2020**, *4* (11), 2000431.

[12] Gong, S.; Li, H.; Chen, Z.; Shou, C.; Huang, M.; Yang, S. CsPbI₂Br Perovskite
Solar Cells Based on Carbon Black-Containing Counter Electrodes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, *12* (31), 34882-34889.

[13] Zhang, K.; Li, W.; Yu, J.; Han, X. Magnesium Acetate Additive Enables Efficient and Stable Carbon Electrode Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cells. *Sol. Energy* 2021, 222, 186-192.

[14] Wang, K.; You, T.; Yin, R.; Fan, B.; Liu, J.; Cui, S.; Chen, H.; Yin, P. Precise Nucleation Regulation and Defect Passivation for Highly Efficient and Stable Carbon-Based CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cells. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2021, *4* (4), 3508-3517.

[15] Liu, C.; Wu, M.; Wu, Y.; Wang, D.; Zhang, T. Efficient All-Inorganic CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cell with Carbon Electrode by Revealing Crystallization Kinetics and Improving Crystal Quality. *J. Power Sources* **2020**, *447*, 227389.

[16] Wu, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Fan, L.; Liu, C.; Wu, M.; Wang, D.; Zhang, T. Surface
Reconstruction-Induced Efficient CsPbI₂Br Perovskite Solar Cell Using
Phenylethylammonium Iodide. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2021, 4 (6), 5583-5589.