| 1                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2                          | Supporting Information                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7      | Rapid Quality Evaluation of Chinese Herbal Medicines Using a Miniature Mass<br>Spectrometer: <i>Lygodium japonicum</i> (Thunb.) Sw. as An Example                                                                                                                                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10               | Xuan Gu <sup>a[+]</sup> , Shanshan Jia <sup>b[+]</sup> , Wangmin Hu <sup>b</sup> , Mengdi Cui <sup>b</sup> , Yaru Cao <sup>b</sup> , Junling Hou <sup>b</sup> , Rufeng<br>Wang <sup>*a</sup> , Mei Zhang <sup>*b</sup>                                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15 | <ul> <li><sup>a</sup> School of Life Sciences, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 102488, China;</li> <li><sup>b</sup> School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 102488, China.</li> <li><sup>[+]</sup> Equal contribution</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21             | *Co-corresponding Authors:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26 | Prof. Mei Zhang<br>meizhang@bucm.edu.cn<br>Prof. Rufeng Wang<br>wrf@bucm.edu.cn                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# 28 Outline

- 29 1 Optimization of CID energy
- 30 2 Quantitative methodology
- 31 2.1 Standard curves
- 32 2.2 Methodology validation
- 33 2.3 Representative MS/MS spectra used for quantitative analysis

#### 35 1 Optimization of CID energy

The miniature mass spectrometry (mini-MS) instrument used in this work supports 36 multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode and therefore well supports qualitative and quantitative 37 Each analyte precursor ion generate the corresponding product ion fragments via analysis. 38 collision-induced dissociation (CID) in the tandem MS. For qualitative analysis, we needed to 39 confirm that the precursor-product ion information in the MS/MS spectra of each analyte in the 40 herbal extracts was consistent with the standards. More importantly, we use product ions for 41 quantification to minimize the interference of isomers, so we need to try to make the quantified 42 ions have higher peak intensities. As a result, we needed to carefully optimize the CID energy for 43 each analyte. The process of CID optimization for the nine analytes is shown in Figure S1. 44





Figure S1. Optimization of CID energy for the nine analytes.

## 47 2 Quantitative methodology

#### 48 2.1 Standard curves

57

58

The quantitative analysis of the analytes was performed using a standard curve method 49 combined with the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) strategy. The characteristic 50 precursor/product ion pairs of the analytes were used for MRM analysis. The standard curve of 51 each analyte was plotted with the concentration of standard solutions as the horizontal coordinate 52 and the peak intensity of the quantitative product ion in MS/MS analysis as the vertical coordinate 53 (Figure S2). Standard solutions were freshly made using herbal extracts without analytes as 54 background matrices. Details of the concentration range of the standard solutions was given in 55 Table S1. 56



Figure S2. Standard curves for the nine analytes.

| Table S1. Details of the concentration range of the standard solutions |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                        |

| Analyte                    | Concentration range (ng/mL)     |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Methyl protocatechuate (1) | 5, 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000      |  |  |  |
| Caffeic acid (2)           | 5, 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000      |  |  |  |
| Chlorogenic acid (3)       | 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2500, 5000 |  |  |  |
| Linarin (4)                | 100, 250, 500, 1000, 2500, 5000 |  |  |  |
| Apigenin (5)               | 5, 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000      |  |  |  |
| Naringenin (6)             | 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000, 5000   |  |  |  |
| Acacetin (7)               | 5, 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000      |  |  |  |
| Kaempferol (8)             | 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000, 5000   |  |  |  |
| Quercetin (9)              | 10, 100, 250, 500, 1000, 5000   |  |  |  |

#### 64 2.2 Methodology validation

The methodology of PSI-mini-MS/MS analysis was validated, including precision, 65 accuracy and recovery rates. Quantitative control (QC) samples of nine analytes at low/high 66 concentration were added to the background TCM extract (herbal extracts without these nine 67 compounds) for methodology validation. The background extract without spiking analytes was 68 used for negative samples, and each sample was detected for 5 times in 3 days as parallel 69 detections. The results were summarized in Table S2. It has been demonstrated that the developed 70 PSI-mini-MS/MS method is able to determine the nine analytes accurately and precisely in 71 simulated CHM extract samples. 72

73**Table S2.** Precision, accuracy and recovery rates of nine analytes in background TCM extracts74based on PSI-mini-MS/MS analysis (n = 5, 3 days).

| Analyta                    | Conc. of | Precision (RSD %) |           | Precision (RSD %) Accuracy (RE %) |           | y (RE %)       | Recovery |
|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| Analyte                    | (ng/mL)  | Intra-day         | Inter-day | Intra-day                         | Inter-day | rate (%)       |          |
| Methyl protocatechuate (1) | 0.005    | 4.3               | 4.8       | 5.3                               | 5.4       | $97.4 \pm 3.2$ |          |
|                            | 1        | 0.8               | 1.4       | 1.2                               | 1.5       | $99.8 \pm 1.1$ |          |
| Caffeic acid (2)           | 0.005    | 1.1               | 6.1       | 7.8                               | 7.0       | $100.6\pm1.2$  |          |
|                            | 1        | 0.5               | 0.9       | 1.2                               | 0.6       | $99.8\pm2.4$   |          |
| Chlorogenic acid (3)       | 0.01     | 3.1               | 5.1       | 6.0                               | 6.1       | $101.6\pm0.3$  |          |
|                            | 5        | 0.7               | 1.8       | 0.7                               | 1.0       | $98.2 \pm 1.7$ |          |
| Linarin (4)                | 0.1      | 3.8               | 6.0       | 4.9                               | -1.6      | $96.8\pm3.1$   |          |
|                            | 10       | 1.2               | 1.0       | 1.1                               | 0.9       | $100.2\pm0.9$  |          |
| Apigenin (5)               | 0.005    | 4.1               | 7.7       | 6.9                               | 7.8       | $101.7\pm1.2$  |          |
|                            | 1        | 1.2               | 1.9       | 1.0                               | 1.2       | $100.7\pm2.1$  |          |
| Naringenin (6)             | 0.01     | 6.1               | 7.8       | 6.8                               | 8.3       | $100.2\pm0.9$  |          |
|                            | 5        | 1.4               | 2.0       | 1.4                               | 1.1       | $96.3 \pm 2.2$ |          |
| Acacetin (7)               | 0.005    | 3.2               | 3.2       | 3.9                               | 7.8       | $98.1\pm2.4$   |          |
|                            | 1        | 2.5               | 1.2       | 2.1                               | 1.5       | $100.6\pm1.5$  |          |
| Kaempferol (8)             | 0.01     | 3.7               | 4.1       | 3.2                               | 6.2       | $96.3\pm3.1$   |          |
|                            | 5        | 1.1               | 1.3       | 1.2                               | 2.0       | $99.8 \pm 1.1$ |          |
| Quercetin (9)              | 0.01     | 1.9               | 3.5       | 1.3                               | 2.9       | $94.2\pm2.9$   |          |
|                            | 5        | 0.2               | 1.5       | 0.6                               | 1.1       | $95.1\pm2.1$   |          |

75 76

### 78 2.3 Representative MS/MS spectra used for quantitative analysis

PSI-mini-MS/MS was used to analyze the nine effective components in the extracts of each species of *Lygodium*. The nine target components are present in all three species of *Lygodium*, but in different amounts. Taking chlorogenic acid (**3**) as an example, Figure S3 showed the MS/MS spectra for the detection of chlorogenic acid (**3**) in *Lygodium* extracts of three different species. This showed that chlorogenic acid (**3**) is present in different species of *Lygodium* at different levels. The other eight analytes were quantified using similar methods.



Figure S3. MS/MS spectra of chlorogenic acid (3) in *Lygodium* extracts of three different

species.

87