## Supplementary section

## Characterization of bispecific antigen-binding biotherapeutic fragmentation sites using microfluidic capillary electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry (mCZE-MS)

Authors: Arnik Shah<sup>1,2</sup>, Ruhi Desai<sup>1</sup>, Weidong Cui<sup>1</sup>, John Harrahy<sup>3</sup>, Alexander R. Ivanov<sup>2\*</sup>

Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Amgen Inc, 360 Binney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02141, United States, <sup>2</sup>Barnett Institute of Chemical and Biological Analysis, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Ave., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States, <sup>3</sup>Sanofi, 225 Second Avenue, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451, United States (Current address).

## **Table of content**

Figure S1: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra for the unstressed BABB drug substance				
Figure S2: extracted ion chromatogram for stressed BABB drug substance				
Determination of Limit of determination (LOD) for mCZE-MS				
Figure S3: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra by dSEC-MS analysis for the thermally	Ps3			
stressed BABB drug substance for 5 days				
Figure S4: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra by dSEC-MS analysis for the affinity-purified				
BABB				
Figure S5: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra by ZipChip®/CZE-MS analysis for the				
thermally stressed aglycosylated monoclonal antibody (AmAb)				
Figure S6: Comparison between mass spectra obtained in the mCZE-MS and dSEC-MS				
experiments				
Table S1: Masses observed and predicted species for the thermally stressed AmAb from				
mCZE-MS experiment along with mass error and predicted species				



Figure S1: (A) raw and (B) deconvoluted mass spectrum for the unstressed BABB drug substance.



**Figure S2**: Extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) for mCZE-MS for thermally stressed BABB drug substance for 5 days. XIC for species 1, as observed in figure 2a (black trace). XIC for species 2 and 3, as observed in figure 2b (Red trace). XIC of species 4, as observed in figure 2b (Blue trace). XIC for species 5 and 6 from figure 2b (Green and Purple trace, respectively).

## The calculation for LOD for mCZE-MS experiment:

The noise level observed for a blank injection at the migration time corresponding to BABB in the mCZE-MS experiment:

 $N_{blk} = 3,870 \text{ AU}.$ 

The limit of detection was estimated as the noise level multiplied by 3:

LOD= 3 X N<sub>blk</sub> = 11,610 AU

The lowest peak height determined for qualitative analysis was observed at 55,635 AU for the antigenbinding domain fragment in the affinity purified sample, which is over 14-fold higher than the determined noise level.



**Figure S3**: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra by dSEC-MS analysis for the thermally stressed BABB drug substance for 5 days. Mass spectra related to fragments observed in (A), (B), (C), (D). Deconvoluted mass for fragments observed in (E), (F), (G) and (H), respectively.



**Figure S4**: (A) Raw mass spectrum for antigen binding domain and (B) deconvoluted mass spectrum for antigen binding domain fragment, by dSEC-MS analysis for the affinity-purified BABB.



**Figure S5**: Raw and deconvoluted mass spectra by ZipChip<sup>®</sup>/CZE-MS analysis for the thermally stressed aglycosylated monoclonal antibody (AmAb). (A), (B), (C), and (D) represent mass spectra related to fragments observed. Deconvoluted masses for the fragments observed are shown in (E), (F), (G), and (H), respectively.



**gure S6:** Comparison between mass spectra obtained in the mCZE-MS and dSEC-MS experiments. (A) mass spectrum related to the 39k fragment of the thermally stressed BABB drug substance from mCZE-MS experiment. B) mass spectrum for the 39K clips from dSEC-MS experiment showing abundant peaks related to intact BABB drug substance C) Peaks related to the 39K fragment observed after background subtraction to remove ions related to the intact BABB.

**Table S1**: Masses observed and predicted species for the thermally stressed AmAb from mCZE-MSexperiment along with mass error and predicted species.

Mass observed (Da)*	CV (%)	Theoretical mass (Da)	Mass error (PPM)	Predicted species
144898	3	144899	7	Ŷ
72443	2	72444.7	23	ľ
97221	4	97221.6	7	Ĩ.
97359	4	97358.8	2	
47555	4	47555.3	6	4
47169	3	47170.6	34	
23407	3	23408	43	
24155	4	24155.6	25	I

\*Indicates average of triplicate measurements