Supplementary data for

# Development of polyacrylamide/chitosan composite hydrogel conduit containing synergistic cues of elasticity and topographies for promoting peripheral nerve regeneration

Fang Liu<sup>1,2,3#</sup>, Jiawei Xu<sup>1,2#</sup>, Anning Liu<sup>4</sup>, Linliang Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Dongzhi Wang<sup>4,5</sup>, Qi Han<sup>1,2</sup>,

Tiantian Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Feiran Wang<sup>4</sup>, Yan Kong<sup>1,2</sup>, Guicai Li<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Peng Li<sup>4\*</sup>, Shouyong Gu<sup>6,7\*</sup>, Yumin Yang<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key laboratory of Neuroregeneration of Jiangsu and Ministry of Education, Co-

innovation Center of Neuroregeneration, Nantong University, 226001, Nantong, P.R.

China

<sup>2</sup>NMPA Key Laboratory for Research and Evaluation of Tissue Engineering

Technology Products, Nantong University, 226001, Nantong, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup>School of Medical, Nantong University, 226001, Nantong, P.R. China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu, China, 226001

<sup>5</sup>Department of General Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University,

Nantong, Jiangsu, China, 226001

<sup>6</sup>Research Center of Clinical Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu, P.R China, 226001

<sup>7</sup>Geriatric Hospital affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing , Jiangsu, P.R China, 211166

<sup>8</sup>Geriatric institute of jiangsu province, Jiangsu, P.R China, 211166#These authors contributed equally to the work

#### \*Corresponding author:

#### gcli1981@ntu.edu.cn(Guicai Li), pengli@ntu.edu.cn(PengLi),

### gushouyong@jspgh.com (Shouyong Gu), yangym@ntu.edu.cn (Yumin Yang)

| Table S1. Ratio of PAM/CS hydrogel solution (solution volume: 100mL). |            |                  |                |             |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Young's   | Acrylamide | N-H-methylene    | Ammonium       |             |  |  |  |  |
| modulus(kPa)  | (g)        | bisacrylamide(g) | persulfate(µL) | chitosan(g) |  |  |  |  |
| 2.151   | 23.2       | 0.08             | 200            | 1           |  |  |  |  |
| 4.186   | 23.2       | 0.2              | 200            | 1           |  |  |  |  |
| 5.882   | 23.2       | 0.4              | 200            | 1           |  |  |  |  |
| 8.41  | 23.2       | 0.6              | 200            | 1           |  |  |  |  |
| 10.024  | 23.2       | 0.8              | 200            | 1           |  |  |  |  |

## Table S2. Variation of groove width of hydrogel with different elasticity after soaking.

| Groove size                               | 10(µm) | 30(µm) | 50(µm) | 80(µm) | Young's modulus |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Groove dimensions before soaking          | 10±0.5 | 30±2   | 50±2.5 | 80±4.5 | 8.41kPa         |
| Groove size for soaking water for 15 days | 10±2.5 | 35±5   | 55±8   | 100±2  | 2.151kPa        |
|   | 10±2.5 | 30±4   | 55±5   | 95±3   | 4.186kPa        |
|   | 10±2   | 30±4   | 55±5   | 95±3   | 5.882kPa        |
|   | 10±2   | 30±3   | 55±3   | 95±2   | 8.41kPa         |
|   | 10±1.5 | 30±3   | 50±3   | 95±2   | 10.024kPa       |

| Ridge size                             | 4.5(µm) | 10(µm) | 20(µm) | 65(µm) | Young's modulus |
|--|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Ridge dimensions before soaking        | 4.5±1   | 10±0.5 | 20±1.5 | 65±4.5 | 8.41kPa         |
| Ridge size soaked in water for 15 days | 5±2     | 10±5   | 20±5   | 75±4   | 2.151kPa        |
|  | 5±2     | 10±3   | 20±4   | 75±3   | 4.186kPa        |
|  | 5±2     | 10±3   | 20±3   | 75±3   | 5.882kPa        |
|  | 5±1     | 10±2   | 20±3   | 75±2   | 8.41kPa         |
|  | 5±1     | 10±2   | 20±3   | 75±2   | 10.024kPa       |

Table S3. The change of ridge width of hydrogel with different elasticity after

soaking.

3



**Fig. S1.** Samples of different sizes were immersed in PBS for 15 d and then observed under a light microscope the erosion of the micro-pattern structure.



**Fig. S2.** ATR-FTIR spectra of chitosan, polyacrylamide, polyacrylamide/chitosan and polyacrylamide/chitosan with surface topology.



Fig. S3. In vitro cell cytocompatibility testing. The cytocompatibility of the hydrogel on L929 cells was detected by MTT at 48 h, n=4. All results are mean  $\pm$  SD.



Fig. S4. Immunofluorescence staining of Schwann cells on hydrogel with elastic modulus of 5.882kPa and topological size of  $10\mu$ m,  $30\mu$ m and  $50\mu$ m, respectively

after 1 day of culture.



Fig. S5. Immunofluorescence staining of DRG neurons on hydrogels with different elastic modulus. (A) DRG neurons were cultured on different elastic hydrogels for 7 d. DRG neurons were stained with NF200 (red). Scale bar indicates 500  $\mu$ m. (B) Statistics of nerve protrusion length on different elastic hydrogels.



**Fig. S6.** Immunofluorescence staining of regenerated nerve tissue. At 2 w after surgery, representative images of nerve regeneration in the autograft group, 4.186 kPa/30μm, 5.882 kPa/30μm, 8.41 kPa/30μm and 10.024 kPa/30μm conduits. (A)Immunofluorescence staining image of longitudinal section of S100. Green color, S100. (B)Immunofluorescence staining image of longitudinal section of Neurofilaments. Red color, NF200. Scale bar indicates 1 mm.



Fig. S7. The expression of the proximal, middle and distal nerves in the five groups regenerated nerves at 2 w postoperatively. (A)Immunofluorescence staining image of cross section of S100. Green color, S100. Scale bar indicates 150  $\mu$ m. (B)The relative expression level of S100. Scale bar indicates 150  $\mu$ m.



**Fig. S8.** The expression of the proximal, intermediate and distal nerves in the five groups regenerated nerves at 12 w postoperatively. (A)Immunofluorescence staining image of cross section of S100. Green color, S100. Scale bar =  $250\mu$ m. (B)The relative expression level of S100. (C)Immunofluorescence staining image of cross-section of Neurofilaments. Red color, NF200. Scale bar=  $250 \mu$ m. (D)The relative expression level of NF200. The result is the mean ± standard deviation.



Fig. S9. The regeneration of the myelin sheath in the proximal, middle and distal cross-sections of the autograft group,  $4.186 \text{ kPa/30 } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $5.882 \text{ kPa/30 } \mu\text{m}$ ,  $8.41 \text{ kPa/30 } \mu\text{m}$  and  $10.024 \text{ kPa/30 } \mu\text{m}$  conduits regenerated sciatic nerve at 12 w postoperatively. Scale bar indicates 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .